

# **External Quality Review Annual Technical Report**

**Reporting Year 2022** 

Review Period: January 1, 2020–December 31, 2021



# **Table of Contents**

I.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	I-4
	Purpose of Report	I-4
	SCOPE OF EXTERNAL QUALITY REVIEW ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED	l-4
	HIGH-LEVEL PROGRAM FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	I-5
	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACHN ENTITIES AND AMA	l-7
II.	ALABAMA MEDICAID MANAGED CARE PROGRAM	II-10
	Managed Care in Alabama	
	Alabama Medicaid Quality Strategy	
	IPRO'S ASSESSMENT OF THE ALABAMA MEDICAID QUALITY STRATEGY	_
	RECOMMENDATIONS TO AMA	II-13
III.	VALIDATION OF PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	III-15
	OBJECTIVES	III-15
	TECHNICAL METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	III-15
	DESCRIPTION OF DATA OBTAINED	III-16
	CONCLUSIONS AND COMPARATIVE FINDINGS	III-17
IV.	REVIEW OF COMPLIANCE WITH MEDICAID AND CHIP MANAGED CARE REGULATIONS	IV-44
	Objectives	IV-44
	TECHNICAL METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	IV-44
	DESCRIPTION OF DATA OBTAINED	
	CONCLUSIONS AND COMPARATIVE FINDINGS	IV-45
٧.	VALIDATION OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES	V-56
	Objectives	V-56
	TECHNICAL METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	
	DESCRIPTION OF DATA OBTAINED	
	CONCLUSIONS AND COMPARATIVE FINDINGS	V-57
VI.	MCP RESPONSES TO THE PREVIOUS EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	VI-60
	ACN MID-STATE RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	
	ACN SOUTHEAST RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	VI-63
	GULF COAST TOTAL CARE RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	
	MY CARE ALABAMA CENTRAL RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	
	MY CARE ALABAMA EAST RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	
	MY CARE ALABAMA NORTHWEST RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	
	NORTH ALABAMA COMMUNITY CARE RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	VI-81
VII	I. MCP STRENGTHS AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT, AND EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	VII-85

Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) is a registered trademark of the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). Frisbee® is a registered trademark of Wham-O. Suboxone® is a registered trademark of Reckitt Benckiser Healthcare (UK) Ltd. ZIP Code® is a registered trademark of the U.S. Postal Service.

# **List of Tables**

TABLE 1: MEDICAID ENROLLMENT AND ASSIGNMENT BY ACHN ENTITY	II-10
Table 2: ACHN Quality Measures	II-12
Table 3: PCP Quality Measures	II-12
Table 4: ACHN Reporting Requirements	II-13
Table 5: ACHN Entity QIP Topics	III-15
Table 6: QIP Validation Compliance Levels	III-16
Table 7: MY 2020 Adverse Birth Outcomes QIP Validation Results	III-18
Table 8: MY 2020 Childhood Obesity QIP Validation Results	III-20
Table 9: MY 2020 Substance Use Disorder QIP Validation Results	III-22
Table 10: ACN Mid-State QIP Summaries, 2020–2021	III-28
Table 11: ACN Southeast QIP Summaries, 2020–2021	III-30
Table 12: Gulf Coast Total Care QIP Summaries, 2020–2021	III-32
Table 13: My Care Central QIP Summaries, 2020–2021	III-33
Table 14: My Care East QIP Summaries, 2020–2021	III-34
Table 15: My Care Northwest QIP Summaries, 2020–2021	III-36
Table 16: North Alabama Community Care QIP Summaries, 2020–2021	III-37
Table 17: Assessment of ACHN Entity QIP Indicator Performance	III-40
Table 18: SPR Areas and Corresponding Materials Reviewed	IV-45
Table 19: SPR Determination Definitions	IV-45
Table 20: CFR Standards to State Compliance Tool Crosswalk	IV-46
Table 21: ACHN Performance Measure Domains	V-57
Table 22: ACHN Performance Measures – MY 2020	V-59
Table 23: ACN Mid-State Response to Previous EQR Recommendations	VI-60
Table 24: ACN Southeast Response to Previous EQR Recommendations	VI-63
TABLE 25: GCTC RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS EQR RECOMMENDATIONS	VI-66
Table 26: MCA-C Response to Previous EQR Recommendations	VI-70
Table 27: MCA-E Response to Previous EQR Recommendations	
Table 28: MCA-NW Response to Previous EQR Recommendations	VI-77
Table 29: NACC Response to Previous EQR Recommendations	VI-81
Table 30: Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement, and EQR Recommendations for All ACHN Entities	VII-86

# I. Executive Summary

# **Purpose of Report**

The Balanced Budget Act (BBA) of 1997 established that state agencies contracting with managed care entities (MCEs) provide for an annual external, independent review of the quality outcomes, timeliness of, and access to the services included in the contract between the state agency and the MCE. *Title 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Section (§) 438.350 External quality review (a)* through *(f)* sets forth the requirements for the annual external quality review (EQR) of contracted MCEs. States are required to contract with an external quality review organization (EQRO) to perform an annual EQR for each contracted MCE. The states must further ensure that the EQRO has sufficient information to carry out this review, that the information be obtained from EQR-related activities, and that the information provided to the EQRO be obtained through methods consistent with the protocols established by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Quality, as it pertains to an EQR, is defined in *Title 42 CFR § 438.320 Definitions* as "the degree to which an MCO, PIHP,<sup>1</sup> PAHP,<sup>2</sup> or PCCM<sup>3</sup> entity increases the likelihood of desired health outcomes of its enrollees through: (1) its structural and operational characteristics. (2) The provision of health services that are consistent with current professional, evidence-based knowledge. (3) Interventions for performance improvement."

Title 42 CFR § 438.364 External review results (a) through (d) requires that the annual EQR be summarized in a detailed technical report that aggregates, analyzes, and evaluates information on the quality of, timeliness of, and access to health care services that MCEs furnish to Medicaid recipients. The report must also contain an assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of the MCEs regarding health care quality, timeliness, and access, as well as make recommendations for improvement.

To comply with *Title 42 CFR Section § 438.364 External review results* (*a*) through (*d*) and *Title 42 CFR § 438.358 Activities related to external quality review*, the Alabama Medicaid Agency (AMA) contracted with IPRO, an EQRO, to conduct the calendar year (CY) 2021 EQR activities for 7 PCCM-Es contracted to furnish Medicaid services in the state. During the period under review, CY 2021 (January 1, 2021–December 31, 2021), AMA's PCCM-Es included Alabama Care Network Mid-State (ACN Mid-State); Alabama Care Network Southeast (ACN Southeast); Gulf Coast Total Care (GCTC); My Care Alabama Central (MCA-C); My Care Alabama East (MCA-E); My Care Alabama Northwest (MCA-NW); and North Alabama Community Care (NACC). This report presents aggregate and PCCM-E-level results of the EQR activities for ACN Mid-State, ACN Southeast, GCTC, MCA-C, MCA-E, MCA-NW, and NACC.

# **Scope of External Quality Review Activities Conducted**

This EQR technical report focuses on the 3 mandatory EQR activities that were conducted. As set forth in *Title 42 CFR Section § 438.358 Activities related to external quality review* (b)(1), these activities are:

- (i) **CMS Mandatory Protocol 1:** Validation of Performance Improvement Projects (PIPs) This activity validates that MCE performance improvement projects (PIPs) were designed, conducted, and reported in a methodologically sound manner, allowing for real improvements in care and services. In Alabama, this activity is referred to as the Validation of Quality Improvement Projects (QIPs).
- (ii) **CMS Mandatory Protocol 2:** Validation of Performance Measures This activity assesses the accuracy of performance measures reported by each MCE and determined the extent to which the rates calculated by the MCE follow state specifications and reporting requirements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> prepaid inpatient health plan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> prepaid ambulatory health plan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> primary care case management.

(iii) CMS Mandatory Protocol 3: Review of Compliance with Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations – This activity determines MCE compliance with its contract and with state and federal regulations.

CMS defines *validation* in *Title 42 CFR § 438.320 Definitions* as "the review of information, data, and procedures to determine the extent to which they are accurate, reliable, free from bias, and in accord with standards for data collection and analysis."

The results of these EQR activities are presented in individual activity sections of this report. Each of the activity sections includes information on:

- data collection and analysis methodologies;
- comparative findings; and
- where applicable, the PCCM-E's performance strengths and opportunities for improvement.

# **High-Level Program Findings and Recommendations**

IPRO used the analyses and evaluations of 2020 and 2021 EQR activity findings to assess the performance of Alabama Coordinated Health Network (ACHN) entities in providing quality, timely, and accessible healthcare services to Medicaid members. The individual entities were evaluated against state and national benchmarks for measures related to the quality, access, and timeliness domains and results were compared to previous years for trending, when possible.

The following provides a high-level summary of these findings for the ACHN Program. The overall findings for the entities were also compared and analyzed to develop overarching conclusions and recommendations for each entity. These entity-level findings are discussed in each EQR activity section.

# Strengths Related to Quality, Timeliness and Access

The EQR activities conducted in CY 2020 and 2021 demonstrated that AMA and the entities share a commitment to improvement in providing high-quality, timely, and accessible care for eligible individuals (EIs). Program strengths included the following:

# **Quality Improvement Projects**

All 7 entities demonstrated an improvement in at least 1 QIP performance indicator from baseline (CY 2019) to first year remeasurement (CY 2020). Over the course of CY 2021, the entities continued to track their intervention progress in an effort to sustain the results from CY 2020 and refined interventions to target performance indicators that either declined or remained stagnant from baseline. In the domain of quality, there were 7 performance indicators that demonstrated an improvement. In the domain of timeliness, there were 6 performance indicators that demonstrated an improvement. In the domain of access, there were 4 performance indicators that demonstrated an improvement.

# Systems Performance Review

A comprehensive systems performance review is conducted once every 3 years. The most recent review of the ACHN entities covered the SFY 2021 review period of October 1, 2020, through September 30, 2021. All entities demonstrated at least 1 requirement that was partial/non-compliant in 2020 and was in full compliance in 2021. ACN Mid-State, ACN Southeast, and GCTC received an overall compliance determination of "Full" for the Grievance topic area. MCA-C, MCA-E, MCA-NW and NACC received an overall compliance determination of "Full" for the Provider Participation and HIMS topic areas.

# Performance Measures

(NCQA National Medicaid Benchmarks are referenced in this section, unless stated otherwise.)

# **Minimum Performance Standards**

ACHN entities must achieve at least half of the annual quality metrics to be eligible for the 50% Quality Bonus Payment. The quality bonus for FY 2022 was calculated based on the CY 2020 services (obtained from the claims data). All 7 ACHN entities received this Quality Bonus Payment.

# Performance Measures - Quality, Timeliness and Access

In the domain of quality, the statewide average was above the 90th percentile for Asthma Medication Ratio (Child and Adult). In the domain of access, the statewide average was above the 90th percentile for Child BMI Assessment. The statewide average did not exceed the 25th percentile for the measures associated with the timeliness domain.

# **Opportunities Related to Quality, Timeliness and Access**

# **Quality Improvement Projects**

Of the 45 indicators being evaluated across QIP projects, 15 demonstrated a decline in performance from baseline (CY 2019) to interim remeasurement (CY 2020). Seven (7) of these indicators were in quality, 5 were in access, and 3 were in timeliness. ACN Mid-State demonstrated a decline in performance for their LBW measure, annual well visits (for children 3–6 and 7–11), and children 3–11 with a diagnosis of overweight or obese. ACN Southeast demonstrated in decline in performance for their LBW measure, well child visits (for children 0–15 months and 3–6 years of age), and EIs with an SUD diagnosis who received treatment. GCTC demonstrated a decline in performance for their LBW measure, pregnant EIs receiving prenatal care in the first trimester, and EIs 7–11 with an annual PCP visit. MCA-E demonstrated a decline in the percentage of births with a postpartum visit between 21 and 56-days following delivery. MCA-E also demonstrated an increase in the percentage of children with a diagnosis of overweight or obese. MCA-NW demonstrated a decline in the percentage of live births that received a prenatal care visit in the first trimester. NACC demonstrated a decline in performance for EIs 3–6 years of age with a documentation of BMI in their medical record.

# Systems Performance Review

Each of the ACHN entities achieved an overall review determination of "Partial", indicating that one or more of the topic areas reviewed during the 2021 SPR did not demonstrate full compliance. All the entities received a "Partial" determination for the Care Coordination, El Rights/Materials/Enrollment, Quality Management, and Subcontracting topic areas. MCA-C, MCA-E, MCA-NW and NACC received a review determination of "Partial" for the Grievance topic Area. ACN Mid-State, ACN Southeast, and GCTC received a review determination of "Partial" for the Provider Participation and HIMS topic areas.

# Performance Measures - Quality, Access, and Timeliness

(NCQA National Medicaid Benchmarks are referenced in this section unless stated otherwise.)

In the domain of quality, the statewide average was below the 10th percentile for Antidepressant Medication Management and Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD (Initiation and Continuation phases).

In the domain of timeliness, the statewide average was between the 10th and 25th percentile for Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life and below the 10th percentile for Timeliness of Prenatal Care. In the domain of access, the statewide average was below the 10th percentile for Cervical Cancer Screening and Child Access to Care (12–24 months of age and 25 months to 6 years of age). The statewide average was between the 10th and 25th percentile for Child Access to Care (12–19 years of age) and between the 25th and 50th percentile for Adult BMI Assessment and Child Access to Care (7–11 years of age).

# Recommendations for ACHN entities and AMA

The following recommendations are based on the opportunities identified above in the **Opportunities Related to Quality, Timeliness and Access section** of this report.

In the domain of quality, IPRO recommends the following:

# **QIPs**

- ACN Mid-State, ACN Southeast, and GCTC should evaluate the LBW measure at the member level to
  understand factors that might be influencing this rate to increase over time. The entities could perform a
  pareto analysis, for instance, to understand if there are a few key factors that could be targeted (e.g.,
  obesity, maternal social support, co-morbidities, etc.). Further, the entities could stratify those who
  delivered a low birthweight baby by demographic factors (i.e., geography, race, ethnicity, etc.) to evaluate
  whether there are susceptible subpopulations that could benefit from being targeted with tailored
  interventions.
- ACN Mid-State, ACN Southeast, and GCTC should work with EIs and providers to help bolster access to
  well-child visits. By working with the EIs, the entities could both evaluate barriers and provide education
  regarding the importance of theses visits and that they are fully covered by Medicaid.
- ACN Mid-State and ACN Southeast should continue evaluating their interventions aimed at children with a
  BMI over the 85th percentile to determine if they are progressing at an acceptable rate to influence BMI
  and/or if further barriers analysis/root cause analysis should be conducted to understand if current
  interventions remain most appropriate.
- **ACN Southeast** should further explore why it is that EIs with a diagnosis of SUD are not receiving treatment and then tailor interventions accordingly. The entity should consider provider barriers, EI barriers, and entity-level barriers to inform subsequent interventions.
- **GCTC** should explore how to effectively identify Els early in pregnancy and work with this population to overcome barriers associated with receipt of prenatal care in the first trimester.
- MCA-E should evaluate access among women seeking postpartum care to ensure there is an adequate volume of providers. Upon ruling out access issues, the entities should explore barriers faced by women in the postpartum period and work with this population to overcome these barriers in order to bolster visit attendance 21–56 days following delivery.
- MCA-E should continue targeting children with a diagnosis of overweight or obese and understand barriers faced by this population (and their caregivers) to accessing care, healthy foods, exercise equipment and/or space, etc.
- MCA-NW should evaluate access among women seeking prenatal care, as well as barriers to receiving this
  care, in addition to best practices and barriers associated with early identification.
- **NACC** should continue to target high-risk pregnant Els (those with a BMI of at least 30) with nutritional and healthy lifestyle counseling, exploring alternative ways of conveying the information in a way that is meaningful to Els.
- MCA-C has demonstrated an improvement within each topic area. They are encouraged to continue thinking about how to sustain and expand their interventions and efforts, targeting the maximum number of Els as possible.

#### **SPR**

- **Each ACHN entity** should address the recommendations made in the SPR finding reports issued March 2022. Entity-specific care coordination file review finding recommendations are detailed below:
  - ACN Mid-State should ensure that rationales for interventions are included within the care plan; that
    care plans have an evaluation of effectiveness; that all medical conditions in the Health Risk and
    Psychosocial Assessment are addressed in the care plan; that all Els enrolled in family planning receive

- information/education about STD prevention; and that the Health Risk and Psychosocial Assessment take place within 5 business days from the date of the screening.
- ACN Southeast should ensure that rationales for interventions are included within the care plan; that
  all medical conditions identified in the Health Risk and Psychosocial Assessment are addressed in the
  care plan; that all Els enrolled in family planning receive information/education about STD prevention;
  that contact frequency requirements are met (based on El risk level); and that a PHQ screening and
  substance use screening are completed.
- o GCTC should ensure that consent is obtained prior to provision of family planning care coordination activities; that all medical conditions identified in the Health Risk and Psychosocial Assessment are addressed in the care plan; that all care plans include a rationale for each intervention; that all care plans have an evaluation of effectiveness; that all outreach attempts to EI are documented within the care plan; that all EIs enrolled in family planning receive information about STD prevention, and that male EIs receive information regarding testicular self-exams; that several outreach attempts take place to follow-up with EIs, and that all outreach is documented in the care plan/task notes; that the care plan is reviewed and evaluated with the EI during each encounter; that 3 attempts to conduct the Health Risk and Psychosocial Assessment are carried out (one of which must be a written letter); that all care plans are updated in response to a change in EI condition (e.g., health status, needs, caregiver status, health care event, etc.); and that the multidisciplinary care team (MCT) meeting takes place during calendar months 7–12 and every 6 months thereafter for high-risk EIs.
- MCA-C should ensure that all care plans contain the 5 required components (i.e., assessment/identified needs, goals, interventions, rationales, and evaluation); that a standardized depression screening and substance use screening take place and are recorded in the El's file; and that maternity Els have follow-up encounters in the second and third trimesters and that these encounters/outreach efforts are documented in the El's file.
- o MCA-E should ensure that all EIs have a care plan on file; that all care plans contain the 5 required components (i.e., assessment/identified needs, goals, interventions, rationales, and evaluation); that a standardized depression screening takes place and is recorded in the EI's file; that follow-up telephone calls and encounters take place as required per the contact schedule and are documented in the EI's file; that EIs' physical and mental health concerns are addressed through formal interventions and/or referrals; and that MCT invitations are sent to high-risk EIs and documented in the file.
- MCA-NW should ensure that all EIs have a care plan on file; that all care plans contain the 5 required components (i.e., assessment/identified needs, goals, interventions, rationales, and evaluation); that follow-up telephone calls and encounters take place as required per the contact schedule and are documented in the EI's file; that medication reconciliation take place as required; that care plans are updated based on a change in the EI's needs at least once every 90 days; and that MCT meetings are conducted in the required 60-day time period for high-risk EIs.
- NACC should ensure that all needs identified in the Psychosocial Health Risk Assessment are addressed in the care plan; that all care plans include a rationale for each intervention; that all care plans have an evaluation of effectiveness; that all care plans include documentation of all referrals/consultations to specialists to ensure appropriate tracking/follow-up; that all care plans are reviewed/evaluated at each encounter with the EI; that care plans are updated based on a change in EI's needs; that maternity EIs have an encounter at the second and third trimesters; and that Psychosocial Health Risk Assessments are completed and risk stratification scores are justified.

# **Performance Measures**

• **Each ACHN entity** should review and trend their performance for Antidepressant Medication Management and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for this measure. Further, each entity should determine if a particular demographic subgroup is under-represented or disproportionately impacted by lack of adequate antidepressant medication management.

- ACN Mid-State, ACN Southeast, GCTC, and MCA-C should review and trend their performance for
  Initiation of Treatment for AOD and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for
  this measure. Further, each entity should determine if a particular demographic subgroup is underrepresented or disproportionately impacted by lack of initiation of treatment for AOD.
- **Each ACHN entity** should review and trend their performance for Engagement in Treatment for AOD and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for these measures. Further, each entity should determine if a particular demographic subgroup is under-represented or disproportionately impacted by lack of engagement in treatment for AOD.

In the domain of timeliness, IPRO recommends the following:

# **Performance Measures**

- ACN Mid-State, GCTC, MCA-C, MCA-NW, and NACC should review and trend their performance for Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for this measure. Further, each entity should determine if a particular demographic subgroup is under-represented or disproportionately impacted by lack of timely well-child visits.
- GCTC, MCA-C, MCA-E, MCA-NW, and NACC should review and trend their performance for Timeliness of Prenatal Care and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for this measure.
   Further, each entity should determine if a particular demographic subgroup is under-represented or disproportionately impacted by untimely prenatal care.

In the domain of access, IPRO recommends the following:

# **Performance Measures**

- Each ACHN entity should review and trend their performance for Cervical Cancer Screening and Adult BMI
  Assessment and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for these measures.
  Further, each entity should determine if a particular demographic subgroup is under-represented or
  disproportionately impacted by lack of adequate access to preventive care.
- ACN Mid-State, ACN Southeast, GCTC, MCA-C, MCA-NW, and NACC should review and trend their performance for Child Access to Care and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for this measure. Further, each entity should determine if a particular demographic subgroup is under-represented or disproportionately impacted by lack of adequate access to preventive care.

# II. Alabama Medicaid Managed Care Program

# **Managed Care in Alabama**

The State of Alabama's Medicaid program is administered through the Alabama Medicaid Agency (AMA). The Medicaid program provides healthcare coverage for approximately 971,000 individuals enrolled in the ACHN program. There are 7 ACHN entities contracted with AMA, each responsible for a defined region of the state.

In 2019, the state went live with their 1915(b) waiver, which consolidated their previous programs (Patient 1st, Health Home, Maternity Care, and Plan First) into a single, region-specific care coordination program referred to as the ACHN.

The Patient 1st Program was launched in 2004 and followed a traditional primary care case management (PCCM) model, wherein AMA contracted with physicians who had agreed to serve as primary medical providers, providing medical services directly or through a referral process. The Health Home Program was established regionally in 2012 and expanded statewide in 2015. This program relied on primary medical providers contracted with Health Homes to provide PCCM services to Health Home enrollees. The Maternity Care Program was established in 1988 and developed to address infant mortality and the lack of delivering healthcare professionals (DHCPs). The Plan First Program was implemented in 2002 to address the need for continued family planning services to individuals who would have otherwise lost eligibility, with services designed to reduce unintended pregnancies and improve the well-being of children and families. Women 19–55 years of age whose income was at or below 141% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) were eligible. A standard income disregard of 5% of the FPL is applied if the individual is not eligible for coverage due to excess income. In 2015, AMA began coverage of vasectomies and care coordination for Medicaid-eligible males aged 21 or older. It is anticipated that combining these programs (Patient 1st, Health Home, Maternity Care, and Plan First) will help improve care coordination efforts and health outcomes among Alabama's Medicaid population.

**Table 1** displays Medicaid enrollment and assignment across the 7 regions as of December 2021.

Table 1: Medicaid Enrollment and Assignment by ACHN Entity

ACHN Entity	Number of Els Enrolled in ACHN (1/1/21-12/31/21)	Number of Els Assigned to Region (12/1/21–12/31/21)
ACN Mid-State	19,051	148,309
ACN Southeast	16,788	132,520
Gulf Coast Total Care (GCTC)	21,578	162,827
My Care Alabama Central (MCA-C)	19,836	128,494
My Care Alabama East (MCA-E)	16,090	135,845
My Care Alabama Northwest (MCA-NW)	14,715	126,976
North Alabama Community Care (NACC)	12,833	135,688

ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; EI: eligible individual; ACN: Alabama Care Network.

# **Alabama Medicaid Quality Strategy**

In AMA's continued effort to place an emphasis on quality and care coordination and to improve health outcomes for Alabama Medicaid enrollees, the Quality Strategy serves as a framework for communicating AMA's approach to ensuring that individuals have timely access to high quality services in a coordinated, cost-effective manner that contributes to the improved health of the population.

AMA has used lessons learned from establishing Regional Care Organizations (RCOs), the Maternity Care Program, the Patient 1st Program, the Patient Care Networks of Alabama (PCNA), and the Health Homes Program to design and implement a new approach for improving healthcare outcomes. As with any other new program, Alabama's Medicaid Program faces significant challenges related to quality, access, and cost of health care services. These challenges are heightened, in part, due to a lack of provider incentives to coordinate care across the continuum of physical and behavioral health. In offering incentives through a new payment model and by addressing these challenges, AMA, in partnership with the ACHN program, can more effectively manage the total cost of care, improve health outcomes, and reduce avoidable hospital care. In addition, Alabama providers have limited means of sharing essential medical information through information technology. However, with the inception of this newly designed program, the Agency is actively trying to ensure quality improvement, as providers are encouraged to not only adopt and implement electronic health record technology, but also to utilize the Agency's current Health Information Exchange (HIE). The ACHN entities are also responsible for creating their own health information management system (HIMS) to track and monitor patient progress.

In moving toward a system of coordinated care, Alabama has placed an emphasis on quality and has identified maternity outcomes, obesity, and substance use as opportunities/priority areas. Through the ACHN Program, AMA seeks to accomplish the following objectives:

- Improve care coordination and reduce fragmentation in the State's delivery system.
- Create aligned incentives to improve beneficiary clinical outcomes.
- Improve access to health care providers.

Further, AMA has established the following 3 clinical goals: better birth outcomes, reduce childhood obesity, and improve substance abuse initiation and continuation of treatment. As such, each of the ACHN entities are required to carry out a QIP that targets these topics. The Alabama Child Health Improvement Alliance (ACHIA), Alabama Perinatal Quality Collaborative (ALPQC), and the Department of Mental Health are collaborating with the entities in developing, implementing, and monitoring their QIPs.

To ensure consistent communication and engagement in quality improvement, AMA has established various forums and requires participation of ACHN entities and their active providers in routine meetings. The Internal ACHN Quality Forum provides a setting for ACHN entities and AMA to pose questions, share ideas and best practices, discuss new evidence-based research and initiatives, and request training or other support. The external quality-related committees, including the Quality Assurance Committee and the Citizen's Advisory Committee, are charged with supporting quality management activities. The Quarterly Quality Collaborative is an AMA-led effort in which the ACHN entities must participate to discuss utilization and management reports and strategies, innovative health care strategies, quality improvement goals and measures, QIP progress and evaluation, and share program operations and support needs. The Regional Medical Management Committee is the responsibility of the ACHN entities to establish, chaired by their Medical Director, and comprised of all actively participating providers. The purpose of this committee is to implement and supervise program initiatives centered around quality measures; review utilization data with PCPs, as needed, to achieve quality goals of the ACHN; review and assist the ACHN entity in implementing and evaluating QIPs; and discuss and, when appropriate, resolve any issues with the PCPs or the ACHN encounter in providing Care Coordination services to their Els. The Consumer Advisory Committee is designed to advise the ACHN entity on ways it can be more efficient in providing quality care to its enrollees. Lastly, the Medical Care Advisory Committee is a state-established committee to advise on policy development and program administration.

The ACHN Program utilizes a value-based purchasing (VBP) strategy that aligns incentives for the State, ACHN, providers and enrollees to achieve the Program's overarching program objectives. AMA offers a Quality Incentive Payment, wherein the ACHN entity may earn an incentive payment of up to 10% of total revenues if

the entity meets quality targets set by AMA. There are 10 quality measures used to assess ACHN entity performance, in addition to 8 PCP quality measures that are similar to/align with these measures. **Table 2 and Table 3** detail these measures.

**Table 2: ACHN Quality Measures** 

Acronym	Description					
W15-CH Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life						
ABA-AD Adult BMI Check						
WCC-CH	Child BMI					
CCS-AD	Cervical Cancer Screen					
AMR-CH	Asthma Medication Ratio (Child Measure)					
AMR-AD Asthma Medication Ratio (Adult Measure)						
AMM-AD Antidepressant Medication Management						
LBW-AD	Live Births less than 2,500 grams					
CAP-CH	Child Access to Care [four age strata]					
PPC-CH Prenatal and Postpartum: Timeliness of Prenatal Care						
IET-AD	Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD [initiation and					
	continuation phases]					

ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; BMI: body mass index; AOD: alcohol and other drugs.

**Table 3: PCP Quality Measures** 

Acronym	Description			
AWC	Adolescent Well-Care Visits			
W34	Well-Child Visits for Children (age 3–6)			
CIS	Immunization status – Child			
IMA	Immunization status – Adolescent			
AMM	Antidepressant medication management			
CDC	HbA1c test for diabetic patients			
FUA	Follow-up after ER visit for alcohol or other drugs			
CHL	Chlamydia screening in women			

PCP: primary care provider; ER: emergency room.

At the end of each fiscal year, AMA meets with the ACHN entities to review the quality measures and share best practices. Further, each quarter, AMA meets with each entity to review preliminary data, review measure specifications, plan for data gathering, and share early successes and challenges.

On a monthly and quarterly basis, AMA analyzes all available quality reporting to monitor program performance, evaluating reports not only for compliance with contractual requirements, but also for progress toward achieving AMA's program effectiveness goals. Many reporting elements serve as leading indicators for overall program effectiveness. While AMA's first step is to provide technical assistance and learning collaborative opportunities for the ACHN entities, AMA will implement sanctions or corrective action plans to remedy any noncompliance, when necessary.

AMA conducts ongoing monitoring and supervision as required by 42 C.F.R. § 438.66 to determine the ACHN entities' ability to provide services to EIs and resolve any identified operational deficiencies. AMA may require the entity to develop and implement corrective action plans (CAPs) demonstrating their ability to satisfy the requirements of their contract. ACHN entities are contractually required to submit a variety of reports to AMA on a regular basis, as illustrated in **Table 4**. These reports cover many topics including enrollee services, provider availability and accessibility, care coordination, quality management, utilization management

(including underutilization of care), finance and solvency, and grievances and appeals, among others. In addition, ACHNs are required to submit accurate and complete case management data monthly. AMA will use the case management data in its monitoring activities as well as for capitation rate development.

**Table 4: ACHN Reporting Requirements** 

ACHN Report Title	Frequency of Reporting
CAC and Governing Board Minutes	Quarterly (alternating)
Care Coordination Data	As required
Cash Flow Flash Report	Monthly
Financial	Quarterly and annually
Fraud and Abuse Activities	As required
Grievances Log	Quarterly
Medical Management Committee Minutes	Quarterly and annually
Outreach and Education Activities	Quarterly
PCP and DHCP List	Quarterly and Annually
Performance Reports	Quarterly
Pharmacy	Quarterly
Quality Improvement	Quarterly

ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; PCP: primary care provider; DHCP: delivering health care provider.

To help confirm that ACHN entities submit reports to AMA that are meaningful and comparable across regions, AMA developed a reporting manual that is made available to the ACHNs. This reporting manual defines the specifications and formats that entities must use when developing and submitting reports to AMA. When reviewing the ACHN reports, AMA uses standard operating procedures to collect, analyze, and summarize findings for each report. Health System Managers also compile report findings across ACHN entities to identify areas of opportunity for discussion at ACHN quarterly meetings and learning collaboratives.

As part of the ongoing monitoring phase, each Health Systems Manager is required to conduct an onsite visit to ensure the entity is meeting the RFP or other contractual obligations in addition to efficiently and effectively serving the Medicaid population and improving health outcomes. These visits are performed on a quarterly basis. These visits provide an insight into day-to-day operations and allow the Health Systems Manager to visually see and experience workflows and processes that might not be witnessed while offsite.

# IPRO's Assessment of the Alabama Medicaid Quality Strategy

Alabama's Medicaid Quality Strategy aligns with Federal regulations at 42 CFR 438.340(b). Assessment of the ACHN Program and strategies for improvement are clearly stated, and methods for measuring and monitoring ACHN entity progress toward improving health outcomes incorporate EQR activities. The Quality Strategy will evolve as the ACHN Program continues to grow, as more data become available, and as AMA gathers additional feedback from stakeholders, beneficiaries, providers, and State agencies.

# **Recommendations to AMA**

IPRO recommends that AMA:

- Include in the next iteration of the Medicaid quality strategy quantifiable targets for each quality measure being used to evaluate and incentivize ACHN entities and PCPs. Further, include quantifiable targets for the 3 clinical focus areas (i.e., adverse birth outcomes, childhood obesity, and SUD).
- Continue to work with the ACHN entities to identify and address access issues faced by EIs, particularly in rural communities.
- Work with providers to understand and mitigate barriers they face in providing care to Els.

- Evaluate and promote telehealth capabilities of providers.
- Outline the PCP Bonus Payment methodology, as this is not currently specified in the Quality Incentive Payment Methodology section of the Quality Strategy.
- Define network adequacy standards.

# **III. Validation of Performance Improvement Projects**

# **Objectives**

Title 42 CFR § 438.330(d) establishes that state agencies require contracted managed care entities to conduct PIPs that focus on both clinical and non-clinical areas. According to the CMS, the purpose of a PIP is to assess and improve the processes and outcomes of health care provided by these entities.

AMA requires each ACHN entity to develop and implement QIPs to assess and improve processes of care with the desired result of improving outcomes of care. The projects are focused on the health care needs that reflect the demographic characteristics of the ACHN entities' membership, the prevalence of disease, and the potential risks of the disease. QIP topics were selected by AMA. An assessment is conducted for each project upon proposal submission and again for interim and final remeasurement using a tool developed by IPRO and consistent with CMS EQR protocols. Update reports are provided quarterly and assessed by IPRO and AMA. QIP proposals were submitted November 2019, with re-submissions requested, and final review and approval by March 2020. Interim year 1 reports were due April 2021, interim year 2 reports are due April 2022, and final reports are due April 2023.

Beginning October 1, 2019, AMA required each of the ACHN entities to perform 1 QIP for each of the following topics: adverse birth outcomes, childhood obesity, and substance use disorder. These QIPs are scheduled to conclude December 31, 2022. These topics and the ACHN entities carrying them out are displayed in **Table 5.** 

**Table 5: ACHN Entity QIP Topics** 

Entity	QIP Topic(s) <sup>1</sup>
ACN Mid-State	
ACN Southeast	
Gulf Coast Total Care	Adverse Birth Outcomes
My Care Central	Childhood Obesity
My Care East	Substance Use Disorder
My Care Northwest	
North Alabama Community Care	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes quality improvement projects (QIPs) that started, are ongoing, and/or were completed in the review year.

ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; QIP: quality improvement project; ACN: Alabama Care Network.

Title 42 CFR § 438.356(a)(1) and 42 CFR § 438.358(b)(1) establish that state agencies must contract with an EQRO to perform the annual validation of QIPs. To meet these federal regulations, AMA contracted with IPRO to validate the QIPs that were underway in 2021.

# **Technical Methods of Data Collection and Analysis**

IPRO's validation process begins at the QIP proposal phase and continues through the life of the QIP. During the conduct of the QIPs, IPRO provides technical assistance to each ACHN entity.

CMS's Protocol 1. Validation of Performance Improvement Projects was used as the framework to assess the quality of each PIP, as well as to score the compliance of each PIP with both federal and state requirements. IPRO's assessment involves the following 10 elements:

- 1. Review of the selected study topic(s) for relevance of focus and for relevance to the entity's enrollment.
- 2. Review of the project aims and objectives, ensuring alignment with interventions.
- 3. Review of the identified study population to ensure it is representative of the entity's enrollment and generalizable to the entity's total population.
- 4. Review of selected study indicator(s), which should be objective, clear, unambiguous, and meaningful to the focus of the QIP.
- 5. Review of sampling methods (if sampling used) for validity and proper technique.
- 6. Review of the data collection procedures to ensure complete and accurate data were collected.
- 7. Review of the data analysis and interpretation of study results.
- 8. Assessment of the improvement strategies for appropriateness.
- 9. Assessment of the likelihood that reported improvement is "real" improvement.
- 10. Assessment of whether the entity achieved sustained improvement.

Following the review of the listed elements, the review findings are considered to determine whether the QIP outcomes should be accepted as valid and reliable. Specific to Alabama, each QIP requirement is then assessed based on the entity's compliance with elements 1–10 (listed above). Note that there are also subelements reviewed, the detail of which is provide in **Table 7**. The element is determined to be "met", "partially met", "not met", or "not applicable". **Table 6** displays the compliance levels and their corresponding definitions.

**Table 6: QIP Validation Compliance Levels** 

0				
Compliance Level Compliance Level Description				
Met	The entity has demonstrated that they have addressed the requirement.			
Partially Met	The entity has demonstrated that they have addressed the requirement, but not in its entirety.			
Not Met	The entity has not addressed the requirement.			
Not Applicable	The requirement was not applicable for review.			

QIP: quality improvement project.

IPRO provided QIP report templates to each entity for the submission of project proposals and interim updates. All data needed to conduct the validation were obtained through these report submissions and supplemented by quarterly update calls, wherein the entities had the opportunity to discuss their projects.

Upon final reporting, a determination will be made as to the overall credibility of the results of each QIP, with assignment of 1 of 3 categories:

- There were no validation findings that indicate that the credibility of the QIP results is at risk.
- The validation findings generally indicate that the credibility of the QIP results is not at risk. Results must be interpreted with some caution.
- There are 1 or more validation findings that indicate a bias in the QIP results.

IPRO's assessment of indicator performance will be based on the following 4 categories upon final reporting:

- Target met (or exceeded), and performance improvement demonstrated.
- Target not met, but performance improvement demonstrated.
- Target not met, and performance decline demonstrated.
- Unable to evaluate performance at this time.

# **Description of Data Obtained**

Information obtained throughout the reporting period included project rationale, aims and goals, target population, performance indicator descriptions, performance indicator rates (baseline and interim), methods

for performance measure calculations, targets, benchmarks, barriers, interventions (planned and executed), tracking measures and rates, and limitations.

# **Conclusions and Comparative Findings**

QIP validation results for each ACHN entity are shown in Table 7–Table 9.

**Table 7: MY 2020 Adverse Birth Outcomes QIP Validation Results** 

	ACNM	ACNS	GCTC	MCA-C	MCA-E	MCA-NW	NACC
Validation Element			Adve	rse Birth Outo	comes		
Project Topic							
Attestation signed and project identifiers completed	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
2. Project topic impacts the maximum proportion of Els that is	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
feasible							
3. Potential for meaningful impact on EI health, functional	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
status or satisfaction							
4. Topic reflects high-volume or high risk-conditions	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
5. Topic supported by ACHN EI data (e.g., historical data	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
related to disease prevalence)							
6. Aims, objectives, and interventions are in alignment	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
7. Goal sets a target improvement rate that is bold, feasible,	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
and based upon baseline data and strength of interventions.							
The rationale for target rate is provided.							
Methodology							
8. Study uses objective, clearly defined, measurable, time-	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
specific indicators to track performance and improvement							
outcomes							
9. Performance indicators are measured consistently over	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
time							
10. Performance indicators measure changes in health status,	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
functional status, satisfaction or processes of care with strong							
associations with improved outcomes							
11. Eligible population (i.e., Medicaid enrollees to whom the	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
QIP is relevant) is clearly defined							
12. If sampling was used, the ACHN identified a	N/A	Met	N/A	N/A	Met	Met	N/A
representative sample utilizing statistically sound							
methodology to limit bias. The sampling technique specifies							
estimated/true frequency, margin of error, and confidence							
interval.							
13. Data collection procedures to ensure that data are valid,	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
reliable, and representative of the entire eligible population							
with a corresponding timeline							
14. Data analysis procedures indicate a) the entity will	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
interpret improvement in terms of achieving target rates and							
b) the entity will monitor intervention tracking measures so							

	ACNM	ACNS	GCTC	MCA-C	MCA-E	MCA-NW	NACC
Validation Element			Adve	rse Birth Outo	comes		
that stagnating or worsening quarterly ITM trends will trigger							
barrier/root cause analysis							
15. Procedures indicate data source, hybrid vs.	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
administrative, reliability (e.g., Inter-Rater Reliability [IRR])							
16. Timeline specifies baseline, interim and final	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
measurement time periods, start date for interventions, and							
QIP report due dates							
Barrier Analysis, Interventions and Monitoring							
17. Barriers to improvement identified through data analysis	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Partially	Met
and quality improvement processes (e.g., fishbone diagram,						Met	
provider/EI input at focus groups or quality meetings, claims							
data stratified by clinical/demographic characteristics to							
identify susceptible subpopulations)							
18. Robust El and provider interventions (e.g., active El	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
outreach and engagement and active provider outreach and							
education) undertaken to address identified causes/barriers							
19. Interventions are new or enhanced, starting after baseline	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
period							
20. Interventions have corresponding monthly or quarterly	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
ITMs, with numerator/denominator (specified in proposal							
and baseline QIP reports, with actual data reported in interim							
and final QIP reports)							
21. Interventions were modified and/or successes spread as	Met	Met	Met	N/A	Met	Met	Met
informed by interpretation of ITMs							
Results							
22. In the Results table, the numerators, denominators and	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
rates of the annual performance indicators are correctly							
reported							
23. Target rates are reported in the Results table. If target	Met	Met	Met	Met	Partially	Met	Partially
rates are achieved during the Interim Period, the entity					Met		Met
adjusts the target rate for incremental improvement.							
24. Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or	Partially	Met	Partially	Not Met	Partially	Partially	Partially
quarterly ITMs?	Met		Met		Met	Met	Met
25. The ACHN adhered to the statistical techniques outlined	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	N/A
in the data analysis plan (note that hypothesis testing should							
only be used to test significant differences between							
independent samples)							

	ACNM	ACNS	GCTC	MCA-C	MCA-E	MCA-NW	NACC
Validation Element	Adverse Birth Outcomes						
Discussion							
26. Interpretation of extent to which QIP is successful, and	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
the factors associated with success (e.g., performance							
indicator relative to target rates, interventions, with							
interpretation of ITMs, barriers addressed)							
27. Identification of study limitations (i.e., factors that that	Met	Met	Partially	Met	Met	Met	Met
threaten internal/external validity)			Met				

MY: measurement year; QIP: quality improvement project; ACNM: ACN Mid-State; ACNS; ACN Southeast; GCTC: Gulf Coast Total Care; MCA-C: My Care Alabama Central; MCA-E: My Care Alabama East; MCA-NW: My Care Alabama Northwest; NACC: North Alabama Community Care; EI: eligible individual; ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; ITM: intervention tracking measure; N/A: not applicable.

**Table 8: MY 2020 Childhood Obesity QIP Validation Results** 

	ACNM	ACNS	GCTC	MCA-C	MCA-E	MCA-NW	NACC
Validation Element			Ch	nildhood Obes	ity		
Project Topic							
1. Attestation signed and project identifiers completed	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
2. Project topic impacts the maximum proportion of Els that is	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
feasible							
3. Potential for meaningful impact on EI health, functional	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
status or satisfaction							
4. Topic reflects high-volume or high risk-conditions	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
5. Topic supported by ACHN EI data (e.g., historical data	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
related to disease prevalence)							
6. Aims, objectives, and interventions are in alignment	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
7. Goal sets a target improvement rate that is bold, feasible,	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not met
and based upon baseline data and strength of interventions.							
The rationale for target rate is provided.							
Methodology				_			
8. Study uses objective, clearly defined, measurable, time-	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
specific indicators to track performance and improvement							
outcomes							
9. Performance indicators are measured consistently over	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
time							
10. Performance indicators measure changes in health status,	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
functional status, satisfaction, or processes of care with							
strong associations with improved outcomes							

	ACNM	ACNS	GCTC	MCA-C	MCA-E	MCA-NW	NACC
Validation Element			Ch	ildhood Obes	ity		
11. Eligible population (i.e., Medicaid enrollees to whom the	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
QIP is relevant) is clearly defined							
12. If sampling was used, the ACHN identified a	N/A	Met	N/A	N/A	Met	Met	N/A
representative sample utilizing statistically sound							
methodology to limit bias. The sampling technique specifies							
estimated/true frequency, margin of error, and confidence							
interval.							
13. Data collection procedures to ensure that data are valid,	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
reliable, and representative of the entire eligible population							
with a corresponding timeline							
14. Data analysis procedures indicate a) the entity will	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
interpret improvement in terms of achieving target rates and							
b) the entity will monitor intervention tracking measures so							
that stagnating or worsening quarterly ITM trends will trigger							
barrier/root cause analysis							
15. Procedures indicate data source, hybrid vs. administrative,	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
reliability (e.g., Inter-Rater Reliability [IRR])							
16. Timeline specifies baseline, interim, and final	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
measurement time periods, start date for interventions, and							
QIP report due dates							
Barrier Analysis, Interventions and Monitoring					ı		
17. Barriers to improvement identified through data analysis	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Partially	Met
and quality improvement processes (e.g., fishbone diagram,						Met	
provider/EI input at focus groups or quality meetings, claims							
data stratified by clinical/demographic characteristics to							
identify susceptible subpopulations)							
18. Robust El and provider interventions (e.g., active El	Partially	Met	Met	Met	Met	Partially	Met
outreach and engagement and active provider outreach and	Met					Met	
education) undertaken to address identified causes/barriers							
19. Interventions are new or enhanced, starting after baseline	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
period							
20. Interventions have corresponding monthly or quarterly	Met	Met	Partially	Met	Met	Partially	Met
ITMs, with numerator/denominator (specified in proposal and			Met			Met	
baseline QIP reports, with actual data reported in interim and							
final QIP reports)							
21. Interventions were modified and/or successes spread as	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	N/A
informed by interpretation of ITMs							

	ACNM	ACNS	GCTC	MCA-C	MCA-E	MCA-NW	NACC
Validation Element	Childhood Obesity						
Results							
22. In the Results table, the numerators, denominators and	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
rates of the annual performance indicators are correctly							
reported							
23. Target rates are reported in the Results table. If target	Met	Met	Met	Partially	Partially	Met	Partially
rates are achieved during the Interim Period, the entity				Met	Met		Met
adjusts the target rate for incremental improvement.							
24. Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or	Partially	Met	Partially	Partially	Met	Met	Partially
quarterly ITMs?	Met		Met	Met			Met
25. The ACHN adhered to the statistical techniques outlined in	N/A	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	N/A
the data analysis plan (note that hypothesis testing should							
only be used to test significant differences between							
independent samples)							
Discussion							
26. Interpretation of extent to which QIP is successful and the	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
factors associated with success (e.g., performance indicator							
relative to target rates, interventions, with interpretation of							
ITMs, barriers addressed)							
27. Identification of study limitations (i.e., factors that that	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
threaten internal/external validity)							

MY: measurement year; QIP: quality improvement project; ACNM: ACN Mid-State; ACNS: ACN Southeast; GCTC: Gulf Coast Total Care; MCA-C: My Care Alabama Central; MCA-E: My Care Alabama East; MCA-NW: My Care Alabama Northwest; NACC: North Alabama Community Care; ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; EI: eligible individual; ITM: intervention tracking measure; N/A: not applicable.

Table 9: MY 2020 Substance Use Disorder QIP Validation Results

	ACNM	ACNS	GCTC	MCA-C	MCA-E	MCA-NW	NACC
Validation Element	Substance Use Disorder						
Project Topic							
1. Attestation signed and project identifiers completed	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
2. Project topic impacts the maximum proportion of Els that is	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
feasible							
3. Potential for meaningful impact on EI health, functional	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
status or satisfaction							
4. Topic reflects high-volume or high risk-conditions	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
5. Topic supported by ACHN EI data (e.g., historical data	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
related to disease prevalence)							
6. Aims, objectives, and interventions are in alignment	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met

	ACNM	ACNS	GCTC	MCA-C	MCA-E	MCA-NW	NACC
Validation Element	Substance Use Disorder						
7. Goal sets a target improvement rate that is bold, feasible,	Met	Partially	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
and based upon baseline data and strength of interventions.		Met					
The rationale for target rate is provided.							
Methodology		·					
8. Study uses objective, clearly defined, measurable, time-	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
specific indicators to track performance and improvement							
outcomes							
9. Performance indicators are measured consistently over	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
time							
10. Performance indicators measure changes in health status,	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
functional status, satisfaction or processes of care with strong							
associations with improved outcomes							
11. Eligible population (i.e., Medicaid enrollees to whom the	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
QIP is relevant) is clearly defined							
12. If sampling was used, the ACHN identified a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
representative sample utilizing statistically sound							
methodology to limit bias. The sampling technique specifies							
estimated/true frequency, margin of error, and confidence							
interval.							
13. Data collection procedures to ensure that data are valid,	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
reliable, and representative of the entire eligible population							
with a corresponding timeline							
14. Data analysis procedures indicate a) the entity will	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
interpret improvement in terms of achieving target rates and							
b) the entity will monitor intervention tracking measures so							
that stagnating or worsening quarterly ITM trends will trigger							
barrier/root cause analysis							
15. Procedures indicate data source, hybrid vs.	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
administrative, reliability (e.g., Inter-Rater Reliability [IRR])							
16. Timeline specifies baseline, interim and final	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
measurement time periods, start date for interventions, and							
QIP report due dates							
Barrier Analysis, Interventions and Monitoring							
17. Barriers to improvement identified through data analysis	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Partially	Met
and quality improvement processes (e.g., fishbone diagram,						Met	
provider/EI input at focus groups or quality meetings, claims							

	ACNM	ACNS	GCTC	MCA-C	MCA-E	MCA-NW	NACC
Validation Element	Substance Use Disorder						
data stratified by clinical/demographic characteristics to							
identify susceptible subpopulations)							
18. Robust EI and provider interventions (e.g., active EI	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
outreach and engagement and active provider outreach and							
education) undertaken to address identified causes/barriers							
19. Interventions are new or enhanced, starting after baseline	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
period							
20. Interventions have corresponding monthly or quarterly	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Partially	Partially
ITMs, with numerator/denominator (specified in proposal						Met	Met
and baseline QIP reports, with actual data reported in interim							
and final QIP reports)							
21. Interventions were modified and/or successes spread as	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not met
informed by interpretation of ITMs							
Results							
22. In the Results table, the numerators, denominators and	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Partially	N/A
rates of the annual performance indicators are correctly						Met	
reported							
23. Target rates are reported in the Results table. If target	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Partially	Met
rates are achieved during the Interim Period, the entity						Met	
adjusts the target rate for incremental improvement.							
24. Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or	Partially	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Not met
quarterly ITMs?	Met						
25. The ACHN adhered to the statistical techniques outlined	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	N/A
in the data analysis plan (note that hypothesis testing should							
only be used to test significant differences between							
independent samples)							
Discussion			_				
26. Interpretation of extent to which QIP is successful, and	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Partially
the factors associated with success (e.g., performance							Met
indicator relative to target rates, interventions, with							
interpretation of ITMs, barriers addressed)							
27. Identification of study limitations (i.e., factors that that	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
threaten internal/external validity)							

MY: measurement year; QIP: quality improvement project; ACNM: ACN Mid-State; ACNS: ACN Southeast; GCTC: Gulf Coast Total Care; MCA-C: My Care Alabama Central; MCA-E: My Care Alabama East; MCA-NW: My Care Alabama Northwest; NACC: North Alabama Community Care; EI: eligible individual; ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; ITM: intervention tracking measure; N/A: not applicable.

# **Adverse Birth Outcomes**

Through the validation process, IPRO determined that the following validation elements for the Adverse Birth Outcomes QIP did not achieve full compliance:

#### **ACN Mid-State**

Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or quarterly ITMs? (Partially met)

• Improvement was not observed in annual performance indicators and/or quarterly ITMs. While improvement over time was observed across several ITMs, there was a decline in performance among those related to EIs who scheduled a follow-up appointment after delivery of a low birthweight baby, as well as those who attended a follow-up appointment after delivery. Further, there was a decline in the performance of the indicator of percentage of live deliveries with low birth weight.

# **Gulf Coast Total Care**

Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or quarterly ITMs? (Partially met)

• Improvement was not observed in the percentage of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams or in the percentage of pregnant EIs that received prenatal care in the first trimester.

Identification of study limitations (i.e., factors that that threaten internal/external validity) (Partially met)

• It is stated in the interim report that "The findings support the premise that EIs with hypertension, diabetes, and preterm delivery history are at greater risk for complications and preterm/low birth weight delivery." While this may be true, it is not supported by the ACHN's findings.

# My Care Alabama Central

Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or quarterly ITMs? (Not met)

• Improvement was not observed in either the performance indicators or quarterly ITMs.

# My Care Alabama East

Target rates are reported in the Results table (Partially met)

• Entity should consider adjusting target rates for Indicators 1 and 2 (as the target rates have been achieved during the interim period).

Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or quarterly ITMs? (Partially met)

• A decline in performance was observed in the percentage of deliveries of live births on or between November 6 of the year prior to the MY and November 5 of the MY that had a postpartum visit between 21 and 56 days after delivery from baseline to the interim period.

#### My Care Alabama Northwest

Barriers to improvement identified through data analysis and quality improvement processes (Partially met)

The barriers cited do not correspond with the method of barrier identification. For instance,
 "Prenatal/Postpartum visit rates" is listed as the method of identification behind barriers related to lack of education of prenatal care visits and lack of knowledge about postpartum visits.

Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or quarterly ITMs? (Partially met)

- Improvement was not observed in annual performance indicators and/or quarterly ITMs.
- No meaningful longitudinal comparison can be made from the limited data points reflected in the interim report.

# North Alabama Community Care

Target rates are reported in the Results table. If target rates are achieved during the Interim Period, the entity adjusts the target rate for incremental improvement. (Partially met)

• Target rates are stated within the Results table; however, the interim rates exceeded these targets, and thus goals should be adjusted accordingly going forward.

Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or quarterly ITMs? (Partially met)

- Certain ITMs cannot be interpreted effectively due to a low denominator, and a few ITMs declined in performance over the course of CY 2020.
- Indicator improvement cannot be determined, as there are no baseline data associated with this project.

# **Childhood Obesity**

Through the validation process, IPRO determined that the following validation elements for the Childhood Obesity QIP did not achieve full compliance:

# **ACN Mid-State**

Robust EI and provider interventions undertaken to address identified causes/barriers (Partially met)

 While there are interventions that address scheduling children for well visits and distributing MyPlate materials/jump ropes/Frisbees®, there have not been many children impacted by these interventions (evidenced by the ITMs, and the corresponding numerators).

Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or quarterly ITMs? (Partially met)

• ITMs did not show improvement, and 3 of 4 interventions did not show improvement.

# **Gulf Coast Total Care**

Interventions have corresponding monthly or quarterly ITMs, with numerator/denominator (Partially met)

• The entity needs to align ITMs and barriers with descriptions and timeframes.

Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or quarterly ITMs? (Partially met)

Indicators did not demonstrate improvement.

# My Care Alabama Central

Target rates are reported in the Results table (Partially met)

• The target for Indicator 3 is not stated in the Results table. Further, target rates should be reviewed across indicators; adjustments may be warranted, given that interim rates exceeded these targets.

Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or quarterly ITMs? (Partially met)

One indicator demonstrated improvement. One (1) did not have data available for baseline, and the other
did not have data available for either baseline or interim remeasurement. ITM data were scarce, with the
majority of measures only having data for Q1 2021.

#### My Care Alabama East

Target rates are reported in the Results table (Partially met)

• The entity should consider updating their target rate (28.4%) for their indicator (the percentage of children 3–17 years of age with a documented BMI) based on their interim rate of 69.2%.

#### My Care Alabama Northwest

Barriers to improvement identified through data analysis and quality improvement processes (Partially met)

• The entity should describe in the "Method of Barrier Identification" section exactly how the barrier was identified.

Robust EI and provider interventions (Partially met)

Both interventions listed in the document seem to be addressing the same barrier. The entity should
consolidate interventions under 1 barrier heading if they are addressing the same barrier. The entity
should consistently number all barriers, interventions, and ITMs.

Interventions have corresponding monthly or quarterly ITMs, with numerator/denominator (Partially met)

- The rates for some of the ITMs are not calculated correctly.
- MCA-NW should ensure all ITMs address the indicated Intervention, as listed in the report.

# North Alabama Community Care

Goal sets a target improvement rate that is bold, feasible, and based upon baseline data and strength of interventions. The rationale for target rate is provided. (Not met)

- Goals should be adjusted in response to the updated baseline rates provided (note that in the proposal submission, there were no baseline data, and thus goals based on AMA historical data were appropriate at that time).
- There are inconsistencies between the various tables in the report in how goals are stated.

Target rates are reported in the Results table. If target rates are achieved during the Interim Period, the entity adjusts the target rate for incremental improvement. (Partially met)

Target rates are reported; however, they are not consistent with the targets stated in other tables, nor are
they appropriate given the baseline rates (for instance, Indicator 1, percentage of Els 3-6 with
documentation of BMI in record, has a baseline of 89.5% and a goal of 70%).

Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or quarterly ITMs? (Partially met)

• Several ITMs did not demonstrate improvement. One (1) of 3 indicators did not demonstrate improvement.

# **Substance Use Disorder**

Through the validation process, IPRO determined that the following validation elements for the Substance Use Disorder QIP did not achieve full compliance:

#### **ACN Mid-State**

Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or quarterly ITMs? (Partially met)

• Decline in performance shown in the percentage of EIs with a buprenorphine, Suboxone®, or methadone prescription with successful contact, and in the percentage of EIs who kept their follow-up appointment.

#### **ACN Southeast**

Goal sets a target improvement rate that is bold, feasible, and based upon baseline data and strength of interventions. The rationale for target rate is provided. (Partially met)

• The baseline rate for Indicator 1 in Table 2: Goals does not coincide with the numerator and denominator components provided.

# My Care Alabama Northwest

Barriers to improvement identified through data analysis and quality improvement processes (Partially met)

• The method of barrier identification is not appropriate for 1 of the barriers listed within the report. Without supporting data and analysis of such, the barrier identification method given constitutes only an assumption.

Interventions have corresponding monthly or quarterly ITMs, with numerator/denominator (Partially met)

• There are several ITMs that are missing data, and the numbering of barriers, interventions, and ITMs are not in alignment.

In the Results table, the numerators, denominators, and rates of the annual performance indicators are correctly reported (Partially met)

• There were slight indicator calculation issues, given rounding errors.

Target rates are reported in the Results table. If target rates are achieved during the Interim Period, the entity adjusts the target rate for incremental improvement. (Partially met)

• Interim rates for Indicators 1 and 2 have surpassed target rates; thus, targets should be adjusted in light of interim performance.

# North Alabama Community Care

Interventions have corresponding monthly or quarterly ITMs, with numerator/denominator (Partially met)

- Two of the ITMs have the same denominator description (number of Els in Cullman, Jackson, Limestone, Madison, Marshall, and Morgan counties with a substance use disorder diagnosis); however, they have a different number reflected for this denominator.
- Q4 2020 data are missing for several ITMs.
- The numerator and denominator units do not match for 1 ITM. It is not appropriate to have 2 different units (i.e., Els versus PCPs); they should be consistent.

Interventions were modified and/or successes spread as informed by interpretation of ITMs (Not met)

There is no evidence that ITMs were used to inform next steps in intervention development/planning.

Improvement shown in annual performance indicators or quarterly ITMs? (Not met)

• The indicator rate is not reported and ITM data are limited (only 2 quarters of data available in most cases, and there do not appear to be trends that indicate and improvement in the progress of interventions).

Interpretation of extent to which QIP is successful, and the factors associated with success (Partially met)

- NACC states that not starting the ROSS referrals until September 2020 made it difficult to interpret success or failure of the QIP. There is no interpretation based on other interventions, however.
- The ACHN should review the barriers associated with the interventions that had been terminated, and determine if there are other activities they can take part in to address these barriers.

QIP summaries, including aim, interventions, and overall performance are reported in **Table 10–Table 16** for each ACHN entity.

# Table 10: ACN Mid-State QIP Summaries, 2020–2021

# **ACN Mid-State QIP Summaries**

#### **QIP 1: Adverse Birth Outcomes**

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

ACN Mid-State will implement for pregnant Els and Els 18–44 years of age an in-house monitoring program to educate and provide self-management for Els diagnosed with hypertension and/or diabetes to reduce preterm birth and improve birth outcomes from baseline to final measurement.

# **ACN Mid-State QIP Summaries**

#### Interventions in 2020/2021

- Implemented the use of hypertension/diabetes monitoring for management of diabetes and hypertension for EIs identified as childbearing age 18–44 who are not pregnant.
- Implemented the use of hypertension/diabetes monitoring for management of diabetes and hypertension for pregnant Els.
- Outreached to Els who deliver a low weight baby (< 2,500 grams) to complete social determinants of health screening.
- Maternity care coordinators completed a social determinants of health screening at initial assessment of all new pregnant Els.
- Referred postpartum Els to family planning.
- Implemented an education video series to promote healthy birth outcomes and decrease infant mortality. Topics included breastfeeding, count the kicks, safe sleep, and family planning.

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

ACN Mid-State observed an increase in the percentage of live deliveries with low birth weight (defined as a weight of less than 2,500 grams) from baseline (2019) to interim remeasurement (2020). Of all EIs referred to in-house monitoring, 100% were successfully enrolled. Further, all care coordinators received education for basic nutrition for diabetes/hypertension management to better support and educate EIs. All EIs who completed a social determinants of health screening that were identified as having a need were connected to a community resource.

# QIP 2: Childhood Obesity

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

ACN Mid-State will assist EIs in scheduling well visits with emphasis on good nutrition/physical activity for those EIs with BMI > 85th percentile to reduce the number of overweight and obese children in the Mid-State Region by 2%.

# Interventions in 2020/2021

- Utilized AMA data to identify the ZIP Codes® with largest percentage of EIs 3–11 years of age with a diagnosis of overweight or obese.
- Utilized AMA data to identify Els 3–11 years of age with a BMI > 85th percentile without a well visit.
- Provided MyPlate materials for nutrition education, and jump rope and Frisbee to promote physical activity.
- Implemented a virtual 6-week Eating Smart Being Active Program administered by Alabama Corporative Extension (ACE) for EIs age 6–14 with BMI > 85th percentile.
- Assisted primary care providers (PCPs) in contacting Els/parents to educate them on the importance of well-child visits and to assist in scheduling.

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

One of 4 indicators demonstrated improvement from baseline (2019) to interim remeasurement (2020). The percentage of annual BMI assessments completed for EIs 3–19 years of age improved significantly, while the percentage of EIs with an annual well visit and with a diagnosis of overweight or obese saw a decline in performance.

#### QIP 3: Substance Use Disorder

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

Implement support during recovery to improve the percentage of EIs 18–64 years of age with SUD to improve primary and mental health care, or support through community resources to increase patient engagement and retention in SUD treatment.

#### Interventions in 2020/2021

- Used AMA data to outreach EIs with SUD for care coordination for primary/mental health care, or community resources.
- Used AMA data to outreach Els with SUD to refer to Peer Support Specialist.

# **ACN Mid-State QIP Summaries**

- Referred pregnant Els identified at assessment by maternal care coordinator with history/active SUD to Peer Support Specialist.
- Refer family members of Els diagnosed with SUD to University of Alabama Family Wellness Program.

# **Performance Improvement Summary**

The performance indicators established at the outset of the project were changed due to data collection/availability issues, and thus improvement cannot be interpreted. However, improvement was seen in the percentage of EIs enrolled in primary and mental health care as well as community resources. There was also progress made in the percentage of EIs with a prescription for medication-assisted therapy who were enrolled in peer support. In 2022, the ACHN will implement a school-based SUD prevention program for middle school students, as well as provide continuing medical education credits for providers for SUD.

ACN: Alabama Care Network; QIP: quality improvement project; N/A: not applicable; EI: eligible individual; BMI: body mass index; AMA: Alabama Medicaid Agency; PCP: primary care provider; SUD: substance use disorder; ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network.

## Table 11: ACN Southeast QIP Summaries, 2020–2021

# **ACN Southeast QIP Summaries**

#### QIP 1: Adverse Birth Outcomes

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

- Improve the rate of pregnant EIs who have a prenatal visit in the first trimester from 64.9% to 67.8% with a focus on Macon and Russell counties, which had a rate of late prenatal care of 40.4% and 40.3%, respectively, in 2017.
- Decrease the percentage of live births < 2,500 grams from baseline of 9.5% to 9.3%.
- Increase the percentage of well-child visits in the first 15 months of life from 64.2% to 65.0% with a focus on Barbour and Coffee counties.

# Interventions in 2020/2021

- Worked with delivering health care professional (DHCP) offices and maternity Els to schedule initial visit within first trimester.
- Incentive package of diapers at delivery provided to Els who attended 80% of prenatal and postpartum visits.
- Pregnant Els with hypertension or diabetes diagnosis referred to internal biomonitoring program.
- ACN Southeast staff member assigned to a pediatric office to case-manage office population.
- Distributed safe sleep information to caregiver of EI (as opposed to birth parent—change made in 2021).
- Targeted case management of Els 0–15 months of age.

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

An improvement in the percentage of pregnant EIs with a prenatal visit in the first trimester was observed from baseline (2019) to interim remeasurement (2020). The other performance indicators associated with this project (the percentage of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams and the percentage of infants 0–15 months of age with 6 well-child visits) demonstrated a decline in performance. The number of biomonitoring referrals and enrollment increased from 2020 to 2021. Those who completed the biomonitoring program demonstrated a longer gestational period (> 37 weeks) as well as greater birth weight (> 2,500 grams) than those who were lost to follow-up.

## QIP 2: Childhood Obesity

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

Provide education and support for breastfeeding, nutritional and physical activity education for EIs 3–6 with BMI > 85th percentile, and gardening materials to children in pre-k, kindergarten, and first grade in order to decrease the percentage of children with BMI > 85th percentile and increase well-child visits.

# **ACN Southeast QIP Summaries**

#### Interventions in 2020/2021

- Distributed MyPlate education and physical activity education to Els 3–6 years of age with a BMI > 85th percentile.
- Provided gardening materials to children in pre-k, kindergarten, and first grade to provide augmented education on healthy eating.
- Provided education and support to encourage breastfeeding in infants up to 3 months of age.
- Developed a process for referral of Els 3–6 years of age who have a BMI > 85th percentile

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

While the performance indicator (percentage of EIs 3–6 years of age with a well-child visit) demonstrated a decline in performance, there were some notable advancements made in the process measures. A total of 3,297 children have participated in the school gardening project to date. There were 2 elementary schools that declined gardening supplies, stating that the teachers had been overwhelmed with COVID-19 scheduling challenges; however, both schools requested contact for the next school year. A steady increase throughout 2021 was observed in the percentage of EIs who were breastfeeding at 3 months or more postpartum, as well as the percentage of EIs 3–6 years of age with a BMI > 85th percentile who received nutritional and physical activity education.

# QIP 3: Substance Use Disorder

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

Develop an infrastructure within ACNS to increase the percent of EIs who initiate SUD treatment within 14 days of a new episode diagnosis from 39.6% to 40.0% and continue in treatment with at least 2 alcohol and other drugs (AOD) services within 34 days from 5.6% to 6.5%, in addition to supporting existing EIs with SUD to enroll EI into treatment.

### Interventions in 2020/2021

- Assisted with transportation resources for SUD treatment when non-emergent transportation reimbursement was not available.
- Provided funding for residential housing costs for Els who participate in recovery programs at non-billing SUD programs (e.g., community and faith-based programs).
- Partnered with SpectraCare Mental Health in Houston County to financially support dedicated SUD staff members.
- Began education in local schools regarding substance use prevention.

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

There was a slight decline in the percentage of EIs with an SUD diagnosis who received treatment from baseline (2019) to interim remeasurement (2020). The Southeast region faced access issues, given the lack of facilities that provide SUD treatment services (only 4 out of the 13 counties in Southeast have residential treatment facilities). The ACHN did observe an increase in the number of EIs who were assessed by SpectraCare in emergency departments and is continuing to spread this pilot across several counties. Going forward, the ACHN will focus on prevention efforts within the local school districts.

ACN: Alabama Care Network; QIP: quality improvement project; N/A: not applicable; BMI: body mass index; EI: eligible individual; COVID-19: 2019 novel coronavirus; ACNS: Alabama Care Network Southeast; SUD: substance use disorder; ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network.

# Table 12: Gulf Coast Total Care QIP Summaries, 2020–2021

#### **Gulf Coast Total Care QIP Summaries**

#### **QIP 1: Adverse Birth Outcomes**

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

GCTC will implement a critical care protocol to specifically target EIs that are at additional risk for pre-term delivery. Additionally, GCTC will grow opportunities for pregnant EIs to enter prenatal care in the first trimester. These interventions will decrease the infant mortality rate in the Southwest region.

#### Interventions in 2020/2021

- Identified EIs through psychosocial assessment with 1 of the critical risk diagnoses (hypertension, diabetes, or previous pre-term delivery) to enroll in biomonitoring.
- Improved EI knowledge regarding critical risk diagnosis and care plan adherence through biomonitoring activities.

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

While 2 indicators (percentage of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams and the percentage of EIs that received prenatal care in the first trimester) demonstrated a decline in performance, GCTC exceeded their target of 50% for their third indicator (percentage of critical-risk EIs who completed 37 weeks of gestation). GCTC will continue to focus on their biomonitoring efforts going forward.

# QIP 2: Childhood Obesity

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

GCTC will assist EIs in enrolling in the 14,000 Steps Challenge to help reduce the number of overweight and obese children in the Southwest region by 1%.

# Interventions in 2020/2021

- Used AMA data to target Els 7–11 years of age with Z68.53 diagnosis code.
- Promoted increased physical activity through implementing the 14,000 Step Challenge.
- Used AMA data and PCP attribution lists to target practices with a large number of Els 7–11 years of age with Z68.53 or Z68.54 diagnosis code.
- Using AMA data, identified EIs that have Z68.53 or Z68.54 diagnosis code, and provided a list of these patients to the practice to which they were attributed.
- Assisted PCPs in educating Els and their parent(s) on the importance of an annual PCP visit.

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

There was an improvement in the percentage of annual BMI assessments completed for Els 3–17 years of age; however, there was a decline in performance for the percentage of Els 7–11 years of age that had an annual PCP visit. There was a new indicator established (the percentage of Els 7–11 years of age with a diagnosis of overweight), the performance of which cannot be determined at this time, given lack of baseline data.

#### QIP 3: Substance Use Disorder

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

GCTC will increase by 2% the percentage of EIs 18 and older initiating and continuing treatment for SUD.

# Interventions in 2020/2021

- Identified EIs 18 years of age and older with a new AOD diagnosis, specifically for opioid use disorder (discrete ICD-10 F11 diagnoses).
- Connected Els with an opioid use disorder and receiving medication-assisted therapy (MAT) to People Engaged in Recover (PEIR) to help facilitate the incorporation of counseling and behavioral therapies into treatment plan and access other available community resources.
- Through educational outreach, aimed to improve the comfort level of PCPs in managing EIs with an opioid use disorder (specifically ICD 10 code F11). The medical director, pharmacy manager and/or quality manager provided

# **Gulf Coast Total Care QIP Summaries**

training on: pathophysiology of opioid use disorder, prescribing guidelines, MAT options, quality measures, and community resources. Eligible providers are ACHN-contracted providers whose attributed El population majority is 18 years of age and older.

- Certified recovery support specialist (CRSS) performed outreach within 24 hours of receipt of referral (phone call, letter) to Els that have a new episode of opioid abuse or dependence diagnosis or received their first MAT prescription fill. CRSS assisted Els in enrolling in care coordination and completing the adult placement assessment.
- CRSS also assisted Els with accessing outpatient treatment through barrier assessment and support, transporting
  or providing transportation assistance (bus passes, scheduling other transportation), following up with El to
  confirm assessment completion, periodic contact for guidance/encouragement (phone calls), and connecting to
  other community resources/referrals.

### **Performance Improvement Summary**

The 2 performance indicators in place in 2020 (the percentage of EIs initiating in treatment within 14 days of new AOD diagnosis, and the percentage of EIs who had two or more additional AOD services or MAT within 34 days of initiation) both demonstrated improvement. These indicators were replaced with 3 alternate indicators in 2021, the outcomes of which will be reported in the 2023 Annual Technical Report. The inability to establish partnerships with hospital systems in the region due to the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic posed significant limitations. Further, interventions informed by data related to the diagnoses of the population were hindered by the inability to access these data in a timely manner.

QIP: quality improvement project; N/A: not applicable; GCTC: Gulf Coast Total Care; EI: eligible individual; AOD: alcohol and other drugs; AMA: Alabama Medicaid Agency; PCP: primary care provider; BMI: body mass index; SUD: substance use disorder; ICD: International Classification of Diseases; MAT: medication assisted therapy; PEIR: People Engaged in Recovery; ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; CRSS: certified recovery support specialist; COVID-19: 2019 novel coronavirus.

# Table 13: My Care Central QIP Summaries, 2020-2021

# **My Care Central QIP Summaries**

# **QIP 1: Adverse Birth Outcomes**

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

Implement a Family Planning clinic with Baptist Health and school-based education program to improve preconception wellness among Medicaid-eligible women of childbearing age. Focus will be on reducing the prevalence of STIs and improving pregnancy spacing through the use of family planning methods.

#### Interventions in 2020/2021

- Initiated an evidence-base Sexual/Reproductive Health Curriculum in a regional high school by embedding QIP staff within the health class.
- Referred Els to Baptist Health Family Medicine to complete cervical cancer screening
- Referred Els to Baptist Health Family Medicine to assist in family planning consultation and contraceptive acquisition.

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

Both performance indicators (percentage of students that completed the Making Proud Choices curriculum, and the percentage of Els who participate in women's health appointments at Baptist Health Family Medicine) had a baseline of 0%. Significant improvement was demonstrated by the end of 2020, wherein both indicators had achieved rates close to their target of 90%.

# **My Care Central QIP Summaries**

#### QIP 2: Childhood Obesity

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

To improve childhood obesity by behavioral modification in the mother by increasing education, breastfeeding, early access to the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) and utilizing the American Academy of Pediatrics feeding guidelines.

#### Interventions in 2020/2021

- QIP nurses provided in-home breastfeeding education and support to EI moms by assisting with enrollment in the Strong Momma program.
- Support and education from QIP nurses to improve early prenatal (less than 28 weeks gestation) access to WIC.
- Nurses will educate the Els on the importance of the well-child visit in the first 15 months of life, based on the AAP guidelines, prenatally and through infancy.

# **Performance Improvement Summary**

There was a steady improvement in the percentage of EI mothers who were provided breastfeeding education in 2021, as well as the percentage still breastfeeding over 30 days after initiation. Of the 3 indicators being used for this project, only 1 had baseline data and thus could be assessed for improvement: the percentage of EIs enrolled in WIC during the prenatal period increased significantly from baseline (2019) to remeasurement (2020).

#### QIP 3: Substance Use Disorder

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

Provide EIs with SUD diagnosis the increased opportunity to receive SUD treatment within a timely manner.

# Interventions in 2020/2021

- Adult placement assessment (APA) completed by My Care Central or the Recovery Organization of Support Specialists (ROSS).
- Peer support specialists provided transportation for EIs to initiate treatment and attend two or more AOD/MAT services 30 days after initiation.

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

A very small number of EIs are being targeted by interventions, according to the ITMs. My Care Central indicated this was due to the COVID-19 pandemic and third-party limitations. The ACHN has been encouraged to develop interventions that target a higher volume of their SUD population. The percentage of EIs with an SUD diagnosis who initiated treatment remained constant from baseline (2019) to remeasurement (2020); however, there was a slight improvement in those who had two or more additional services following initiation.

QIP: quality improvement project; N/A: not applicable; STI: sexually transmitted infection; EI: eligible individual; WIC: Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children; AAP: American Academy of Pediatrics; SUD: substance use disorder; APA: adult placement assessment; ROSS: the Recovery Organization of Support Specialists; AOD: alcohol or other drugs; MAT: medication-assisted treatment; ITM: intervention tracking measure; COVID-19: 2019 novel coronavirus.

# Table 14: My Care East QIP Summaries, 2020–2021

# **My Care East QIP Summaries**

# QIP 1: Adverse Birth Outcomes

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

- Implement the use of a smoking cessation mobile application, which will focus on behavioral change versus drug therapy to improve quit rates for pregnant Els from baseline to final measurement.
- Implement the process of incentivizing EIs for attendance of prenatal and postpartum visits to mitigate risks of smoking during pregnancy and increase the chance of a safe and healthy delivery.

# **My Care East QIP Summaries**

#### Interventions in 2020/2021

- Provided support, resources, and education for Els through completion of smoking cessation program for pregnant women via Quit Genius mobile app.
- Incentivized EIs to attend prenatal and postpartum appointments to increase appointment compliance and education of pregnancy resources. Gift cards to stores supplying new baby essentials, postpartum mom essentials (mom pampering—idea for postpartum issues), gas cards, etc. were provided after verification of visits.

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

The percentage of women who smoke during pregnancy declined from baseline (2019) to remeasurement (2020), and there was an increase in the percentage of Els that had a postpartum visit 21–56 days following delivery. There was a decline in performance for the low birthweight indicator, wherein percentage of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams increased from baseline to remeasurement.

# QIP 2: Childhood Obesity

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

In order to improve child access to care and BMI assessment, implement a program to incentivize EIs' parents for attendance of well-child visits; implement the HEAL (Healthy Eating, Active Living) Program curriculum in physical education classes for two elementary schools; and initiate a pilot program providing telehealth nutrition, physical activity, and behavior change by registered dietician nutritionist for children 6–12 years of age with a BMI > 85th percentile.

# Interventions in 2020/2021

- Provided incentives for EIs who attended well-child visits and participated in nutrition and physical activity counseling.
- Implemented the HEAL Program curriculum in physical education classes for two Title I elementary schools in the My Care East region.
- Provided telehealth nutrition, physical activity, and behavior change by UAB registered dietician nutritionist for children 6–12 years of age with a BMI > 85th percentile.

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

There was a significant improvement in the percentage of children 3–17 years of age with a BMI assessed and documented. There was an increase in the percentage of children with a diagnosis of overweight or obese, and thus a decline in performance.

#### QIP 3: Substance Use Disorder

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

- Implement the use of peer support specialists to improve the percent of Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for alcohol and other drugs (AOD).
- Implement the use of My Care East master's-level social workers to conduct timely adult placement assessments to improve entry into substance treatment facilities after detox.
- Implement the use of community-building to create a substance use disorder task force to improve community capacity to identify and connect recipients to substance use resources in St. Clair and Talladega counties.

# Interventions in 2020/2021

- Implemented the use of peer support specialists to help improve the percent of Els who initiate and engage in treatment for alcohol and other drug use. Peer specialists provided services throughout the entire continuum of care by providing emotional support and mentoring, linking Els to information and resources, and assisting Els with completing paperwork and helping with transportation.
- Implemented the use of My Care East master's-level social workers to conduct timely adult placement assessments to improve entry into substance treatment facilities after detox.

# **My Care East QIP Summaries**

# **Performance Improvement Summary**

There was a slight increase in the percentage of EIs that initiated AOD treatment from baseline (2019) to remeasurement (2020), as well as an increase in the percentage of EIs who had 2 or more services or medication treatment following initiation.

QIP: quality improvement project; N/A: not applicable; EI: eligible individual; BMI: body mass index; HEAL: Healthy Eating, Active Living; UAB: University of Alabama Birmingham; AOD: alcohol and other drugs.

### Table 15: My Care Northwest QIP Summaries, 2020–2021

#### **My Care Northwest QIP Summaries**

#### **QIP 1: Adverse Birth Outcomes**

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

Positively impact EI health outcomes and experiences of care by implementing the following interventions:

- Increasing home visits to improve prenatal care
- Increasing early access to prenatal visits to improve birth outcomes
- Increasing staff knowledge to improve care coordination outcomes
- Increasing enrollment in family planning to improve birth spacing

#### Interventions in 2020/2021

Nurse Family Partnership (NFP) provided educational handouts on prenatal and postpartum care visits; information on healthy growth and development of the baby within the first week of delivery up to 2 years of age; and information related to contraceptive methods.

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

While there was a decline in the percentage of Els attending prenatal care visits from baseline (2019) to remeasurement (2020), there was notable progress within the ITMs in the counties that were being targeted by this QIP in 2021. Compliance with prenatal care visits was 100% for each quarter throughout 2021, and postpartum visit compliance ranged from 67% to 85%. Further, there was a steady increase in the percentage of Els who sought contraception (particularly among the teenage cohort).

#### QIP 2: Childhood Obesity

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

Reduce childhood obesity by implementing the following activities and interventions:

- Identify the attributed EIs from the University Medical Center (UMC) and Cahaba Medical Care Foundation (Cahaba) with a BMI of 95% or greater.
- Identify the percentage of attributed EIs from UMC and Cahaba with a BMI of 95% or greater who receive nutrition and physical activity counseling.
- Refer the attributed Els identified by Cahaba and UMC with a BMI of 95% or greater to be enrolled to care coordination services
- Partner with UMC and Cahaba to identify and refer Els to the Support and Help in Nutrition and Exercise (SHINE)
- Distribute provider educational cheat sheet to improve BMI coding.

#### Interventions in 2020/2021

- Nutritional classes (via webinar) provided in partnership with Auburn Cooperative Extension Office.
- Practices in Tuscaloosa and Bibb counties provided nutritional and activity counseling to Els with BMI of 95% or greater and referred these Els to My Care NW care coordination services.
- Referred Els with a BMI of 95% of greater to SHINE Clinic.
- Delivered coding cheat sheets for providers to assist them with how to code BMI correctly.

#### My Care Northwest QIP Summaries

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

Many interventions were redesigned and initiated in 2021. The indicator associated with this QIP (the percentage of EIs 3–17 years of age who had an outpatient visit with evidence of BMI documentation) demonstrated a significant increase from baseline (2019) to remeasurement (2020). Over 50% of providers were given the BMI coding cheat sheet, nutritional and physical activity counseling was provided for 75% of EIs as of the last quarter of 2021, and 37% of those referred to targeted care coordination received this service.

#### QIP 3: Substance Use Disorder

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

Improve access to and initiation and engagement of treatment for alcohol and other drugs (AOD) by implementing the following interventions:

- Utilize peer support specialists to assist EIs in initiating and engaging in treatment, and provide outreach to PCPs, DHCPs, and rehab facilities.
- Implement the use of My Care Northwest master's-level social workers to conduct timely adult placement assessments to improve entry into substance treatment facilities.

#### Interventions in 2020/2021

- Assigned Els to peer support specialists to assist with AOD treatment initiation.
- Master's-level social workers trained in how to complete adult placement assessment to assist ROSS with getting the assessment completed in a timely manner.
- Peer support specialists provided Els with transportation to AOD/SUD treatment.

### **Performance Improvement Summary**

The percentage of EIs that initiated AOD treatment remained constant from baseline (2019) to interim remeasurement (2020); however, there was an improvement in the percentage of EIs that engaged (continued) in AOD treatment. The peer support specialists successfully provided orientation to various providers in Tuscaloosa County with the goal of continuing outreach until all providers in that county have been oriented to ROSS services, and then the focus will be Bibb County. A very low percentage of EIs identified as in need of transportation services had received this assistance, due primarily to COVID-19 limitations and EI refusal to follow through on initiating treatment.

QIP: quality improvement project; N/A: not applicable; EI: eligible individual; NFP: Nurse Family Partnership; ITM: intervention tracking measure; UMC: University Medical Center; BMI: body mass index; SHINE: Support and Help in Nutrition and Exercise; NW: northwest; AOD: alcohol and other drugs; PCP: primary care provider; DHCP: delivering health care provider; ROSS: Recovery Organization of Support Specialists; SUD: substance use disorder; COVID-19: 2019 novel coronavirus.

## Table 16: North Alabama Community Care QIP Summaries, 2020–2021

## **North Alabama Community Care QIP Summaries**

#### **QIP 1: Adverse Birth Outcomes**

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

To decrease the rate of adverse birth outcomes in the Northeast Alabama region by managing maternal obesity and failed glucose tolerance test (GTT) during pregnancy, and to increase the amount of EIs with maternal obesity and failed GTTs that receive nutritional and healthy lifestyle counseling during their pregnancy.

#### Interventions in 2020/2021

- Provided nutritional counseling to pregnant EIs identified during their first prenatal visit as having a BMI of 30 or greater, and/or who failed their GTT.
- Educated pregnant EIs with a BMI of 30 or greater on the benefits to the EI and unborn infant by participating in physician approved physical activities, smoking cessation, and breastfeeding.

#### **North Alabama Community Care QIP Summaries**

• Promoted inter-conception care by referring Els with a BMI of 30 or greater and/or who failed their GTT to enrollment in Plan First services.

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

There were no baseline data available for the 3 indicators associated with this project, and thus performance improvement from baseline to interim remeasurement cannot be determined. Nutritional counseling for EIs who failed their GTT increased from year 1 to year 2, indicating success in this area. Further, the percentage of EIs with a BMI of 30 or greater that were breastfeeding at the postpartum visit remained above 25% (with a high of 47% in Q1 of 2021).

#### QIP 2: Childhood Obesity

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

To prevent childhood obesity in the northeast region of Alabama, to improve the percentage of EIs 3-6 years of age with documentation of BMI in their medical record, and to improve the percentage of EIs 3–6 years of age with a BMI between 85% and 94% who receive nutritional and healthy lifestyle counseling.

# Interventions in 2020/2021

- PCPs and pediatricians educated on the correct collection of BMI and reporting BMI on claims submissions.
- PCP and pediatricians referred EIs 3–6 years of age with a BMI between 85%-94% to NACC for counseling.
- Case management to assess EI readiness to change provided by NACC for EIs 3–6 years of age with a BMI between 85% and 94%.
- Food boxes distributed for Els 3–6 years of age with a BMI between 85% and 94% referred by 2 large pediatrician
  offices. Box distribution managed by dietician, community health workers, and Extension Services to focus on
  child nutrition, increasing physical activity, and reducing screen time. A pre- and post-questionnaire are being
  completed by those Els accepting the box distribution to assess effectiveness of box contents.
- Education provided by NACC maternity care coordinators to discuss the benefits of breastfeeding with first-time pregnant Els.
- First-time pregnant EIs identified as breastfeeding during the in-hospital care coordination visit received communication from NACC within 2 weeks of delivery to offer coordination with local lactation support services.

#### **Performance Improvement Summary**

The percentage of EIs with documentation of BMI in their medical record declined from baseline (2019) to interim remeasurement (2020), as did the percentage of EIs still breastfeeding upon postpartum visit. There was a decline as well in the percentage of EIs 3–6 years of age with a BMI between 85% and 94%, however this was a favorable decline. ITMs indicate that over the course of 2021 there was an increase in the percentage of EIs that were breastfeeding at their postpartum visit. Further, successful food box deliveries were observed throughout 2021, and the majority of EIs returned a questionnaire associated with this program (the results of which will be reviewed in 2022).

#### QIP 3: Substance Use Disorder

Validation Summary: N/A (the project will not be completed until 12/31/2022)

#### Aim

To decrease the rate of adverse health outcomes related to substance use disorders in the Northeast Alabama Medicaid population and increase the percentage of those who receive treatment.

#### Interventions in 2020/2021

- Hosted provider group training sessions and onsite education at providers' offices on the referral process to identify EIs with SUD. A conference on SUD was hosted by NACC in September 2020.
- Incentivized physicians to become MAT-certified by reimbursing physicians for the time spent completing certification.
- Provided brief intervention for EIs that were referred to NACC by providers, to educate them on the consequences of substance use and encourage substance use-free and healthy lifestyle choices.

#### **North Alabama Community Care QIP Summaries**

• Coordinated 8:00 a.m.–5:00 p.m. support with ROSS to address the support needs of EIs with a substance use disorder diagnosis and complete referrals to residential facilities for SUD treatment.

### **Performance Improvement Summary**

Provider training on the referral process as well as provider incentive for completing MAT certification appeared to have a minimal impact on referrals to NACC and MAT certification, respectively, according to the ITMs. Further, a very low percentage of EIs identified with SUD contacted ROSS for support. Of those EIs that providers had referred to NACC, an increasing percentage received brief intervention throughout 2021. The indicator (the percentage of EIs 13 years of age or older with a new episode of SUD receiving treatment) cannot be evaluated, given lack of baseline data.

QIP: quality improvement project; N/A: not applicable; GTT: glucose tolerance test; EI: eligible individual; BMI: body mass index; Q1: quarter 1; PCP: primary care provider; NACC: North Alabama Community Care; ITM: intervention tracking measure; SUD: substance use disorder; MAT: medication-assisted treatment; ROSS: Recovery Organization of Support Specialists.

IPRO's assessment of indicator performance from baseline (2019) to interim remeasurement (2020) was based on the following 3 categories (**Table 17**):

- Improvement in performance demonstrated (denoted by green highlight).
- Decline in performance demonstrated (denoted by red highlight).
- Unable to evaluate performance at this time, or performance remained constant (denoted by gray highlight).

Table 17 displays a summary of IPRO's improvement assessment for each project indicator by QIP topic by entity.

**Table 17: Assessment of ACHN Entity QIP Indicator Performance** 

		VEHILITY QIP III dicator Performance	Assessment of Performance,
			Baseline (2019) to Year 1
ACHN Entity	Indicator #	Indicator Description	(2020)
		QIP 1: Adverse Birth Outcomes	
ACN Mid-State	1	Percentage of live deliveries with low birth weight	Decline in performance
		Baseline: 9.7%; Interim: 11.3%; Target: 9.5%	
ACN Southeast	1	Percentage of pregnant Els who have a prenatal visit in the first trimester	Improvement in performance
		Baseline: 64.9%; Interim: 76.5%; Target: 70.6%	demonstrated
	2	Percentage of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams	Decline in performance
		Baseline: 9.5%; Interim: 9.7%; Target: 9.1%	
	3	Percentage of infants 0–15 months of age with 6 well-child visits	Decline in performance
		Baseline: 64.2%; Interim: 60.2%; Target: 65.0%	
Gulf Coast Total	1	Percentage of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams	Decline in performance
Care		Baseline: 10.8%; Interim: 15.3%; Target: 9.3%	
	2	Percentage of pregnant Els that received prenatal care in the first trimester	Decline in performance
		Baseline: 68.9%; Interim: 52.6%; Target: 75.9%	
	3	Percentage of Els defined as critical risk who completed 37 weeks of gestation	Unable to evaluate
		Baseline: N/A; Interim: 52.8%; Target: 50.0%	performance at this time
My Care Central	1	Percentage of students enrolled in targeted High School that completed the curriculum	Improvement in performance
		Making Proud Choices	
		Baseline: 0%; Interim: 84.0%; Target: 90.0%	
	2	Percentage of students who participate in Women's Health appointments at Behavioral	Improvement in performance
		Health Family Medicine Program and complete a screen	
		Baseline: 0%; Interim: 81.0%; Target: 90.0%	
My Care East	1	Percentage of pregnant women who smoke during pregnancy	Improvement in performance
		Baseline: 26.4%; Interim: 15.4%; Target: 15.0%	
	2	Percentage of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams	Improvement in performance
		Baseline: 8.8%; Interim: 7.5%; Target: 80.0%	
	3	Percentage of live births delivered on or between November 6 of the year prior to the MY	Decline in performance
		and November 5 of the MY that had a postpartum visit 21–56 days after delivery	
		Baseline: 68.1%; Interim: 31.6%; Target: 72.9%	
My Care	1	Percentage of live births delivered on or between Nov. 6 of the year prior to the MY and	Decline in performance
Northwest		Nov. 5 of the MY that received a prenatal care visit in the first trimester, on the enrollment	
		start date, or within 42 days of enrollment	
		Baseline: 61.2%; Interim: 55.8%; Target: 68.9%	

ACHN Entity	Indicator #	Indicator Description	Assessment of Performance, Baseline (2019) to Year 1 (2020)
North Alabama	1	Percentage of pregnant EIs identified as having a BMI greater than or equal to 30.0 at their	Unable to evaluate
Community Care		first prenatal visit receiving nutritional and healthy lifestyle counseling Baseline: N/A; Interim: 90.6%; Target: 93.0%	performance at this time
	2	Percentage of pregnant EIs that fail their GTT receiving nutritional and healthy lifestyle counseling  Baseline: N/A; Interim: 96.0%; Target: 98.0%	Unable to evaluate performance at this time
	3	Percentage of pregnant Els with a BMI greater than or equal to 30.0 at their first prenatal visit and/or Els that fail their GTT enrolling in Plan First services after delivery Baseline: N/A; Interim: 37.8%; Target: 50.0%	Unable to evaluate performance at this time
		QIP 2: Childhood Obesity	
ACN Mid-State	1	Percentage of annual BMI assessments completed for EIs 3–19 years of age Baseline: 8.6%; Interim: 59.9%; Target: 70.0%	Improvement in performance
	2	Percentage of Els 3–6 years of age that had an annual well visit  Baseline: 61.1%; Interim: 52.7%; Target: 66.7%	Decline in performance
	3	Percentage of Els 7–11 years of age that had an annual well visit  Baseline: 74.9%; Interim: 42.4%; Target: 78.6%	Decline in performance
	4	Percentage of Els 3–11 years of age with diagnosis of overweight or obese Baseline: 35.1%; Interim: 41.8%; Target: 34.1%	Decline in performance
ACN Southeast	1	Percentage of Els 3–6 years of age who had a well-child visit  Baseline: 61.6%; Interim: 58.3%; Target: 76.3%	Decline in performance
Gulf Coast Total Care	1	Percentage of Els 3–17 years of age who have an annual BMI assessment completed Baseline: 62.3%; Interim: 93.8%; Target: 95.0%	Improvement in performance
	2	Percentage of Els 7–11 years of age with a diagnosis code of overweight (ICD Z68.53)  Baseline: N/A; Interim: N/A; new in 2021 Target: 1% reduction	Unable to evaluate performance at this time
	3	Percentage of Els 7-11 years of age that had an annual PCP visit  Baseline: 89.1%; Interim: 63.4%; Target: 91.4%	Decline in performance
My Care Central	1	Percentage of initiation of breastfeeding. Baby placed on the breast during hospital stay Baseline: N/A; Interim: 67.6%; Target: 81.9%	Unable to evaluate performance at this time
	2	Percentage of pregnant Els enrolled in WIC during the prenatal period, first trimester Baseline: 46.0%; Interim: 72.0%; Target: 59.1%	Improvement in performance
	3	Percentage of increase in well child visits during first 15 months of life, 6 or more Baseline: N/A; Interim: N/A; Target: 61.8%	Unable to evaluate performance at this time
My Care East	1	Percentage of children 3–17 years of age who had an outpatient visit with a PCP or ob/gyn practitioner and had evidence of BMI documentation  Baseline: 6.7%; Interim: 69.2%; Target: 70.0%	Improvement in performance

ACHN Entity Indicator #		Indicator Description	Assessment of Performance, Baseline (2019) to Year 1 (2020)
	2	Percentage of children 3–17 years of age with a diagnosis of overweight or obese in East Region  Baseline: 1.6%; Interim: 2.99%; Target: 2.75%	Decline in performance
My Care Northwest	1	Percentage of children 3–17 years of age who had a visit with PCP or ob/gyn practitioner and who had evidence of BMI documentation  Baseline: 11.7%; Interim: 62.7%; Target: 65.0%	Improvement in performance
North Alabama Community Care	1	Percentage of Els 3–6 years of age with documentation of BMI in their medical record Baseline: 89.5%; Interim: 72.1%; Target: 73.0%	Decline in performance
	2	Percentage of Els 3–6 years of age with a BMI between 85% and 94%  Baseline: 16.0%; Interim: 14.7%; Target: 14.0%	Improvement in performance
	3	Percentage of first-time pregnant Els that are breastfeeding at postpartum visit  Baseline: 31.3%; Interim: 45.6%; Target: 46.0%	Improvement in performance
		QIP 3: Substance Use Disorder	
ACN Mid-State	1	Percentage of Els age 18–64 with a new episode of AOD abuse or dependence who engaged in AOD treatment  Baseline: 1.4%; Interim: 12.5%; Target: 41.1%	Improvement in performance
ACN Southeast	1	Percentage of Els with an SUD diagnosis who receive treatment in measurement year  Baseline: 13.9%; Interim: 12.6%; Target: 14.5%	Decline in performance
Gulf Coast Total Care	1	Percentage of Els 18 years of age or older with new episode of AOD abuse or dependence who enroll in care coordination  Baseline: N/A; Interim: N/A; new in 2021 Target: 10.0%	Unable to evaluate performance at this time
	2	Percentage of Els 18 years of age or older with an OUD and first MAT prescription filled (no prior claim in past 60 days) and agreed to PEIR referral  Baseline: N/A; Interim: N/A; new in 2021 Target: 20.0%	Unable to evaluate performance at this time
	3	Percentage of eligible providers who completed Opioid Use Disorder Educational Outreach and Survey and increased knowledge/understanding of Opioid Use Disorder, prescribing guidelines, treatment options and community resources  Baseline: N/A; Interim: N/A; new in 2021 Target: 50.0%	Unable to evaluate performance at this time
My Care Central	1	Percentage of Els with a SUD diagnosis who initiated SUD treatment within 14 days of diagnosis  Baseline: 32.2%; Interim: 32.3%; Target: 37.4%	Performance remained consistent
	2	Percentage of Els who initiated treatment and had two or more additional services within 30 days of initiation visit  Baseline: 2.9%; Interim: 3.6%; Target: 5.2%	Improvement in performance

ACHN Entity	Indicator #	Indicator Description	Assessment of Performance, Baseline (2019) to Year 1 (2020)
My Care East	1	Percentage of beneficiaries 18 years of age or older with a new episode of AOD abuse or dependence who initiated treatment within 14 days of the diagnosis  Baseline: 33.1%; Interim: 33.5%; Target: 36.3%	Improvement in performance
	2	Percentage of beneficiaries 18 years of age or older with a new episode of AOD abuse or dependence who initiated treatment and had 2 or more additional AOD services or MAT within 34 days of the initial visit  Baseline: 3.8%; Interim: 4.4%; Target: 6.4%	Improvement in performance
My Care Northwest	1	Percentage of Els 18 years of age or older with a new episode of AOD abuse or dependence who initiated treatment within 14 days of the diagnosis  Baseline: 41.0%; Interim: 41.1%; Target: 41.1%	Performance remained constant
	2	Percentage of Els 18 years of age or older with a new episode of AOD abuse or dependence who initiated treatment and had 2 or more additional AOD services or MAT within 34 days of the initial visit.  Baseline: 13.3%; Interim: 20.6%; Target: 20.6%	Improvement in performance
North Alabama Community Care	1	Percentage of Els 13 years of age or older with a new episode of SUD receiving treatment Baseline: N/A; Interim: 4.6%; Target: 4.8%	Unable to evaluate performance at this time

ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; QIP: quality improvement project; ACN: Alabama Care Network; EI: eligible individual; N/A: not available; MY: measurement year; GTT: glucose tolerance test; BMI: body mass index; ICD: International Classification of Diseases; PCP: primary care provider; WIC: Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children; ob/gyn: obstetrician/gynecologist; AOD: alcohol and other drugs; SUD: substance use disorder; OUD: opioid use disorder; MAT: medication assisted therapy; PEIR: People Engaged in Recovery; green: improvement in performance demonstrated; red: decline in performance demonstrated; gray: unable to evaluate performance at this time/performance remained constant.

# IV. Review of Compliance with Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations

# **Objectives**

Per 42 CFR §438.358 a review must be conducted within the previous 3-year period that determines an MCE's adherence to standards established by the state related to member rights and protections, access to services, structure and operations, measurement and improvement, and grievance system standards, as well as all applicable elements of the ACHN contract. AMA contracted with IPRO to conduct the 2021 System Performance Review (SPR), to evaluate SFY 2021 (October 1, 2020–September 30, 2021).

# **Technical Methods of Data Collection and Analysis**

The SPR was an assessment of ACHN entity compliance with the ACHN RFP, the ACHN Operations Manual, and 42 CFR Part 438. Each ACHN entity was assessed for its compliance with contractual requirements related to Care Coordination, EI Rights, EI Materials, EI Enrollment and Disenrollment, Grievances, Health Information Management System, Provider Participation, Quality Management, and Subcontracting.

Modifications were made to the review process to have activities take place virtually to mitigate the impact of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic on participating stakeholders. Partial reviews were conducted for areas in which IPRO reviewed elements that were considered less than fully met during the 2020 SPR. Partial reviews were based on the "deeming" methodology. Deeming is an option that allows for information obtained from a previous review or related review to be used to demonstrate compliance. Requirements not reviewed during the 2021 SPR were reviewed in 2020 and deemed fully compliant. This does not indicate these requirements were in compliance for 2021, but rather were not subject to review. New contract requirements were reviewed for all entities. Full reviews were conducted for all file review areas (i.e., Care Coordination and Grievances). Fifteen (15) files were selected for Grievances, and 45 files were selected for Care Coordination (15 each for general, family planning, and maternity). Each set of 15 files had a 5-file over-sample.

IPRO's assessment was conducted in alignment with the CMS *EQR Protocol 3: Review of Compliance with Medicaid and CHIP Managed Care Regulations* and included reviews of ACHN entity-documented policies and procedures, individual EI case files, and interviews with key members of the entity's staff.

The SPR included a comprehensive evaluation of entity policies, procedures, files, and other materials corresponding to the areas in **Table 18**. For the areas that included file review, 20 files were requested for each area. In some instances, there were fewer than 20 files available for review.

**Table 18: SPR Areas and Corresponding Materials Reviewed** 

Area	Document Review	File Review
Care Coordination	✓	✓
EI Materials/Rights/Enrollment/Disenrollment	✓	N/A
Grievances	✓	✓
HIMS	✓	N/A
Provider Participation	✓	N/A
Subcontracting	<b>√</b>	N/A
Quality Management	✓	N/A

SPR: Systems Performance Review; EI: eligible individual; N/A: not applicable; HIMS: health information management system.

For this review, determinations of "full", "partial", and "non-compliant" were used for each element under review. Definitions of these review determinations are presented in **Table 19**.

**Table 19: SPR Determination Definitions** 

Review Determination	Definition
Full	The entity has met or exceeded the requirement.
Partial	The entity had partially met the requirement.
Non-compliant	The entity has not met the requirement.

SPR: Systems Performance Review.

The initial documentation review consisted of policies and procedures, EI-facing materials, provider-facing materials, EI case files, and other documents as needed to demonstrate compliance with specific contractual or regulatory requirements. A team of 8 experienced IPRO compliance officers, clinical and non-clinical, convened to review the ACHN entities' policies, procedures, and materials and assess their concordance with the state's contract requirements. This review was documented using audit tools IPRO developed to capture the review elements and record the findings. These review tools, with IPRO's initial findings, were used to guide the interview portion of the review.

The interview component of the review was composed of a 1-day video conference call with each entity, which included a review of elements in each of the review tools that scored less than 100% compliance based upon initial documentation review. Staff interviews were used to further explore the written documentation and for the entity to provide additional documentation, if available. File review, as indicated, was conducted to assess the entity's implementation of policies, and was conducted in accordance with state standards.

# **Description of Data Obtained**

To conduct the SFY 2021 SPR, IPRO utilized the SFY 2020 SPR findings to inform the deeming strategy. IPRO also utilized information contained within the ACHN entities policies and procedures, their information system demonstrations and documentation, meeting minutes and notes, reports, subcontracts with delegates, grievance files, and care coordination files.

# **Conclusions and Comparative Findings**

Each of the ACHN entities achieved an overall review determination of "Partial", indicating that 1 or more of the requirements reviewed during the 2021 SPR did not demonstrate full compliance. **Table 20** displays the ACHN entities' compliance determinations.

**Table 20: CFR Standards to State Compliance Tool Crosswalk** 

	CFR							MCA-	
CFR Standard Name	Citation	SPR Tool Reference	ACNM	ACNS	GCTC	MCA-C	MCA-E	NW	NACC
Overall compliance score		Partial	Partial	Partial	Partial	Partial	Partial	Partial	
Availability of services	438.206	El Materials, Rights, and Enrollment/Disenrollment	Partial						
Assurances of adequate capacity and services	438.207								
Coverage and authorization of services	438.210								
Confidentiality	438.224								
Coordination and continuity of care	438.208	Care Coordination	Partial						
Provider selection	438.214	Provider Participation	Partial	Partial	Partial	Full	Full	Full	Full
Practice guidelines	438.236	1							
Grievance and appeal systems	438.228	Grievances	Full	Full	Full	Partial	Partial	Partial	Partial
Subcontractual relationships and delegation	438.230	Subcontracting	Partial						
Health information systems	438.242	Health Information Management Systems	Partial	Partial	Partial	Full	Full	Full	Full
QAPI	438.330	Quality Management	Partial						

CFR: Code of Federal Regulations; SPR: Systems Performance Review; ACNM: ACN Mid-State; ACNS: ACN Southeast; GCTC: Gulf Coast Total Care; MCA-C: My Care Alabama Central; MCA-E: My Care Alabama East; MCA-NW: My Care Alabama Northwest; NACC: North Alabama Community Care; EI: eligible individual; QAPI: quality assurance and performance improvement.

#### **ACN Mid-State**

Details of this ACHN entity's performance are included in a separate report (ACN Mid-State SPR Care Coordination Final Findings).

#### Care Coordination

- All requirements were addressed in the ACN Mid-State's policies and procedures.
- Three (3) family planning files and 10 general care coordination files did not contain rationales for the selected interventions within the care plan.
- One (1) family planning file did not contain evidence of evaluation of the plan.
- One (1) family planning file did not contain evidence that the medical condition identified in the Health Risk and Psychosocial Assessment was documented in the care plan or task notes.
- One (1) family planning file did not contain evidence of education regarding STD prevention.
- One (1) general file demonstrated that the Health Risk and Psychosocial Assessment took place more than 5 business days from the date the screening was completed.

# El Rights/Materials/Enrollment

- Of the 52 requirements reviewed for ACN Mid-State, 32 were full, 2 were partial, and 18 were non-compliant. All partial and non-compliant review determinations reflected new requirements. ACN Mid-State incorporated this new language into policies/procedures and submitted for AMA review and approval in February 2022.
- There were 9 partial or non-compliant findings last year (2020) that were all found in full compliance in 2021.

#### **Grievances**

- Of the 9 requirements reviewed for ACN Mid-State, all were determined to be in full compliance.
- There were 4 partial findings last year (2020) that were all found in full compliance in 2021.
- All of the 10 files reviewed were attributed to complaints related to the EI's PCP/DHCP.

### **HIMS**

- Of the 28 requirements reviewed for ACN Mid-State, 26 were full and 2 were partial. ACN Mid-State incorporated the language from the 2 (new) HIMS requirements into policies/procedures and submitted for AMA review and approval in February 2022.
- There was 1 partial requirement last year (2020) that was found in full compliance in 2021.

### **Provider Participation**

• Of the 13 requirements reviewed for ACN Mid-State, 6 were full, 4 were partial, and 3 were not applicable. The partial determinations reflected new requirements. The not applicable determinations reflected language that was in the ACHN Operations Manual but not contained in the RFP and thus not subject to review. ACN Mid-State incorporated the new language into policies/procedures and submitted for AMA review and approval in February 2022.

# **Quality Management**

Of the 37 requirements reviewed for ACN Mid-State, 34 were full and 3 were partial. The partial
determinations reflected requirements related to the QIPs. ACN Mid-State will work to engage a larger
volume of Els, analyze interventions to determine if they are adequately impacting their indicators, and
seek to sustain interventions beyond the time period of the QIP.

## **Subcontracting**

• Of the 8 requirements reviewed for ACN Mid-State, 1 was full, 6 were partial, and 1 was non-compliant. ACN Mid-State incorporated language into policies/procedures and submitted for AMA review and approval in February 2022, in response to the partial and non-compliant findings.

#### **ACN Southeast**

Details of this ACHN entity's performance are included in a separate report (ACN Southeast SPR Care Coordination Final Findings).

#### Care Coordination

- All requirements were addressed in ACN Southeast's policies and procedures.
- Four (4) family planning files did not contain evidence of education regarding STD prevention.
- One (1) family planning file did not contain evidence that the medical conditions identified in the Psychosocial Assessment were documented in care plan or task notes.
- One (1) family planning file and 3 general files did not contain rationales for the selected interventions within the care plan.
- One (1) family planning file did not contain evidence of 2 successful telephone calls over a 12-month period.
- One (1) general file did not contain evidence of a standardized depression screening or substance use screening.

# El Rights/Materials/Enrollment

- Of the 49 requirements reviewed for ACN Southeast, 29 were full, 2 were partial, and 18 were non-compliant. All partial and non-compliant review determinations reflected new requirements. ACN Southeast incorporated this new language into policies/procedures and submitted to AMA for review and approval in February 2022.
- There were 6 partial or non-compliant findings last year (2020) that were all found in full compliance in 2021.

### **Grievances**

- Of the 8 requirements reviewed for ACN Southeast, all were determined to be in full compliance.
- There were 4 partial findings last year (2020) that were all found in full compliance in 2021.
- One (1) of the 17 files reviewed was attributed to dissatisfaction with the case manager or other PCCM-E staff. All others (16) were attributed to complaints related to the EI's PCP/DHCP.

#### HIMS

- Of the 28 requirements reviewed for ACN Southeast, 26 were full and 2 were partial. ACN Southeast incorporated the language from the 2 (new) HIMS requirements into policies/procedures and submitted for AMA review and approval in February 2022.
- There was 1 partial requirement last year (2020) that was found in full compliance in 2021.

#### **Provider Participation**

• Of the 13 requirements reviewed for ACN Southeast, 6 were full, 4 were partial, and 3 were not applicable. The partial determinations reflected new requirements. The not applicable determinations reflected language that was in the ACHN Operations Manual but not contained in the RFP and thus not subject to review. ACN Southeast incorporated the new language into policies/procedures and submitted for AMA review and approval in February 2022.

## **Quality Management**

• Of the 37 requirements reviewed for ACN Southeast, 34 were full and 3 were partial. The partial determinations reflected requirements related to the QIPs. ACN Southeast will include rationales for each of their goals, analyze interventions to determine if they are adequately impacting their indicators, and seek to sustain interventions beyond the time period of the QIP.

# **Subcontracting**

• Of the 8 requirements reviewed for ACN Southeast, 1 was full, 6 were partial, and 1 was non-compliant. ACN Southeast incorporated language into policies/procedures and submitted for AMA review and approval in February 2022 in response to the partial and non-compliant findings.

#### **GCTC**

Details of this ACHN entity's performance are included in a separate report (GCTC SPR Care Coordination Final Findings).

#### Care Coordination

- Of the 82 requirements reviewed for GCTC, 81 were full and 1 was partial. The partial determination
  reflected a requirement related to training of (and by) the social worker, pediatric nurse, and pharmacist
  who work with the children with medical complexity (CMC) population. GCTC indicated they will conduct
  additional CMC training within the 90 days following the SPR and maintain a record of attendance as well
  as content.
- One (1) family planning file demonstrated that EI consent took place 21 days after family planning risk screening tool was conducted.
- One (1) family planning file did not have medical conditions identified in the Health Risk and Psychosocial Assessment documented in the care plan or task notes.
- Three (3) family planning files, 4 general files, and 7 maternity files did not contain all 5 care plan components (assessment/identified needs, goals, interventions, rationales, and evaluation); all were missing rationales, and 1 was lacking an evaluation.
- In 1 family planning file, the care plan was closed due to inability to reach the EI (despite no
  documentation of outreach attempts to the EI). The goal was reviewed afterwards and considered "met",
  despite no evidence that interventions were ever executed. In this scenario, the care plan was evaluated
  but follow-up and monitoring did not occur.
- Five (5) family planning files did not contain evidence of a discussion with the EI on the prevention of STDs.
- One (1) family planning file did not contain evidence of a discussion with the EI on testicular self-exams.
- Five (5) family planning files did not meet the initial and follow-up encounters requirements (1 due to premature closing of the case after 1 documented attempt at outreach, and 4 due to the case being closed without any documented attempts of outreach).
- One (1) general file did not contain evidence that a letter was sent to inform the EI of conducting a health risk and psychosocial assessment (three attempts to contact EI within 30 days to conduct assessment, 1 must be a written letter).
- One (1) general file did not have care plan updated, despite the El's change in condition.
- One (1) general file did not contain evidence of an MCT occurring within the specified timeframe (calendar months 7–12 and every 6 months thereafter).
- Four (4) maternity files did not contain an evaluation to determine the effectiveness of care plan to determine if goals were met/outcomes were desirable. One (1) maternity file demonstrated that the care plan was not reviewed or evaluated at the hospital delivery encounter.

## EI Rights/Materials/Enrollment

- Of the 50 requirements reviewed for GCTC, 24 were full, 3 were partial, and 18 were non-compliant. All
  partial and non-compliant review determinations reflected new requirements. GCTC incorporated this new
  language into policies/procedures and submitted to AMA for review and approval in February 2022.
- There were 6 partial or non-compliant findings last year (2020) that were all found in full compliance in 2021.

#### **Grievances**

- Of the 9 requirements reviewed for GCTC, all were determined to be in full compliance.
- There were 4 partial findings last year (2020) that were all found in full compliance in 2021.
- Of the 24 files reviewed, 23 were attributed to complaints related to PCP/DHCP and 3 were attributed to dissatisfaction with the case manager (note 2 of these 3 cases were double counted, as they also contained complaints related to PCP/DHCP).

#### HIMS

- Of the 28 requirements reviewed for GCTC, 26 were full and 2 were partial. GCTC incorporated the language from the 2 (new) HIMS requirements into policies/procedures and submitted for AMA review and approval in February 2022.
- There was 1 partial requirement last year (2020) that was found in full compliance in 2021.

### **Provider Participation**

Of the 13 requirements reviewed for GCTC, 6 were full, 4 were partial, and 3 were not applicable. The
partial determinations reflected new requirements. The not applicable determinations reflected language
that was in the ACHN Operations Manual but not contained in the RFP and thus not subject to review.
 GCTC incorporated the new language into policies/procedures and submitted for AMA review and
approval in February 2022.

# **Quality Management**

• Of the 38 requirements reviewed for GCTC, 34 were full and 4 were partial. Three (3) partial determinations reflected requirements related to the QIPs, and 1 was related to the Medical Management Meeting (MMM) attendance by providers. GCTC will analyze interventions to determine if they are adequately impacting their indicators and carry out Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycle testing, and then apply lessons learned to current interventions. Further, GCTC will engage providers through their website, weekly emails, and 1-on-1 calls to remind them of contractual obligation of MMM participation.

#### **Subcontracting**

Of the 8 requirements reviewed for GCTC, 1 was full, 6 were partial, and 1 was non-compliant. GCTC incorporated language into policies/procedures and submitted for AMA review and approval in February 2022 in response to the partial and non-compliant findings. Further, the entity indicated they will add language to applicable subcontracts.

#### MCA-C

Details of this ACHN entity's performance are included in a separate report (MCA-C SPR Care Coordination Final Findings).

#### Care Coordination

- All requirements were addressed in the MCA-C policies and procedures.
- Two (2) family planning files, 10 general files, and 3 maternity files did not contain all 5 care plan components (assessment/identified needs, goals, interventions, rationales, and evaluation).
- Two (2) general files did not contain evidence of a standardized depression screen or substance use screen.

- Four (4) maternity files did not contain an evaluation to determine the effectiveness of the care plan to determine if goals were met/outcomes were desirable.
- One (1) maternity file did not have evidence of a first follow-up encounter (in the second or third trimester).
- Two (2) maternity files did not contain evidence of the inpatient delivery encounter.
- Three (3) maternity files did not contain evidence of successful follow-up encounters in the EI's care plan.

## EI Rights/Materials/Enrollment

Of the 45 requirements reviewed for MCA-C, 35 were full, 2 were partial, 3 were non-compliant, and 5 were not applicable. All partial and non-compliant review determinations reflected new requirements.
 MCA-C indicated that this new language will be incorporated into policies/procedures and submitted to AMA for review and approval in April 2022.

#### **Grievances**

- Of the 5 requirements reviewed for MCA-C, 4 were full and 1 was partial. MCA-C will update the grievance form on their website to include the various types of complaints that can be filed.
- Of the 9 files reviewed, all were attributed to complaints related to PCPs/DHCPs.

#### **HIMS**

- Of the 28 requirements reviewed for MCA-C, all were in full compliance.
- There was 1 partial requirement last year (2020) that was found in full compliance in 2021.

# **Provider Participation**

Of the 13 requirements reviewed for MCA-C, 10 were full and 3 were not applicable. The not applicable
determinations reflected language that was in the ACHN Operations Manual but not contained in the RFP
and thus not subject to review.

# **Quality Management**

Of the 38 requirements reviewed for MCA-C, 34 were full and 4 were partial. Three (3) partial
determinations reflected requirements related to the QIPs, and 1 was related to the MMM attendance by
providers. MCA-C will re-evaluate their adverse birth outcome indicators as well as their goals for their
childhood obesity project. Further, the entity will incorporate QIP requirement language into their policy
and continue to work with providers to encourage participation in MMMs (by evaluating barriers and
offering a recorded "make-up" session).

### **Subcontracting**

 Of the 8 requirements reviewed for MCA-C, 5 were full and 3 were partial. MCA-C indicated that contract requirements will be incorporated policies/procedures and submitted for AMA review and approval in April 2022.

#### MCA-E

Details of this ACHN entity's performance are included in a separate report (MCA-E SPR Care Coordination Final Findings).

### Care Coordination

- All requirements were addressed in the MCA-E policies and procedures.
- One (1) family planning file did not contain a care plan.
- Two (2) family planning files, 9 general files, and 1 maternity file did not contain all 5 care plan components (assessment/identified needs, goals, interventions, rationales, and evaluation).
- One (1) family planning file did not contain evidence of the evaluation process (given that there were no scheduling and education updates).

- Two (2) family planning files did not contain documentation of successful telephone calls or follow-up encounters.
- One (1) general file did not contain evidence of a standardized depression screen.
- One (1) general file contained a care plan that did not address all of the El's prioritized physical or mental health concerns through formal interventions and/or referrals.
- One (1) general file did not contain evidence of an MCT invitation (this individual was high risk with frequent hospitalizations).
- One (1) general file did not contain evidence of an encounter between the second and third calendar months.
- One (1) general file did not contain evidence of monthly contacts/encounters (this individual was high risk).
- One (1) general file did not contain evidence of documentation of any encounter during calendar months 7–12 (this individual was high risk).

# El Rights/Materials/Enrollment

Of the 45 requirements reviewed for MCA-E, 35 were full, 2 were partial, 3 were non-compliant, and 5 were not applicable. All partial and non-compliant review determinations reflected new requirements.
 MCA-E indicated that this new language will be incorporated into policies/procedures and submitted to AMA for review and approval in April 2022.

#### **Grievances**

- Of the 5 requirements reviewed for MCA-E, 4 were full and 1 was partial. MCA-E will update the grievance form on their website to include the various types of complaints that can be filed.
- Of the 6 files reviewed, 1 was attributed to complaints related to the case manager, and 5 were attributed to complaints related to the EI's PCP/DHCP.

#### HIMS

- Of the 28 requirements reviewed for MCA-E, all were in full compliance.
- There was 1 partial requirement last year (2020) that was found in full compliance in 2021.

#### **Provider Participation**

Of the 13 requirements reviewed for MCA-E, 10 were full and 3 were not applicable. The not applicable
determinations reflected language that was in the ACHN Operations Manual but not contained in the RFP
and thus not subject to review.

## **Quality Management**

• Of the 38 requirements reviewed for MCA-E, 36 were full and 2 were partial. One (1) partial determination reflected a QIP-related requirement, and 1 was related to the MMM attendance by providers. MCA-E will incorporate QIP requirement language into their policy and continue to work with providers to encourage participation in MMMs (by evaluating barriers and offering a recorded "make-up" session).

#### **Subcontracting**

Of the 8 requirements reviewed for MCA-E, 1 was full and 7 were partial. MCA-E indicated that contract
requirements will be incorporated policies/procedures and submitted for AMA review and approval in
April 2022. Further, the entity indicated that contract requirements would be incorporated within the noncompliant subcontract once the term of that contract was up.

#### **MCA-NW**

Details of this ACHN entity's performance are included in a separate report (MCA-NW SPR Care Coordination Final Findings).

# Care Coordination

- All requirements were addressed in the MCA-NW policies and procedures.
- One (1) family planning file did not contain a care plan.
- Two (2) family planning files, 7 general files, and 2 maternity files did not contain all 5 care plan components (assessment/identified needs, goals, interventions, rationales, and evaluation).
- One (1) family planning file did not contain evidence of the evaluation process (given that there were no scheduling and education updates).
- One (1) family planning file did not contain documentation of successful telephone calls or follow-up encounters.
- One (1) general file did not contain evidence of medication reconciliation.
- Two (1) general files did not contain evidence that the care plan was updated based upon a change in El's needs (health status, needs, caregiver status, health care event, etc.) within the expected 90-day time period.
- Four (4) general files did not contain evidence that the calendar month 0–1 encounters were met.
- Three (3) general files did not contain evidence that the calendar month 2–3 encounters were met.
- Two (2) general files did not contain evidence that the calendar month 4–6 encounters were met.
- Four (4) general files did not contain evidence that the MCT was conducted in the required 60-day time period for high-risk Els.

# El Rights/Materials/Enrollment

Of the 45 requirements reviewed for MCA-NW, 35 were full, 2 were partial, 3 were non-compliant, and 5 were not applicable. All partial and non-compliant review determinations reflected new requirements.
 MCA-NW indicated that this new language will be incorporated into policies/procedures and submitted to AMA for review and approval in April 2022.

#### **Grievances**

- Of the 5 requirements reviewed for MCA-NW, 4 were full and 1 was partial. MCA-NW will update the grievance form on their website to include the various types of complaints that can be filed.
- Of the 18 files reviewed, all were attributed to complaints related to the El's PCP/DHCP, and 1 was also attributed to denial of care coordination services.

#### HIMS

- Of the 28 requirements reviewed for MCA-NW, all were in full compliance.
- There was 1 partial requirement last year (2020) that was found in full compliance in 2021.

#### **Provider Participation**

Of the 13 requirements reviewed for MCA-NW, 10 were full and 3 were not applicable. The not applicable
determinations reflected language that was in the ACHN Operations Manual but not contained in the RFP
and thus not subject to review.

#### **Quality Management**

Of the 38 requirements reviewed for MCA-NW, 34 were full and 4 were partial. Three (3) partial
determinations reflected QIP-related requirements, and 1 was related to the MMM attendance by
providers. MCA-NW will incorporate QIP requirement language into their policy, continue to track the
progress of interventions (adjusting those not having the intended impact), and continue to build on

successful interventions. Further, the entity will continue to work with providers to encourage participation in MMMs (by evaluating barriers and offering a recorded "make-up" session).

## **Subcontracting**

Of the 8 requirements reviewed for MCA-NW, 1 was full and 7 were partial. MCA-NW indicated that
contract requirements will be incorporated policies/procedures and submitted for AMA review and
approval in April 2022. With regard to the subcontract that was missing requirement language, the entity
indicated that this contract was expiring in March 2022, and thus no modifications would take place.

#### **NACC**

Details of this ACHN entity's performance are included in a separate report (NACC SPR Care Coordination Final Findings).

#### **Care Coordination**

- Of the 83 requirements reviewed for NACC, 82 were full and 1 was partial. The partial determination
  reflected a requirement related to training of (and by) the social worker, pediatric nurse, and pharmacist
  who work with the children with medical complexity (CMC) population. NACC indicated they will conduct
  additional CMC training in March 2022, which will become part of the annual training going forward.
- One (1) family planning file did not contain evidence that a need identified during the psychosocial assessment was addressed in the care plan.
- Three (3) family planning files, 6 general files, and 11 maternity files did not contain all 5 care plan components (assessment/identified needs, goals, interventions, rationales, and evaluation).
- In several maternity files, the task notes identified a high BMI for the EI, with a referral to an RD; however, this was not included in the care plan. This requirement involves addressing all EI needs, and as the entity is already identifying a potential issue and addressing it with a referral/consultation, this is an opportunity to enhance care plans by including this item for tracking.
- One (1) maternity file did not contain evidence of the care plan being reviewed or evaluated at each encounter with the EI.
- Three (3) maternity files did not contain evidence of a revised/updated care plan based on a change in EIs' needs.
- One (1) maternity file demonstrated a missed encounter within the second trimester.
- One (1) maternity file demonstrated a missed encounter within the third trimester.
- Three (3) maternity files demonstrated an incomplete assessment and risk stratification score without justification.

# EI Rights/Materials/Enrollment

- Of the 45 requirements reviewed for NACC, 43 were full, 1 was partial, and 1 was non-compliant. The
  partial and non-compliant review determinations reflected new requirements. NACC indicated that this
  new language has been incorporated into policies/procedures. Further, they have received approval by
  Medicaid to post information related to the non-compliant requirement (inform EIs that information is
  available in paper form without charge, and that the entity will provide this information to the EI, per
  request, within 5 days) to NACC's website.
- There were 2 partial requirements last year (2020) that were found in full compliance in 2021.

#### **Grievances**

- Of the 5 requirements reviewed for NACC, 4 were full and 1 was partial. NACC has updated the grievance form on their website to include the various types of complaints that can be filed and has submitted to AMA for approval.
- Of the 25 files reviewed, all were attributed to complaints related to the El's PCP/DHCP, and 1 was also attributed to denial of care coordination services.

#### **HIMS**

• Of the 28 requirements reviewed for NACC, all were in full compliance.

# **Provider Participation**

Of the 13 requirements reviewed for NACC, all were in full compliance.

### **Quality Management**

- Of the 38 requirements reviewed for NACC, 34 were full, 3 were partial, and 1 was not applicable. Two (2) partial determinations reflected QIP-related requirements and 1 was related to the MMM attendance by providers. NACC will continue to track the progress of interventions, adjusting those not having the intended impact and/or developing new interventions. Regarding the MMM, NACC has implemented a "participation" letter that will be emailed each quarter to each PCP practice. This letter outlines their attendance at the MMMs, their cost effectiveness results, their quality measure results and a copy of their score cards.
- There was 1 partial requirement last year (2020) that was found in full compliance in 2021.

### **Subcontracting**

• Of the 8 requirements reviewed for NACC, 1 was full, 2 were partial, and 5 were non-compliant. NACC indicated that contract requirements have been incorporated into policies/procedures and that any subcontract that was missing requirement language would be submitted to their legal department for review and modification.

# V. Validation of Performance Measures

# **Objectives**

AMA selects ACHN performance measures (PMs) to assess access to care, effectiveness of care, and use of services. PM validation for reporting year 2021 covered measurement year 2020 (January 1, 2020, to December 31, 2020). One of the mandatory activities for EQR is validation of PMs, the objective of which is to assess the accuracy and reliability of the PMs reported and to determine the extent to which they follow established measure technical specifications and are in accordance with the specifications in 42 CFR 438.358(b)(2).

# **Technical Methods of Data Collection and Analysis**

IPRO prepares the validation methodology including the documentation/data request with instructions and data file layouts for submitting EI-level data, and validation tools that are compliant with the CMS protocol *Validation of Performance Measures*. The instructions include a list of state-required PMs and a request that the state return a list of numerators and denominators, a list of enrollees included as PM numerator positives, a list of documents to be reviewed, and IS background information.

IPRO conducts a source code review to assess compliance with PM technical specifications. The state submits the source code used to generate eligible populations, denominator requirements, and numerator compliant hits for each PM along with related flowcharts, software documentation, input and output file record layouts and field descriptions, input and output record counts, and job logs. IPRO reviews the source code for each PM to assess compliance with specifications for all calculations (eligible population, denominator, numerator, and algorithms). The state also submits EI-level data files in a format specified by IPRO via a secure file transfer protocol (FTP) site (https://send.ipro.org).

Concurrent with source code validation, IPRO validates the accompanying EI-level data files by conducting several checks on each file. The EI-level data file includes all EIs in the PM denominator with indicators of PM numerator compliance. The IPRO-generated validation programs and software programs used for each PM are based on the precise measure specifications.

IPRO uses a standardized validation tool to provide review comments on both the source code and EI-level data files, and communicates any issues to state staff for response, clarification, revision, and/or resubmission. The tool documents IPRO's validation findings, the state's responses to IPRO's questions, and other review activities. Throughout the source code review process, the validation team maintains regular contact with designated state staff via telephone and email, and provides technical assistance on programming issues and answers any questions the state may have regarding PM technical specifications, submission requirements, and/or the validation process itself. The state is given the opportunity to revise and resubmit both the source code and data until its submissions are fully compliant with PM specifications.

# **Description of Data Obtained**

IPRO requested and received from AMA the following documentation related to PM calculation:

- Information Systems Capabilities Assessment (ISCA);
- AMA source code for the measures;
- member-level detail files;
- preliminary rates;
- response to IPRO findings to preliminary rates; and
- final rates.

In addition, IPRO received an ISCA worksheet completed by AMA, which was organized into the following 5 sections:

- Data Integration and Systems Architecture,
- Enrollment System(s) and Processes,
- Claim/Encounter System(s) and Processes,
- Provider Data System(s) and Processes, and
- Oversight of Contracted Vendor(s).

IPRO employs several techniques to assess whether the state's PM rates are valid, unbiased, and reportable. This assessment includes calculating rates using EI-level data files and comparing the rates against available national benchmarks.

# **Conclusions and Comparative Findings**

AMA contracted with IPRO to conduct the Information Systems Capabilities Assessment (ISCA) of its Information Systems. IPRO conducted the ISCA in accordance with *Appendix A* of the CMS EQR Protocols. No issues were found that impacted the reporting of the measures.

To make an overall assessment about the quality, timeliness, and access to care provided by each ACHN entity and to track performance over the CY 2020 period, IPRO assigned measures to 1 or more of the 3 domains depicted in **Table 21**.

**Table 21: ACHN Performance Measure Domains** 

Measure	Quality	Timeliness	Access
Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life		Х	Х
Child BMI Assessment			Х
Adult BMI Assessment			Х
Cervical Cancer Screening			Х
Asthma Medication Ratio (Child)	Х		
Asthma Medication Ratio (Adult)			
Antidepressant Medication Management	Х		
Live Births Less Than 2,500 Grams			
CAP-CH (Children and Adolescents' Access to Primary Care Practitioners)			Х
Timeliness of Prenatal Care		Х	
Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for Alcohol and Other Drugs (Initiation)	Х	Х	
Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for Alcohol and Other Drugs (Continuation)	Х	х	

ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; BMI: body mass index.

**Table 22** displays the performance measures for MY 2020 for all entities and the percentile achieved for the NCQA 2020 benchmark.

In the domain of **quality**, the statewide average was above the 90th percentile for Asthma Medication Ratio (Child and Adult). The statewide average was below the 10th percentile for Antidepressant Medication Management and Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD (Initiation and Continuation phases).

In the domain of **timeliness**, the statewide average was between the 10th and 25th percentile for Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life, and below the 10th percentile for Timeliness of Prenatal Care.

In the domain of access, the statewide average was above the 90th percentile for Child BMI Assessment. The statewide average was below the 10th percentile for Cervical Cancer Screening, and Child Access to Care (12–24 months of age and 25 months to 6 years of age). The statewide average was between the 10th and 25th percentile for Child Access to Care (12–19 years of age), and between the 25th and 50th percentile for Adult BMI Assessment and Child Access to Care (7–11 years of age).

Table 22: ACHN Performance Measures – MY 2020

Measure	ACNM	ACNS	GCTC	MCA-C	MCA-E	MCA-NW	NACC	ACHN 2020 Statewide Average	ACHN 2020 vs. NCQA 2020 Benchmark Percentile
Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months									
of Life (HEDIS)	50.2%	60.2%	50.9%	56.7%	59.6%	49.3%	57.0%	54.6%	10th–25th
Child BMI Assessment (HEDIS)	92.0%	94.9%	93.8%	92.9%	95.6%	91.9%	94.7%	93.7%	> 90th
Adult BMI Assessment (HEDIS)	85.9%	86.5%	86.2%	87.7%	90.7%	89.2%	88.7%	87.8%	25th-50th
Cervical Cancer Screening <sup>1</sup> (HEDIS)	45.3%	42.0%	44.2%	43.7%	37.7%	40.4%	38.7%	41.9%	< 10th
Asthma Medication Ratio (Child) (HEDIS)	82.5%	86.8%	82.3%	87.6%	89.4%	84.0%	89.4%	86.2%	> 90th
Asthma Medication Ratio (Adult) (HEDIS)	67.1%	81.5%	75.2%	75.0%	76.1%	73.6%	78.0%	75.1%	> 90th
Antidepressant Medication Management (HEDIS)	25.8%	23.7%	23.9%	22.5%	26.1%	25.0%	26.4%	24.8%	< 10th
Live Births Less Than 2,500 Grams (CMS)	12.1%	10.6%	12.3%	12.2%	8.2%	11.4%	11.3%	11.2%	N/A
	79.5% <sup>2</sup>	89.7% <sup>2</sup>	87.2% <sup>2</sup>	89.2% <sup>2</sup>	91.6% <sup>2</sup>	89.1% <sup>2</sup>	88.5% <sup>2</sup>	87.6% <sup>2</sup>	< 10th
CAR CIT (HEDIC)	73.3% <sup>3</sup>	84.7%³	76.7% <sup>3</sup>	80.4%³	88.7%³	81.0%³	83.2% <sup>3</sup>	80.9%³	< 10th
CAP-CH (HEDIS)	82.2%4	91.9%4	86.0%4	87.6% <sup>4</sup>	94.5%4	88.8%4	90.7%4	88.6%4	25th-50th
	80.9%5	90.5% <sup>5</sup>	84.5% <sup>5</sup>	84.4%5	91.3%5	86.4% <sup>5</sup>	88.1% <sup>5</sup>	86.4% <sup>5</sup>	10th-25th
Timeliness of Prenatal Care (HEDIS)	65.7%	70.4%	64.2%	51.6%	53.5%	61.7%	55.9%	60.5%	< 10th
Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for Alcohol and Other Drugs (Initiation) (HEDIS)	33.6%	37.2%	39.7%	37.1%	29.2%	42.4%	41.4%	36.7%	< 10th
Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for Alcohol and Other Drugs (Continuation) (HEDIS)	3.6%	6.4%	5.0%	4.3%	3.1%	7.5%	4.0%	4.7%	< 10th

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>There was change in the exclusion criteria was made following performance measure validation, however we feel the effect on the rate is minimal.

ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; MY: measurement year; ACNM: ACN Mid-State; ACNS: ACN Southeast; GCTC: Gulf Coast Total Care; MCA-C: My Care Alabama Central; MCA-E: My Care Alabama East; MCA-NW: My Care Alabama Northwest; NACC: North Alabama Community Care; NCQA: National Committee for Quality Assurance; HEDIS: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; BMI: body mass index; CMS: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services; CAP-CH: Children and Adolescents' Access to Primary Care Practitioners; PCP: primary care provider.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}\,\text{Rate}$  reflects the percentage of members 12–24 months with PCP visit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Rate reflects the percentage of members 25 months–6 years with PCP visit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Rate reflects the percentage of members 7–11 years with PCP visit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Rate reflects the percentage of members 12–19 years with PCP visit.

# VI. MCP Responses to the Previous EQR Recommendations

Title 42 CFR § 438.364 External quality review results (a)(6) require each annual technical report include "an assessment of the degree to which each MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity has effectively addressed the recommendations for QI made by the EQRO during the previous year's EQR." **Table 23–Table 29** display the ACHN entities' responses to the recommendations for QI made by IPRO during the previous EQR, as well as IPRO's assessment of these responses.

# **ACN Mid-State Response to Previous EQR Recommendations**

**Table 23** displays ACN Mid-State's progress related to the *RY 2021 Annual External Quality Review Technical Report,* as well as IPRO's assessment of ACN Mid-State's response.

**Table 23: ACN Mid-State Response to Previous EQR Recommendations** 

Recommendation for ACN		IPRO Assessment of Entity
Mid-State	ACN Mid-State Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
Capture intervention tracking measures for each intervention across the 3 QIP topic areas.	ACN Mid-State developed ITMs across each active intervention throughout CY 2021, which were reviewed by IPRO during PIP validation and found to be appropriate measures for tracking intervention progress. All recommendations were received and acted upon. Mid-State has developed a team for each QIP to increase performance improvement and reach a higher volume of Medicaid EIs.	Addressed
Update policies to include verbiage related to their health education activities and targeted implementation dates at a frequency and format determined by the Agency.	Policy updated to include verbiage related to health education activities, targeted implementation dates at a frequency, and format determined by the Agency and resubmitted in April 2021 after Medicaid and IPRO recommended changes. Policy officially approved by Medicaid 4/22/21.	Addressed
Update policies to include verbiage related to the review and approval by the Agency of El materials.	Policy updated to include verbiage related to the review and approval by the Agency of the EI materials and resubmitted in April 2021 after Medicaid and IPRO recommended changes. Policy officially approved by Medicaid 4/22/21.	Addressed
Update policies to include verbiage related to addressing updates from the Agency.	Policy updated to include verbiage related to addressing updates from the Agency and resubmitted in April 2021 after Medicaid and IPRO recommended changes. Policy officially approved by Medicaid 4/22/21.	Addressed
Revise Policy ACHN 015 to include language that addresses incorporating their website to the Agency or State website.	Policy updated with language that addresses incorporating website to the Agency or State website and resubmitted in April 2021 after Medicaid and IPRO recommended changes. Policy officially approved by Medicaid 4/22/21.	Addressed
Revise El Materials policy to include language that addresses the use of electronic methods of communication.	Policy updated with language to address the use of electronic methods of communication and resubmitted in April 2021 after Medicaid and IPRO recommended changes. Policy officially approved by Medicaid 4/22/21.	Addressed
Revise Policy ACHN 015 to include website language. ACN Mid-State should also review the formalized	Policy updated to include website language and resubmitted in April 2021 after Medicaid and IPRO recommended changes. Policy officially approved by Medicaid 4/22/21.	Addressed

Recommendation for ACN		IPRO Assessment of Entity
Mid-State	ACN Mid-State Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
process to ensure regular		
updates.		
Revise Policy ACHN 015 to	Policy updated to include language that addresses incorporating	Addressed
include language that	website to the Agency or State website and resubmitted in April	
addresses incorporating their website to the Agency	2021 after Medicaid and IPRO recommended changes. Policy officially approved by Medicaid 4/22/21.	
or State website.	officially approved by iviedicald 4/22/21.	
Add language indicating	Policy updated to include language "failure to input Maternity data	Addressed
that "failure to input	and/or Care Coordination documentation for each El with a 95%	/ tauressea
Maternity data and/or Care	accuracy rate into the Health Information System/Database will	
Coordination	result in sanctions" and resubmitted in April 2021 after Medicaid	
documentation for each EI	and IPRO recommended changes. Policy officially approved	
with a 95% accuracy rate	4/14/21.	
into the Health Information		
System/Database will		
result in sanctions" to their		
HIMS policy.	Policy undated to include language that the LUMAS system will	Addressed
Add language to HIMS policy indicating that the	Policy updated to include language that the HIMS system will provide the Agency with a monthly extract of data in the format	Addressed
HIMS system must provide	prescribed by the Agency and resubmitted in April 2021 after	
the Agency a monthly	Medicaid and IPRO recommended changes. Policy officially	
extract of data in the	approved 4/14/21.	
format prescribed by the		
Agency.		
Revise complaints and	Policy updated to reflect corrective action plan language and	Addressed
grievances policy and	resubmitted in April 2021 after Medicaid and IPRO recommended	
procedure to reflect the	changes. Policy officially approved 4/22/21.	
activities outlined in the		
requirement pertaining to		
corrective action plans.  Ensure that high-risk face-	Implemented weekly high-risk postpartum visit report in January	Addressed
to-face postpartum visits	of 2021 to help monitor when visits are due. Report includes all Els	Addiessed
are executed, where	due for a postpartum visit. It identifies the primary care	
applicable. Additionally,	coordinator as well as the date range (28–56-day mark) for	
follow-up visits in the	completing the visit.	
second/third trimester	Implemented a monthly follow-up visit report in to help monitor	
should be implemented for	when 2 <sup>nd</sup> and 3 <sup>rd</sup> trimester visits are due. It shows the EDC, initial	
Els.	assessment, and 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> visit completed dates. Supervisors	
	review this report and manipulate in way that their care	
	coordinators will know what maternity encounters are due for	
Conduct testing to ensure	each EI.  Medicaid required updates to maternity forms in 2021.	Addressed
that the new calculation	Deployment of these updates was released in May of 2021 after	, ladi essed
for psychosocial	Jira testing which included testing of automated score calculation	
assessment score and risk	of Psychosocial Risk Assessment Worksheet totals. Option was	
stratification will fulfill the	added to manually adjust risk assessment stratification of low or	
requirement related to	high dependent upon patient circumstances and clinical	
maternal health risk	judgement.	
identification strategy.		

Recommendation for ACN		IPRO Assessment of Entity
Mid-State	ACN Mid-State Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
Ensure that internal training provided to ACN Mid-State's maternity staff encompasses identification of maternal health risks as well as how to address these risks.	Formal training was provided to the maternity team in both March 2021 and June 2021 which included emphasis on identifying maternal health risks as well as incorporating appropriate goals and interventions to address those risks.  Additional training was also provided regarding when and how to refer to General Care Coordination when significant needs are identified, and higher frequency of follow-up is necessary for EI.	Addressed
Ensure that EI-specific risks are addressed in care plans.	Formal training was provided to the maternity team in both March 2021 and June 2021 which included emphasis on identifying maternal health risks as well as incorporating appropriate goals and interventions to address those risks.  Leadership also performs monthly chart audits to ensure risks are being addressed in care plans.	Addressed
Bolster care coordination by including other providers and external agencies whenever warranted, to meet the requirement that the maternal health care plan must include the PCPs/community agencies as appropriate.	Formal training was provided to the maternity team in both March 2021 and June 2021 regarding when and how to refer to General Care Coordination when significant needs are identified and to assist in establishing with PCPs and community resource agencies to ensure Social Determinants of Health are addressed timely. The Social Determinants of Health Screening has also been incorporated into our Infant Mortality QIP.	Addressed
Ensure that Els eligible for a delivery encounter receive a delivery visit or missed delivery visit within 20 calendar days.	Implemented a delivery visit report in January of 2021 that is sent out every Wednesday. It helps to help monitor when visits are due and lists all EIs with a past EDC or EDC in the next 2 weeks that have not had a delivery visit completed. It also lists if attempts for the visit have been made.  Leadership also performs monthly chart audits to ensure appropriate visits are being performed.	Addressed
Ensure that counseling is conducted appropriately for contraception and family planning services, and postpartum care.	Mid-State has created 2 family planning only care coordinator positions and provided formal training in both March 2021 and June 2021. Training focused on ensuring the priority 1 items were addressed with all Els. Also provided training for Maternity Els on offering and enrolling (when Els accept) into Family Planning Care Coordination at delivery or postpartum visit for high-risk Maternity Els.  Mid-State has incorporated the Medicaid approved forms for each visit into our HIMS and use those to guide the education at each visit. The Follow-Up Visit Form, the Delivery Visit Form and the High-Risk Postpartum Home Visit Form includes education on these topics.	Addressed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> IPRO assessments are as follows: **addressed**: MCP's quality improvement (QI) response resulted in demonstrated improvement; **partially addressed**: MCP's QI response was appropriate but improvement was not yet observed; **remains an opportunity for improvement**: MCP's QI response did not address the recommendation, improvement was not observed, or performance declined.

ACN: Alabama Care Network; EQR: external quality review; QIP: quality improvement project; CY: calendar year; EI: eligible individual; ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; HIMS: health information management system; PCP: primary care provider; EDC: estimated date of confinement.

# **ACN Southeast Response to Previous EQR Recommendations**

**Table 24** displays ACN Southeast's progress related to the *RY 2021 Annual External Quality Review Technical Report,* as well as IPRO's assessment of ACN Southeast's response.

**Table 24: ACN Southeast Response to Previous EQR Recommendations** 

	esponse to Frevious EQN Neconimendations	IPRO Assessment
Recommendation for ACN		of Entity
Southeast	ACN Southeast Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
Increase the distribution of MyPlate educational materials and expand the percentage of schools that received gardening materials.	ACNS expanded the number of schools from 45 schools in calendar year 2020, to 53 schools in the first 2 quarters in 2021. In the third quarter, we expanded the number of elementary schools/head start programs to 82 which represents all of our thirteen counties. In 2020 ACNS distributed MyPlate educational materials to eligible individuals who were enrolled into care coordination. In the second quarter of 2021 we began providing nutritional and physical activity to EIs ages 3-6 with BMI > 85 <sup>th</sup> percentile regardless of enrollment status.	Addressed
Update EI Materials policies to include missing language related to using electronic methods of communication with an EI if the EI has provided an email address to the PCCM-E and has not requested to not receive electronic methods of communication.	EI policies were to include missing language related to using electronic methods of communication with an EI if the EI has provided an email address to the PCCM-E and has not requested to receive electronic methods of communication. Alabama Medicaid approved the updated policy.	Addressed
Ensure that all planned health education activities, along with implementation dates, are provided to the Agency and that their policies indicate they are at a frequency and format determined by the Agency.	ACNS updated the policy to include the verbiage and Alabama Medicaid approved the updated policy.	Addressed
Ensure that language related to the Agency or State standards for website structure, coding, and presentation is incorporated into their policies and procedures.	ACNS updated our policy to include this verbiage. Alabama Medicaid approved the updated policy	Addressed
Ensure that language related to approval of website content, and that this content is accurate, current, and designed in a way that Els and providers can easily locate information, is	ACNS updated our policy to include this verbiage. Alabama Medicaid approved the updated policy.	Addressed

		IPRO Assessment
Recommendation for ACN		of Entity
Southeast	ACN Southeast Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
incorporated into their		
policies and procedures.		
Incorporate language into	ACNS policy to include this verbiage. Alabama Medicaid approved	Addressed
HIMS policies that reflects	the updated policy.	
the requirement that		
failure to input maternity		
data and/or care		
coordination		
documentation for each El		
with a 95% accuracy rate		
into the Health		
Information		
System/Database will		
result in sanctions.	A CNC and at a discount of the state of the	A -   -
Ensure the reporting	ACNS updated our policy to include this verbiage. Alabama	Addressed
extract requirement is	Medicaid approved the updated policy.	
added to their HIMS policy.	A CNC data dia a alianta in aluda thia ahia a a Alaha	A -   -
Revise its complaints and	ACNS updated our policy to include this verbiage. Alabama	Addressed
grievances policy and	Medicaid approved the updated policy.	
procedure to reflect the activities outlined in the		
requirement pertaining to corrective action plans.		
Ensure that risk	ACNS utilizes a report called Southeast Screening Contact Benert	Addressed
assessments are	ACNS utilizes a report called Southeast Screening Contact Report that enables supervisors to determine when staff members are not	Addressed
conducted within the	reaching out to Els in a timely manner to complete assessments.	
contractually mandated	This report is reviewed twice a month to determine compliance	
timeframes.	from day of screening to first successful contact.	
differences.	Supervisors complete at least 5 audits on each staff member each	
	month for timeliness compliance.	
	Supervisors meet one-on-one with each staff member not in	
	compliance with timeliness to provide coaching.	
Ensure that additional	ACNS supervisors conduct at least 5 audits each month on all staff	Partially
assessments (related to	members to determine compliance with additional assessment	addressed:
PHQ, substance abuse	such as PHQ (Patient Health Questionnaire) and substance use	1 general care
screening, etc.) are	screening.	coordination file
conducted appropriately	Supervisors meet with each employee monthly to review audit	did not have
for each EI according to	findings and will coach employees that are deficient.	evidence of a PHQ
contract requirements.	ACNS is working on a report that will be generated weekly that will	or substance use
,	determine EIs that have an assessment completed without a PHQ	screening
	or substance use screen completed. This is still in progress.	conducted
Ensure that high-risk face-	For the high-risk post-partum, ACNS utilizes a weekly report called	Addressed
to-face postpartum visits	Post-Partum (PP) Visit Needed Report. This report includes all Els	
are executed, where	that are in the timeframe of needing a PP visit. The report is sent	
applicable. Additionally,	to each care coordinator to give them the 28-day mark and the 56-	
follow-up visits in the	day mark, so each care coordinator knows when the visit is due. Els	
second/third trimester	remain on the report until the visit is completed.	
should be implemented for	For the follow-up visit in the second and third trimester, ACNS	
Els.	utilizes a report called MCC Report Southeast that shows the EDC,	
	initial assessment, first and second visit completed dates.	

		IPRO Assessment
Recommendation for ACN		of Entity
Southeast	ACN Southeast Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
	Supervisor reviews this report monthly and sends to care	
	coordinators so they will know they have a maternity encounter	
	COMING Up.	
	ACNS supervisors also audit at least 5 charts a month for each care	
	coordinator to determine compliance with follow-up encounters.	
	Supervisors meet with each employee monthly to review audit findings and will coach employees that are deficient in follow-up	
	encounters.	
Ensure that maternal	ACNS has trained our care coordinators to complete the maternal	Addressed
health screenings are	health screen the first time they talk to EI on the phone (even if	
conducted in a timely	they don't do the assessment the same day). Supervisors check	
manner	care coordinators' screen pending lists weekly and review cases to	
	ensure screening form is started if contact has been made with EI.	
	ACNS supervisors conduct at least 5 audits each month on all staff	
	members to determine compliance with timeliness of maternal	
	health screenings.	
	Supervisors meet with each employee monthly to review audit	
	findings and will coach employees that are deficient in timelines of	
	maternal health screenings.	
Ensure that all aspects of	ACNS trains care coordinators to enter a care plan goal for each	Partially
an El's medical history are	medical condition identified at time of assessment or address the	addressed:
addressed to inform a	condition in task notes.	1 family planning
thorough, patient-	ACNS utilizes a post-partum depression report to identify those Els	file demonstrated
/caregiver-centered care	with a history of post-partum depression that does not have an	that El's medical
plan.	associated goal.	conditions were
	ACNS supervisors conduct at least 5 audits each month on all staff	not addressed in
	members to determine compliance with the patient/caregiver-	their care plan
	centered care plan including medical history.	
	Supervisors meet with each employee monthly to review audit	
	findings and will coach employees that are deficient in addressing	
	medical history in the person-centered care plan.	
Ensure that EIs eligible for	ACNS utilizes a delivery-visits needed report that is sent out every	Addressed
a delivery encounter	Wednesday. It lists all Els with a past EDC or EDC coming up in the	
should receive a delivery	2 weeks that have not had a delivery visit completed. It also lists if	
visit or missed delivery	attempts for the visit have been made.	
visit within 20 calendar	ACNS supervisors conduct at least 5 audits each month on each	
days.	staff member to determine compliance with delivery encounters.	
	Supervisors meet with each employee monthly to review audit	
	findings and will coach employees that are deficient in completion	
	of delivery encounters.	
Ensure that counseling on	ACNS has incorporated the Medicaid approved forms for each visit	Addressed
contraception and family	into our HIMS and use those to guide the education at each visit.	
planning services, and	The follow up visit form, the delivery visit form and the high-risk	
appropriate postpartum	postpartum home visit form includes education on these topics.	
care, is conducted	ACNS also requires care plans to include goals on all maternity	
appropriately for maternal	cases to include the post-partum goal and birth control goal.	
health care coordination.	ACNIC utilizes the No Mod List Donout that identifies these high will	V qquocco q
Ensure that a complete	ACNS utilizes the No Med List Report that identifies those high-risk	Addressed
medication list is included	maternity Els with no medication list. This report is distributed to	
in each El's record.	staff if a deficiency is noted.	

Recommendation for ACN Southeast	ACN Southeast Response/Actions Taken	IPRO Assessment of Entity Response <sup>1</sup>
	ACNS supervisors conduct at least 5 chart audits each month on each care coordinator to determine compliance with the creation on a medication list.  Supervisors meet with each employee monthly to review audit finding and will coach employees that are deficient in completion of medication list.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> IPRO assessments are as follows: **addressed**: MCP's quality improvement (QI) response resulted in demonstrated improvement; **partially addressed**: MCP's QI response was appropriate but improvement was not yet observed; **remains an opportunity for improvement**: MCP's QI response did not address the recommendation, improvement was not observed, or performance declined.

ACN: Alabama Care Network; ACNS: Alabama Care Network Southeast; EQR: external quality review; EI: eligible individual; BMI: body mass index; PCCM-E: primary care case management entity; HIMS: health information management system; PHQ: Patient Health Questionnaire; PP: post-partum; EDC: estimated date of confinement.

# **Gulf Coast Total Care Response to Previous EQR Recommendations**

**Table 25** displays GCTC's progress related to the *RY 2021 Annual External Quality Review Technical Report*, as well as IPRO's assessment of GCTC's response.

**Table 25: GCTC Response to Previous EQR Recommendations** 

Recommendation for		IPRO Assessment of Entity
GCTC	GCTC Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
Conduct root-cause analysis to identify barriers to El compliance with biomonitoring.	The Quality Committee reviewed reasons that EIs reported as barriers to biomonitoring compliance. The frequency of calls was cited as a barrier. Changes were made to the frequency of phone calls to encourage compliance. EI compliance with biomonitoring has improved. Maternity care coordinators are more deliberate when explaining biomonitoring to EIs during the psychosocial assessment. A high percentage of EIs agree to biomonitoring.	Addressed
Capture intervention tracking measures for each intervention across the Childhood Obesity and Substance Use Disorder QIPs.	ITMs were reviewed with Data Analyst to ensure they were capturing appropriate data. This is an ongoing process.	Addressed
Ensure that all planned health education activities, along with implementation dates, are provided to the Agency and that their policies indicate they are at a frequency and format determined by the Agency.	Bi-annual DHCP meetings are held in February and August annually. All quarterly Medical Management Meeting topics, speakers, and materials are submitted to AMA for approval. All planned PCP educational activities and materials are submitted to AMA for approval.	Addressed
Update EI Materials policy to include language related to the	Language was added to the EI Material Policy #15 (revised April 2021).	Addressed

		IPRO Assessment of
Recommendation for GCTC	GCTC Response/Actions Taken	Entity Response <sup>1</sup>
requirement about the use of electronic methods of communication (specifically, only if EI has provided an email address and has not requested to no longer receive electronic communication, if the EI has requested or approved electronic transmittal, or if all HIPAA requirements are satisfied		
with respect to PHI).  Ensure their policy is updated to reflect language that "If the Agency determines that the PCCM-E's web presence will be incorporated to any degree to the Agency's or the State's web presence, the PCCM-E must conform to any applicable Agency or State standard for website structure, coding, and presentation."	Language was added to the EI Material Policy #15 (revised April 2021).	Addressed
Update policies to ensure language related to website content is included (specifically, how content must be approved in advance by the Agency, and is to be accurate, current, and designed so that Els and Providers may easily locate all relevant information. If directed by the Agency, the PCCM-E must establish appropriate links on the PCCM-E's website that direct users back to the Agency's website).	Language was added to the EI Material Policy #15 (revised April 2021).	Addressed
Revise its complaints and grievances policy and procedure to reflect the activities outlined in the	Complaints and Grievances Policy #24 (revised February 2021 and November 2021).	Addressed

Recommendation for GCTC	GCTC Response/Actions Taken	IPRO Assessment of Entity Response <sup>1</sup>
requirement pertaining to	GCTC Response/ Actions Taken	Response
Incorporate language into HIMS policies reflecting the requirement that failure to input maternity data and/or care coordination documentation for each EI with a 95% accuracy rate into the Health Information System/Database will result in sanctions.	Language was added to HIMS Policy #45 (revised February 2021).	Addressed
Add the reporting extract requirement to their HIMS policy.	Added to HIMS Policy #45 (revised February 2021)	Addressed
Develop a roster for provider participation in the Medical Management Meetings, to ensure active participation requirements are being met.	Roster developed using AMA Roster Template. The Roster is used to report Quarterly Medical Management Meetings attendance to AMA. PCPs that do not comply with the Medical Management participation requirement are easily identified to follow up.	Addressed
Ensure that the MCT meets regularly as the El's risk stratification designates, is comprised of professionals from a variety of disciplines, has discussions focused on the El's recovery and wellbeing, and documents meetings in detail.	MCT Policy #6 was revised February 2021. MCTs are held as needed based on risk stratification and include a variety of disciplines such as pharmacists, providers, nurses, social workers, behavioral health disciplines. The training was held with all care coordination staff reinforcing MCT requirements. A process for written patient notification of scheduled MCT was implemented as well as designated dates/times reserved weekly for MCTs. A monthly report is generated to assist staff in identifying upcoming required MCTs due. Auditing of charts is performed monthly and confirms compliance with this requirement.	Partially addressed: 1 file did not contain documentation of an MCT occurring within the specified timeframe for high-risk EI; calendar months 7–12 and every 6 months thereafter.
Ensure that the MCT continue to discuss and consult with applicable parties and monitor behavioral health issues.	MCT Policy #6 was revised February 2021. Training was held with all care coordination staff reinforcing MCT requirements. A process for written patient notification of scheduled MCT was implemented as well as designated dates/times reserved weekly for MCTs. MCTs are held as needed based on risk stratification and include a variety of disciplines such as pharmacists, providers, nurse, social workers, behavioral health disciplines. A monthly report is generated to assist staff in identifying upcoming required MCTs due. Auditing of	Addressed

Recommendation for		IPRO Assessment of Entity
GCTC	GCTC Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
	charts are performed monthly and confirm compliance with this requirement.	
Take into account all of the El's risk factors and past health risks when conducting the initial assessment as they need to be included in the care plan.	Maternal Health Care Plan Development Policy #31 Revised February 2021. Several meetings/training were held with all care coordination staff reinforcing Medicaid requirements for Care Plans to include mandatory components and patient needs/conditions identified in assessment, resulting in a developed Care Plan. Follow-up monthly audits conducted over the past 6-8 months have demonstrated increased compliance with this item.	Addressed
Review the El's medical history and include documentation of this history in the care plan.	Care Planning Policy #2 Revised February 2021. Several meetings/trainings were held with all care coordination staff reinforcing Medicaid requirements for Care Plans to include mandatory components as well as patient needs/conditions identified in assessment must result in a developed Care Plan. Follow-up monthly audits conducted over the past 6-8 months have demonstrated increased compliance with this item.	Partially addressed: 1 family planning file did not have medical condition documented in care plan or task notes
Ensure that EIs eligible for a delivery encounter should receive a delivery visit or missed delivery visit within 20 calendar days.	Provision for Maternity Care Coordination Policy #28 revised February 2021. Improvement: Hospital and DHCP offices are more compliant in notifying of delivery. Improved access to hospital EHR and delivery census. Several meetings/training were held with maternity care coordination staff, reinforcing Medicaid-required encounters, timeframes, and patient care tracking procedures. Staff verbalized understanding. An increase in compliance with completed delivery visits was noted. The is forwarded to appropriate maternity care coordination staff daily for patient post-delivery follow-up while still hospitalized. Additionally, access to hospital EHR systems for our region's more extensive delivery facilities was obtained, enabling access and monitoring delivery census.	Addressed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> IPRO assessments are as follows: **addressed**: MCP's quality improvement (QI) response resulted in demonstrated improvement; **partially addressed**: MCP's QI response was appropriate but improvement was not yet observed; **remains an opportunity for improvement**: MCP's QI response did not address the recommendation, improvement was not observed, or performance declined.

GCTC: Gulf Coast Total Care; EQR: external quality review; EI: eligible individual; QIP: quality improvement project; ITM: intervention tracking measure; DHCP: delivering healthcare professional; AMA: Alabama Medicaid Agency; PCP: primary care provider; HIPAA: Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act; PHI: protected health information; PCCM-E: primary care case management entity; HIMS: health information management system; MCT: multidisciplinary care team; EHR: electronic health record.

# My Care Alabama Central Response to Previous EQR Recommendations

**Table 26** displays MCA-C's progress related to the *RY 2021 Annual External Quality Review Technical Report*, as well as IPRO's assessment of MCA-C's response.

**Table 26: MCA-C Response to Previous EQR Recommendations** 

Table 20: WICA-C Response	to Previous EQR Recommendations	IPRO
		Assessment of
Recommendation for MCA-C	MCA-C Response/Actions Taken	Entity Response <sup>1</sup>
Ensure that provider	Provider participation is logged and submitted to the Agency via the	Addressed
participation is logged	Monthly and Quarterly PCP and DHCP Participation reports.	
throughout the year so		
that participation in at		
least 2 quarterly meetings and 1 exercise with the		
Network Medical Director		
is evidenced.		
Add the El right to use any	This requirement is satisfied in the below policies as well as the	Addressed
hospital or other setting	General, maternity and Family Planning consents:	
for emergency care to	MCAC Tool 4 II.I.3.j Exhibit C Care Plan Policy 4 20 21	
their policies, and ensure it is expressed to Els	MCAC 18 II.V.1 Emergency Calls 02.26.21	
through written materials.		
Conduct root-cause	MCA-C has had internal team meetings to discuss early access to	Addressed
analysis to uncover why so	treatment and the barriers to seeking treatment. We have also	
few Els with a diagnosis of substance use disorder	worked with local providers to try to improve timeliness of initiating treatment. We have identified barriers and are working to help El's	
are initiating treatment.	navigate those barriers.	
Add language to Care Plan	Language was added to following policy:	Addressed
Policy that incorporates	MCAC Tool 4 II.I.3.j Exhibit C Care Plan Policy 4 20 21	
processes to support Care		
Coordination for Els,		
specifically with regard to reducing the potential for		
risks of catastrophic or		
severe illness.		
Incorporate language	Language was added to following policy:	Addressed
within policies related to	Final MCAC Exhibit J.7-8 FP Care Coordination Activities 8 27 21	
maternal health care coordination including		
family planning,		
interconception care,		
prenatal care, and		
postnatal care.		
Incorporate language	Language was added to following policy:	Addressed
within policies related to the requirement that	Final MCAC 5 II.I.4.ef El Notification 7 29 21	
states "The PCCM-E must		
advise all DHCPs and		
include language in the		
ACHN DHCP Participation		
Agreement of the		

		IPRO
		Assessment of
Recommendation for MCA-C	MCA-C Response/Actions Taken	Entity Response <sup>1</sup>
requirement for Pregnant	Wica-c Response/Actions Taken	Response
Women to participate in		
the network for maternity		
Care Coordination for the		
Agency to consider the		
El's maternity care a		
covered service."		
Add the following	Language was added to following policy:	Addressed
language to EI-facing	MATERNITY Consent RR HIPAA Final	
materials: "Els must be		
allowed to change a DHCP		
once without cause within		
the first ninety (90)		
Calendar Days of selecting		
a DHCP and at any time		
for just cause, which is		
defined as a valid		
complaint submitted		
orally or in writing to the		
PCCM-E.		
Ensure that materials	MCA-C developed materials to address this requirement in a	Addressed
communicating EI rights	written format. This has been added to our policy and all materials	
and responsibilities and	are available via our website or hard copy handout.	
appropriate telephone		
numbers are provided to		
Els upon initial contact.		
Ensure that evidence is	MCA-C developed materials to address this requirement in a	Addressed
provided of	written format. This has been added to our policy and all materials	
communicating (verbally	are available via our website or hard copy handout.	
and with written		
materials) to Els that it is		
their right to change		
DHCPs, with and without		
cause at the initial contact		
and at least once per year.	MCA C daysland a process to serson and stratify Figurba are	Addressed
Ensure that all risk assessments are	MCA-C developed a process to screen and stratify EIs who are determined to need Care Coordination services into appropriate	Auuresseu
conducted within the	categories of risk which will determine the timeframe of the	
contractually-required	assessment. Trainings were held on 6/6/21 and 11/11/21.	
timeframe.	assessment. Trainings were near on 0/0/21 and 11/11/21.	
Ensure that the MCT is	MCA-C has implemented a MCT process, which includes real-time	Addressed
meeting within the	reporting that will allow us to better monitor the timeliness of MCT	, waressea
required timeframes.	meetings. MCA-C has also conducts ongoing training with staff	
	about the MCT process and timeframes. Training held 8/7/2020 and	
	3/23/21.	
Ensure that high-risk face-	MCAC implemented ongoing reporting of high-risk maternity Els	Partially
to-face postpartum visits	that are entering their 2nd and 3rd trimesters. MCA-C implemented	addressed: 1
are executed, where	ongoing report of high-risk maternity EIs that have delivered and	maternity file
applicable. Additionally,	are due for a postpartum visit. Trainings held 6/9/21.	did not have a

Recommendation for MCA-C	MCA-C Response/Actions Taken	IPRO Assessment of Entity Response <sup>1</sup>
follow-up visits in the second/third trimester should be implemented for Els.		follow-up encounter documented in the second/third trimester.
Ensure care plans are addressing El-specific risks in the care plan, and are patient/caregiver centered with a team approach.	MCA-C trained and continues to reinforce the importance of a patient centered and comprehensive care plans. MCAC also supports training to include appropriate documentation of service referral needs and/or refusal of services. Training help 6/22/21.	Addressed
Ensure that Els eligible for a delivery encounter should receive a delivery visit or missed delivery visit within 20 calendar days.	MCA-C continues to reinforce that the EIs eligible for a delivery visit or missed visit will receive a visit within 20 calendar days. Trainings held 6/9/21.	Addressed
Ensure that all necessary documentation (the medication list in particular) is included in an El's record to ensure proper care coordination	Training has been provided regarding medication list and required documentation. Pharmacy staff has trained staff on required information for completed Med Review. Staff will continue to follow up and review medication list policy. Training conducted 6/16/21, 11/11/21 and 12/16/21.	Addressed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> IPRO assessments are as follows: **addressed**: MCP's quality improvement (QI) response resulted in demonstrated improvement; **partially addressed**: MCP's QI response was appropriate but improvement was not yet observed; **remains an opportunity for improvement**: MCP's QI response did not address the recommendation, improvement was not observed, or performance declined.

MCA-C: My Care Alabama Central; EQR: external quality review; PCP: primary care provider; DHCP; delivering healthcare provider; El: eligible individual; PCCM-E: primary care case management entity; ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; MCT: multidisciplinary care team.

# My Care Alabama East Response to Previous EQR Recommendations

**Table 27** displays MCA-E's progress related to the *RY 2021 Annual External Quality Review Technical Report*, as well as IPRO's assessment of MCA-E's response.

**Table 27: MCA-E Response to Previous EQR Recommendations** 

Recommendation for MCA-E	MCA-E Response/Actions Taken	IPRO Assessment of Entity Response <sup>1</sup>
Add the EI right to use any	This requirement is satisfied in the below policies as well as the	Addressed
hospital or other setting	General, Maternity and Family Planning consents:	
for emergency care to	MCAE Tool 4 II.I.3.j Exhibit C Care Plan Policy 4 2021	
their policies and ensure it	MCAE 18 II.V.1 Emergency Calls 02.26.21	
is expressed to Els		
through written materials.		

		IPRO Assessment
Recommendation for		of Entity
MCA-E	MCA-E Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
Continue to work with	In FY 2021, in order to increase provider participation in the	Partially
providers to educate them	MMMs, each Care Coordinator was assigned a PCP/DHCP for	Addressed
on the requirements	monthly outreach, which included MMM reminders (this outreach	(SFY 2021 SPR
related to active	is tracked weekly by the Quality Care Manager and applied	demonstrated
participation, as well as	quarterly to their incentive bonus goals); The Quality Care Manager	that MMM
how attendance in the	also proactively reached out on a quarterly basis to these providers	participation still
Medical Management	to engage them and remind them on requirements for active	in need of
Meetings affects the	participation for the ACHN and how it would affect quality bonus.	improvement)
quality bonus or provider	Further, we mailed hard copy invitations to each PCP in Q3 2021 as	
participation rates, in	a tertiary reminder method for the MMM. Outreach was also done	
order to ensure active	to providers at risk for not meeting requirements. An additional	
participation status is met	resource where providers can access 1 meeting per year via the	
for all providers.	ACHN website (HUB) to count toward attendance was also	
	implemented.	
Add language to their	Language was added to following policy:	Addressed
Care Plan Policy that	MCAE Tool 4 II.I.3.j Exhibit C Care Plan Policy 4 20 21	
incorporates processes to		
support Care Coordination		
for Els, specifically with		
regard to reducing the		
potential for risks of		
catastrophic or severe		
illness.		
Develop language within	Language was added to following policy:	Addressed
policies to	Final_MCAE Exhibit J.7-8 FP Care Coordination Activities 8 27 21	
comprehensively address		
the requirement related		
to the implementation of		
a program to integrate		
and manage all maternal		
health Care Coordination,		
including family planning,		
interconception care,		
prenatal care, and		
postnatal care.		
Add language to policies	Language was added to following policy:	Addressed
that fully captures the	Final MCAE 5 II.I.4.ef El Notification 7 29 21	
following requirement:		
"The PCCM-E must advise		
all DHCPs and include		
language in the ACHN		
DHCP Participation		
Agreement of the		
requirement for Pregnant		
Women to participate in		
the network for maternity		
Care Coordination for the		
Agency to consider the		
El's maternity care a		
covered service."		

		IPRO Assessment
Recommendation for		of Entity
MCA-E	MCA-E Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
Ensure that an El's right to	Language was added to following policy:	Addressed
change a DHCP once	MATERNITY Consent RR HIPAA Final	
without cause in the first		
90 days of selection and at		
any time for just cause		
(defined as a valid		
complaint submitted		
orally or in writing to the		
PCCM-E) is conveyed in		
written format to El		
(within El materials		
and/or on My Care East		
website). Furthermore,		
the related requirement		
that the PCCM-E must inform the EI of this right		
at initial contact and at		
least once per year should		
also be evidenced within		
MCA-E documentation.		
Ensure that materials	MCAE developed materials to address this requirement in a written	Addressed
communicating El rights	format. This has been added to our policy and all materials are	Addressed
and responsibilities, and	available via our website or hard copy handout.	
appropriate telephone	available via our viebbite or hard copy harradati	
numbers, are provided to		
Els upon initial contact.		
Ensure that all risk	Risk reassessments are to be conducted every 6 months now, but	Addressed
assessments are	care plan evaluations are to be conducted every 90 days. MCAE	
conducted within the	developed a process whereas on or before the beginning of each	
designated 90-day time	month, a list of upcoming patients who are due for the 90-day care	
period.	plan evaluation are sent to the care coordinators. Training held on	
	6/30/2021.	
Ensure that an MCT is	Medicaid updated the MCT process which is only to be conducted	Partially
established for every EI in	on high stratified Els; Training with care coordinators held on	Addressed: SFY
active care to ensure	3/10/2021. Opportunities for improvement are identified during	2021 file review
successful care	Supervisor Caseload and Chart Reviews with individual care	demonstrated
coordination.	coordinator education conducted as needed.	that 1 general
		care coordination
		file did not
		contain an MCT
		invitation to the
Encure that all nect	Transitional Care Bequirement training hold on 1/20/2021 It is also	EI. Addressed
Ensure that all post-	Transitional Care Requirement training held on 1/29/2021. It is also	Addressed
hospitalization risk	a requirement on our internal audits.	
assessments are conducted within the		
required timeframe of 10		
calendar days, to ensure		
appropriate home-based		
appropriate nome-based		

Recommendation for		IPRO Assessment of Entity
MCA-E	MCA-E Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
support and services are available.		
Ensure that medication reconciliation is conducted at discharge to facilitate proper transitional care, and that designated timeframes are observed.	Transitional Care Requirement training held on 1/29/2021. MCAE conducts the medication reconciliation at enrollment to ensure the EI has picked up medications and is taking them as prescribed.	Addressed
Ensure that required timeframes for providing Els with medical management education post-discharge are observed in order to ensure successful transitional care.	Transitional Care Requirement training held on 1/29/2021. MCAE also conducts ongoing training with care coordinators regarding required timeframes (an element of the Supervisor Chart Audit tool).	Addressed
Ensure that high-risk face- to-face postpartum visits are executed, where applicable. Additionally, follow-up visits in the second/third trimester should be implemented for Els.	MCAE implemented a process whereas the Maternity Care Coordination Supervisor delivers a monthly report to care coordinators of high-risk maternity EIs that are entering their 2nd and 3rd trimesters and high-risk maternity EIs that have delivered and are due for a postpartum visit.	Addressed
Ensure that there is a system in place to identify Els with missing assessments and care plans, as these are critical for successful care. Additionally, documentation should be included in every El's file to justify risk ratings.	Care coordination supervisors identify gaps when conducting chart audits and caseload reviews with individual education regarding documentation for risk justification and care plan components conducted as needed; additionally, MCAE has implemented a process whereas a report is sent out monthly to care coordinators that shows when task titles have not been marked as complete.	Partially Addressed: SFY 2021 file review demonstrated that 1 family planning care coordination file did not contain a care plan.
Ensure that there is a system in place to identify Els missing maternal health screenings in order to conduct them as expediently as possible. Required timeframes also need to be observed for the execution of the screening.	MCAE has developed a process whereas outreach is documented at each attempt, with a minimum of 3 attempts; after 3 attempts, DHCP is notified of the inability to contact and the case is closed until EI reaches out; additionally, MCAE has implemented a process wherein a report is sent out monthly to care coordinators that shows when a maternal health screening has not been completed/has not received a score.	Addressed
Implement a system to identify Els with missing maternal health risk assessments and missing	MCAE has implemented a process whereas a report is sent out monthly to care coordinators that shows when a maternal health screening has not been completed/has not received a score.	Addressed

		IPRO Assessment
Recommendation for	NACA E Description Taken	of Entity
MCA-E maternal health care	MCA-E Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
plans.		
Ensure that there is a system in place to identify Els with missing care plans and ensure that the care plans address all El needs and El-specific risks.	Care coordination supervisors identify gaps when conducting chart audits and caseload reviews with individual education regarding missing care plans and care plan components conducted as needed; Care Plan Training held 6/30/21.	Partially Addressed: SFY 2021 file review demonstrated that 1 family planning care coordination file did not contain a care plan, and that 1 general file did not contain a care plan that addressed all the El's health concerns.
Include the PCP in the creation of EI care plans.	PCPs are contacted within 14 days of each general enrollment and notified of the care plans that have been developed; at that time, PCPs have the opportunity to add to the care plan as needed. PCPs are also invited to MCT Meetings for high-risk Els to address care plan needs/barriers.	Addressed
Ensure that Els eligible for a delivery encounter should receive a delivery visit or missed delivery visit within 20 calendar days.	MCAE is structured where specific 1 family planning care coordination file did not contain a care plan are identified to receive hospital censuses and are therefore responsible for delivery encounters; MCAE conducts ongoing, targeted training with these care coordinators to reinforce the delivery visit timelines; MCAE has implemented a process whereas the Care Coordination Supervisor distributes an EDC report at the beginning of the month for Els that are set to deliver, and then again at the end of the month for Els who did not receive a delivery visit.	Addressed
Ensure that counseling (on contraception and family planning services and appropriate postpartum care) is provided to Els, and if there are communication issues, these need to be documented within the record.	MCAE conducts ongoing training with care coordinators to reinforce that a family planning discussion with associated documentation is a required component at each encounter.	Addressed

Recommendation for		IPRO Assessment of Entity
MCA-E	MCA-E Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
Attempt to obtain full documentation related to the medication list; however, if issues arise ensure, they are documented in the EI's record.	MCAE conducts ongoing training with care coordinators on the medication list, ensuring that any issues that arise are addressed and clearly documented. Training held 7/30/2020.	Addressed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> IPRO assessments are as follows: **addressed**: MCP's quality improvement (QI) response resulted in demonstrated improvement; **partially addressed**: MCP's QI response was appropriate but improvement was not yet observed; **remains an opportunity for improvement**: MCP's QI response did not address the recommendation, improvement was not observed, or performance declined.

MCA-E: My Care Alabama East; EQR: external quality review; EI: eligible individual; MMM: Medical Management Meeting; FY: fiscal year; PCP: primary care provider; DHCP: delivering healthcare provider; ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; SFY: state fiscal year; PCCM-E: primary care case management entity; HIPAA: Health Insurance Portability and Accessibility Act; Q3: third quarter; MCT: multidisciplinary care team; EDC: estimated date of confinement.

## My Care Alabama Northwest Response to Previous EQR Recommendations

**Table 28** displays MCA-NW's progress related to the *RY 2021 Annual External Quality Review Technical Report,* as well as IPRO's assessment of MCA-NW's response.

**Table 28: MCA-NW Response to Previous EQR Recommendations** 

Recommendation for MCA-NW	MCA-NW Response/Actions Taken	IPRO Assessment of Entity Response <sup>1</sup>
Ensure that provider participation is logged throughout the year so that participation in at least 2 quarterly meetings and 1 exercise with the	Our logs have been current and up to date.	Addressed
Network Medical Director is evidenced.	NACA NIMI identificadath a fallanciara han daireann agus alisa a sa	Addressed
Evaluate the key drivers of contraceptive use among teenagers to bolster the percentage of those that utilize contraception.	MCA-NW identified the following key drivers: counseling on different contraception methods; how to use the method of choice effectively; access to the available contraceptive methods.	Addressed
Ensure intervention tracking measures are recorded for each intervention across quality improvement projects.	Intervention tracking measure have been recorded within all report templates.	Addressed
Add language to their Care Plan Policy that incorporates processes to support care coordination for Els, specifically with regard to reducing the	Language was added to following policy: MCANW Tool 4 II.I.3.j Exhibit C Care Plan Policy 04.20.21	Addressed

		IPRO Assessment
Recommendation for	NACA NIM Despense / Actions Token	of Entity
MCA-NW	MCA-NW Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
potential for risks of catastrophic or severe		
illness.		
Develop language within	Language was added to following policy:	Addressed
policies to	Final MCANW 6 Exhibit J.7-8 FP Care Coordination Activities 8 27 21	Addressed
comprehensively address	Fillal MCANW 0 Exhibit 3.7-8 FF Care Coordination Activities 8 27 21	
the requirement related		
to the implementation of		
a program to integrate		
and manage all maternal		
health care coordination		
including family planning,		
interconception care,		
prenatal care, and		
postnatal care.		
Develop language within	Language added to the Maternal Care Coordination; El Notification	Addressed
policies to	policy and approved by the Agency on 07/29/21	/ ladi essea
comprehensively address	pointy and approved by the Agency on 07/25/21	
the following		
requirement: "The PCCM-		
E must advise all DHCPs		
and include language in		
the ACHN DHCP		
Participation Agreement		
of the requirement for		
pregnant women to		
participate in the network		
for maternity care		
coordination for the		
Agency to consider the		
El's maternity care a		
covered service."		
Ensure that an El's right to	Language was added to following policy:	Address
change a DHCP once	MATERNITY Consent RR HIPAA Final	
without case in the first 90		
days of selection and at		
any time for just cause		
(defined as a valid		
complaint submitted		
orally or in writing to the		
PCCM-E) is conveyed in		
written format to El		
(within EI materials and/or		
on MCA-NW website).		
Further, the related		
requirement that the		
PCCM-E must inform the		
El of this right at initial		
contact and at least once		
per year should also be		

Recommendation for		IPRO Assessment of Entity
MCA-NW	MCA-NW Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
evidenced within MCA- NW documentation.		
Ensure that materials	MCANW developed materials to address this requirement in a	Addressed
communicating El rights	written format. This has been added to our policy and all materials	71001 03300
and responsibilities, and	are available via our website or hard copy handout.	
appropriate telephone		
numbers are provided to		
Els upon initial contact.		
Conduct root cause	Root cause analysis was completed to determine the cause for a	Addressed
analysis to understand the	decline in the use of contraception among teenagers. The decline in	
decline in use of	the contraception use among teenagers is related to not following up with post-partum appointments; refusal to enroll into family	
contraception among teenagers.	planning care coordination services; and ACHN unable to make	
teenagers.	successful contact after delivery.	
Ensure that all required	All efforts to meet timelines are addressed via supervision audits,	Addressed
health risk screenings and	reporting, and quality assurance.	
assessments are		
conducted for each EI, and		
they take place during the		
required time period. Any		
difficulties contacting the		
El should be documented in the record.		
	MCANW has implemented a MCT process, which includes real-time	Partially
Ensure that the MCT meets within the required timeframes as outlined in	MCANW has implemented a MCT process, which includes real-time reporting that will allow us to better monitor the timeliness of MCT meetings. MCANW has also conducted ongoing training with staff	Partially Addressed: SFY 2021 file review
the contract.	about the MCT process and timeframes. MCANW 2022 MCT schedule:	demonstrated that 4 general
	1/14/22, 1/28/22, 2/11/22, 2/16/22, 2/25/22, 3/11/22, 3/25/22,	files did not
	4/8/22, 5/6/22, 6/3/22, 7/1/22, 7/29/22, 8/26/22, 9/23/22,	contain evidence
	10/21/22, 11/18/22, and 12/16/22.	that the MCT was
		conducted within
		the 60-day time
		period for high-
Ensure that all EI needs	MCANW trained and continues to reinforce the importance of a	risk Els. Addressed
are addressed to inform a	patient centered and comprehensive care plans. MCANW also	Addiessed
thorough care plan that is	supports training to include appropriate documentation of service	
patient/caregiver	referral needs and/or refusal of services.	
centered with a team		
approach.		
Ensure that high-risk face-	MCANW implemented ongoing reporting of high-risk maternity Els	Addressed
to-face postpartum visits	that are entering their 2nd and 3rd trimesters. MCANW	
are executed, where applicable. Additionally,	implemented ongoing report of high-risk maternity EIs that have delivered and are due for a postpartum visit.	
follow-up visits in the	denvered and are due for a postpartum visit.	
second/third trimester		
should be implemented		
for Els.		

Recommendation for MCA-NW	MCA-NW Response/Actions Taken	IPRO Assessment of Entity Response <sup>1</sup>
Ensure that maternal care plans are executed in the required timeframe as outlined in the contract.	MCANW has implemented supervisory chart audits to meet contract requirements with ad hoc HIMS reports to assist and quality nurse daily spot checks.	Addressed
Include PCP and community agencies in care plan creation and implementation process.	PCPs and other disciplines are invited per MCT contract requirements.	Addressed
Ensure that newborn care coordination is conducted for all Els with a newborn delivery who did not receive prenatal care. Els eligible for a delivery encounter should receive a delivery visit or missed delivery visit within 20 calendar days.	Maternity care coordination is driven to meet contractual requirements based on requirements and EIs willingness to enroll their newborn into services.	Addressed
Ensure that postpartum care counseling is conducted appropriately for maternal care coordination.	MCANW is conducting supervisory chart audits to ensure that contractual requirements are met with the use of ad hoc HIMS reports to assist. MCANW uses the quality nurse to assist with daily spot checks of charts. MCANW tracks DHCP post-partum visit measure to assist with oversight.	Addressed
Ensure that the medication list is included within the El's record to enhance drug use information gathering.	Training has been provided regarding the medication list and required documentation. Pharmacy staff has trained staff on required information to complete medication review. Staff will continue to follow up and review the medication list policy.	Partially Addressed: SFY 2021 file review demonstrated that 1 general file did not contain evidence of medication reconciliation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> IPRO assessments are as follows: **addressed**: MCP's quality improvement (QI) response resulted in demonstrated improvement; **partially addressed**: MCP's QI response was appropriate but improvement was not yet observed; **remains an opportunity for improvement**: MCP's QI response did not address the recommendation, improvement was not observed, or performance declined.

MCA-NW: My Care Alabama Northwest; EQR: external quality review; EI: eligible individual; PCCM-E: primary care case management entity; DHCP: delivering healthcare provider; ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; HIPAA: Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act; MCT: multidisciplinary care team; SFY: state fiscal year; PCP: primary care provider; HIMS: health information management system.

## North Alabama Community Care Response to Previous EQR Recommendations

**Table 29** displays NACC's progress related to the *RY 2021 Annual External Quality Review Technical Report*, as well as IPRO's assessment of NACC's response.

**Table 29: NACC Response to Previous EQR Recommendations** 

·	to Frevious EQN Necommendations	IPRO Assessment
Recommendation for	NACC Base and JAsticus Tales	of Entity
NACC Continue tracking their	NACC Response/Actions Taken  NACC quality team met with the maternity care coordinators re-	Response <sup>1</sup> Addressed
efforts around	educated on the Childhood Obesity QIP on September 29, 2021.	Audresseu
breastfeeding to see if the	educated on the childhood obesity Qir on September 29, 2021.	
intervention is effective.		
Ensure intervention	NACC developed a spreadsheet to capture data on a regular basis	Addressed
tracking measures are	with formulas used for calculations. This spreadsheet was	, iddi essed
being captured and	presented at a Quarterly IPRO meeting.	
reported throughout the	processes as a square of process of	
project period.		
Update documentation to	Policy and Procedure of Outreach and Health Education Activity	Addressed
include verbiage related	was updated to include this verbiage.	
to "targeted		
implementation dates (for		
planned health activities)		
at a frequency and in a		
format determined by the		
Agency."		
Update policies related to	Policy and Procedure of Enrollee Rights was updated to include	Addressed
when electronic methods	these requirements.	
of communication with an		
El can be used by		
including the following from contract		
requirements: The EI has		
provided an email address		
to the PCCM-E and has		
not requested to no		
longer receive electronic		
methods of		
communication, and		
language and alternative		
format accommodations		
are available.		
Update University of	NACC shared this requirement with RMEDE, and it was added to the	Addressed
Alabama's RMEDE	Design Documents that were submitted this year for the SPR.	
documents with the		
accuracy rate requirement		
or add it to an internal		
NACC policy. NACC could		
also consider capturing		
their data validation		
process in a policy and		
procedure as another best		
practice		

Recommendation for		IPRO Assessment of Entity
NACC	NACC Response/Actions Taken	Response <sup>1</sup>
Evaluate aspects of quality	All suggestions were appreciated and included in the revised	Addressed
outside of the quality	Quality Plan.	
measures within the		
Quality Improvement Plan		
Evaluation (e.g., chart		
audits, QIPs, data collection/HIMS,		
· '		
grievances, etc.). Continue their outreach	NACC began to decument when each communication went out and	Partially
efforts to providers to	NACC began to document when each communication went out and by which staff member. Follow up began in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter for	Addressed: SFY
ensure they meet the	outliers. Third and 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter phone calls were made by staff and	2021 SPR
minimum attendance	NACC's medical director.	demonstrated
requirements to achieve	NACE 3 Medical director.	that MMM
active participation status		participation still
in Medical Management		in need of
Meetings.		improvement.
Update the Transitional	Transitional Care Program Description and Policy were revised to	Addressed
Care Program Description	indicate that "The Care Coordinator will review the census on a	71441 03304
to reflect the review of	daily basis."	
hospital census reports		
daily (as opposed to "once		
per week at a minimum").		
Ensure that risk	Re-trained staff on active protocols: Documentation, Care Plan	Addressed
assessments are	Training, and Contact Training. Targeted audit tool was created to	
conducted within the	track and monitor compliance with risk re-assessment.	
required timeframe,		
which could determine if		
goals have been met and		
if the case can be closed.		
Further, when an El is		
unable to be reached, the		
entity should document		
all contact attempts to		
ensure due diligence is		
met.		
Ensure that closing of	Re-trained staff on active protocols: Documentation, Care Plan	Addressed
cases are warranted and	Training, Contact Training, and MCT Training. Targeted audit tool	
fully reviewed before	was created to track and monitor compliance with risk re-	
action, and that all	assessment.	
outreach attempts are		
documented if communication with the		
El is proving difficult.		
There is an opportunity to analyze how care plan		
goals are created, which		
would impact MCT		
		i .

Recommendation for NACC	NACC Response/Actions Taken	IPRO Assessment of Entity Response <sup>1</sup>
Ensure that the MCT is consulted for all aspects of the El's needs, including behavioral health, in order to fully integrate and coordinate care.	Re-trained staff (specifically behavior health staff were consulted) on active protocol for MCT training. A targeted audit tool was created to track and monitor compliance with risk re-assessment.	Addressed
Ensure that all face-to- face Health Risk and Psychosocial Assessments are conducted within 10 calendar days of discharge.	After re-training of staff regarding timeframes, a targeted audit tool was utilized monthly for 6 months to ensure compliance.	Addressed
Ensure that medication reconciliation occurs within 10 calendar days of discharge	After re-training of staff regarding timeframes, a targeted audit tool was utilized monthly for 6 months to ensure compliance	Addressed
Ensure that education regarding medical management is conducted within 10 calendar days of discharge.	After re-training of staff regarding medical management (medication) timeframes, a targeted audit tool was utilized monthly for 6 months to ensure compliance.	Addressed
Ensure that high-risk face-to-face postpartum visits are executed, where applicable. Additionally, follow-up visits in the second/third trimester should be implemented for Els.	After re-training of maternity staff regarding requirements and timeframes for high-risk Face-to-face postpartum visits, a targeted audit tool was utilized monthly for 6 months to ensure compliance.	Partially Addressed: SFY 2021 file review demonstrated that 1 maternity care coordination file demonstrated a missed encounter within the second trimester, and another file demonstrated a missed encounter in the third trimester.
Ensure that maternal health screenings take place within the required five business days of contact with the EI.	After re-training of maternity staff regarding requirements and timeframes for Maternal Health Screenings, a targeted audit tool was utilized monthly for 6 months to ensure compliance.	Addressed
Train care coordinators to ensure execution of the creation of the care plan within the required timeframe.	After multiple re-trainings of general and maternity staff regarding requirements and timeframes of the 5 care plan components, a targeted audit tool was utilized monthly for 6 months to ensure compliance.	Addressed (Note that SFY 2021 SPR demonstrated that all files were in compliance with care plans being executed,

		IPRO Assessment
Recommendation for NACC	NACC Response/Actions Taken	of Entity Response <sup>1</sup>
NACC	WACE RESPONSE/ACTIONS Taken	however all 5
		components were
		not evident. This
		is a
		recommendation
		for going
		forward.)
Follow up with care	Targeted tools were analyzed. When individual deficiencies were	Partially
coordinators that were	discovered, the individual care coordinator was again re-trained	Addressed: SFY
retrained on how to	and audited further on the findings.	2021 SPR
appropriately document	and addited farther on the midnigs.	demonstrated
and address El risks and		that 1 family
review El records to		planning file did
determine if the training		not contain
was successful, and that		evidence that a
records contain evidence		need identified
that risks are being		during the Health
addressed in the care		Risk and
plan.		Psychosocial
i ·		Assessment was
		addressed in the
		care plan.
Train staff to better detect	After multiple re-trainings of general and maternity staff regarding	Addressed
when additional support	requirements and timeframes of the 5 care plan components and	
from providers or outside	general training (PCP/Specialist referral protocol), a targeted audit	
agencies should be	tool was utilized monthly for 6 months to ensure compliance.	
included in care planning.		
Ensure that Els eligible for	After re-training of maternity staff regarding requirements and	Addressed
a delivery encounter	timeframes for delivery encounter and missed delivery encounter	
receive a delivery visit or	within twenty (20) Calendar Days, a targeted audit tool was utilized	
missed delivery visit	monthly for 6 months to ensure compliance.	
within 20 calendar days.		
Ensure that counseling for	After re-training of maternity staff regarding requirements for	Addressed
contraception/family	contraception/family planning and postpartum care, a targeted	
planning and postpartum	audit tool was utilized monthly for 6 months to ensure compliance.	
care is conducted		
appropriately for maternal		
health care coordination.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> IPRO assessments are as follows: **addressed**: MCP's quality improvement (QI) response resulted in demonstrated improvement; **partially addressed**: MCP's QI response was appropriate but improvement was not yet observed; **remains an opportunity for improvement**: MCP's QI response did not address the recommendation, improvement was not observed, or performance declined.

NACC: North Alabama Community Care; EQR: external quality review; QIP: quality improvement plan; EI: eligible individual; PCCM-E: primary care case management entity; RMEDE: Realtime Medical Electronic Data Exchange System; SPR: System Performance Review; HIMS: health information management system; SFY: state fiscal year; MMM: Medical Management Meeting; ; MCT: multidisciplinary care team; PCP: primary care provider.

## VII. MCP Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement, and EQR Recommendations

**Table 30** highlights each ACHN entity's performance strengths and opportunities for improvement, follow-up on prior EQRO recommendations, and this year's recommendations based on the aggregated results of SFY 2021 EQR activities as they relate to **quality**, **timeliness**, and **access**.

Table 30: Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement, and EQR Recommendations for All ACHN Entities

<b>ACHN Entity</b>	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
Quality Improvement Projects				
ACN Mid- State	ACN Mid-State demonstrated improvement in 1 of their Childhood Obesity QIP indicators (the percentage of annual BMI assessments completed for EIs 3–19 years of age), and in their SUD QIP indicator (the percentage of EIs 18–64 years of age who engaged in AOD treatment).	<ul> <li>There was a decline in the performance of ACN Mid-State's Adverse Birth Outcomes QIP indicator (low birth weight), as well as within 3 of their 4 indicators for their Childhood Obesity QIP.</li> <li>While there are interventions that address scheduling children for well visits and distributing MyPlate materials/jump ropes/Frisbees, there have not been many children impacted by these interventions (evidenced by the ITMs, and the corresponding numerators within the SFY 2021 interim report).</li> <li>Decline in performance of following ITMs: the percentage of EIs with a buprenorphine, Suboxone, or methadone prescription with successful contact, and the percentage of EIs who keep follow-up appointment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Evaluate the LBW measure at the member level to understand factors that might be influencing this rate to increase over time. ACN Mid-State could perform a pareto analysis or stratify those who delivered a low birthweight baby by demographic factors to evaluate whether there are susceptible subpopulations that could benefit from being targeted with tailored interventions.</li> <li>Work with Els and providers to help bolster access to well-child visits. By working with the Els, the entities could both evaluate barriers and provide education regarding the importance of these visits, and that they are fully covered by Medicaid.</li> <li>Continue to evaluate their interventions aimed at children with a BMI over the 85th percentile to determine if they are progressing at an acceptable rate to influence BMI, and/or if further barriers analysis/root cause analysis should be conducted to understand if current interventions remain most appropriate.</li> <li>Ensure the maximum proportion of Els feasible are being targeted by interventions for Childhood Obesity QIP, following pilot testing (assuming pilot test demonstrated efficacy).</li> <li>Evaluate barriers to successfully contacting Els with SUD diagnosis on a</li> </ul>	Quality

<b>ACHN Entity</b>	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
			prescription, as well as barriers to Els	
			keeping their follow-up appointments.	
ACN Southeast	ACN Southeast demonstrated an improvement in performance for 1 of their Adverse Birth Outcomes QIP indicators (Els with a prenatal visit in the first trimester).	<ul> <li>ACN Southeast demonstrated in decline in performance for their LBW measure, well-child visits (for children 0–15 months and 3–6 years of age), and EIs with an SUD diagnosis who received treatment.</li> <li>The baseline rate for SUD indicator 1 in Table 2: Goals of the interim report does not coincide with the numerator and denominator components provided.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Evaluate the LBW measure at the member level to understand factors that might be influencing this rate to increase over time. ACN Southeast could perform a pareto analysis or stratify those who delivered a low birthweight baby by demographic factors to evaluate whether there are susceptible subpopulations that could benefit from being targeted with tailored interventions.</li> <li>Work with Els and providers to help bolster access to well-child visits. By working with the Els, the entities could both evaluate barriers and provide education regarding the importance of these visits, and that they are fully covered by Medicaid.</li> <li>Continue to evaluate their interventions aimed at children with a BMI over the 85th percentile to determine if they are progressing at an acceptable rate to influence BMI, and/or if further barriers analysis/root cause analysis should be conducted to understand if current interventions remain most appropriate.</li> <li>Ensure tables reflect rates that coincide with numerator and denominator</li> </ul>	Quality
GCTC	GCTC demonstrated an improvement in performance for their annual BMI assessment measure (for children 3–17 years of age).	GCTC demonstrated a decline in performance for their LBW measure, pregnant Els receiving prenatal care in the first trimester, and Els 7–11 with an annual PCP visit.	<ul> <li>Evaluate the LBW measure at the member level to understand factors that might be influencing this rate to increase over time. GCTC could perform a pareto analysis or stratify those who delivered a low birthweight baby by</li> </ul>	Quality

<b>ACHN Entity</b>	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
		The entity did not align ITMs and barriers with descriptions and timeframes within the SFY 2021 interim report.	<ul> <li>demographic factors to evaluate whether there are susceptible subpopulations that could benefit from being targeted with tailored interventions.</li> <li>Work with Els and providers to help bolster access to well child visits. By working with the Els, the entities could both evaluate barriers and provide education regarding the importance of theses visits, and that they are fully covered by Medicaid.</li> <li>Explore how to effectively identify Els early in pregnancy, and work with this population to overcome barriers associated with receipt of prenatal care in the first trimester.</li> <li>Ensure all barriers, interventions, and ITMs are in alignment and that the timeframes for interventions are stated and consistent with GCTC's activities.</li> </ul>	
MCA-C	MCA-C demonstrated an improvement in both of their Adverse Birth Outcomes QIP indicators (students who completed Making Proud Choices curriculum, and students who participate in women's health appointment), as well as an improvement in 1 of their Childhood Obesity QIP measures (percentage of women enrolled in WIC during the first trimester), and 1 of their SUD measures (the percentage of EIs who initiated treatment for SUD with 2 or more additional services within 30 days of initiation).	<ul> <li>The target for the Childhood Obesity QIP indicator 3 is not stated in the Results table.</li> <li>ITM data were scarce, with the majority of measures only having data for Q1 2021.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Target rates should be stated and reviewed across indicators, as adjustments may be warranted given that interim rates have exceeded these targets.</li> <li>Ensure that ITM data are collected and reported quarterly, to inform intervention progress.</li> <li>Continue thinking about how to sustain and expand interventions and efforts, targeting the maximum number of Els as possible.</li> </ul>	Quality

<b>ACHN Entity</b>	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
MCA-E	MCA-E demonstrated a reduction in the percentage of pregnant women who smoke, and in the percentage of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams. Further, the entity demonstrated an increase in the percentage of EIs who had a BMI assessment, and in the percentage of EIs that initiated and continued treatment for SUD.	MCA-E demonstrated a decline in the percentage of births with a postpartum visit between 21 and 56 days following delivery. MCA-E also demonstrated an increase in the percentage of children with a diagnosis of overweight or obese.	<ul> <li>Evaluate access among women seeking postpartum care to ensure there is an adequate volume of providers. Upon ruling out access issues, explore barriers faced by women in the postpartum period and work with this population to overcome these barriers to bolster visit attendance 21–56 days following delivery.</li> <li>Continue targeting children with a diagnosis of overweight or obese and further explore barriers preventing them (and their caregivers) from accessing care, healthy foods, exercise equipment/space, etc.</li> </ul>	Quality
MCA-NW	MCA-NW demonstrated an increase in the percentage of Els who had a BMI assessment, and in the percentage of Els with an AOD diagnosis who initiated treatment and had 2 additional services or MAT within 34 days of the initial treatment visit.	<ul> <li>MCA-NW demonstrated a decline in the percentage of births with a prenatal visit in the first trimester.</li> <li>The barriers cited do not correspond with the method of barrier identification within the Adverse Birth Outcomes QIP. For instance, "Prenatal/postpartum visit rates" is listed as the method of identification behind barriers related to lack of education of prenatal care visits and lack of knowledge about postpartum visits.</li> <li>No meaningful longitudinal comparison can be made from the limited data points reflected in the Adverse Birth Outcome QIP interim report.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Evaluate access among women seeking prenatal care, as well as barriers to receiving this care, in addition to best practices and barriers associated with early identification.</li> <li>Ensure the method of barrier identification corresponds with the barrier that is cited.</li> <li>Ensure comprehensive ITM data are collected and reported quarterly to inform intervention progress.</li> <li>Consistently number all barriers, interventions, and ITMs and ensure ITMs are calculated appropriately.</li> </ul>	Quality

ACHN Entity	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
		<ul> <li>Several of the ITMs within the Childhood Obesity QIP are not calculated correctly.</li> </ul>		
NACC	NACC demonstrated a reduction in the percentage of Els 3–6 years of age with a BMI between 85% and 94%, and an increase in the percentage of first-time pregnant Els that were breastfeeding at their post-partum visit.	<ul> <li>NACC demonstrated a decline in performance for Els 3–6 years of age with a documentation of BMI in their medical record.</li> <li>Q4 2020 data are missing for several ITMs.</li> <li>The numerator and denominator units do not match for 1 ITM. It is not appropriate to have 2 different units (i.e., Els vs. PCPs); they should be consistent.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Continue to target high-risk pregnant         Els (those with a BMI of at least 30)         with nutritional and healthy lifestyle         counseling, exploring alternative ways         of conveying the information in a way         that is meaningful to Els.</li> <li>Ensure comprehensive ITM data are         collected and reported quarterly to         inform intervention progress.</li> <li>Ensure numerator and denominator         components of rates (indicators, ITMs,         etc.) convey the same units.</li> </ul>	Quality
Compliance Review				
ACN Mid- State	<ul> <li>ACN Mid-State achieved full compliance in all requirements reviewed for the Grievances topic area.</li> <li>Within El Rights/Materials/ Enrollment, there were 9 partial or non-compliant findings in 2020 that were full in 2021.</li> <li>Within Grievances, there were 4 partial findings in 2020 that were full in 2021.</li> <li>Within HIMS, there was 1 partial finding in 2020 that was full in 2021.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Care Coordination file review demonstrated several areas of deficiency.</li> <li>Requirements within the EI Rights/Materials/Enrollment, HIMS, Provider Participation, and Subcontracting topic areas need to be included in policies and procedures.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ensure that rationales for interventions are included within the care plan; that care plans have an evaluation of effectiveness; that all medical conditions in the Health Risk and Psychosocial Assessment be addressed in the care plan; that all Els enrolled in family planning receive information/education about STD prevention; and that the Psychosocial Health Risk Assessment takes place within 5 business days from the date of the screening.</li> <li>Ensure contract language is included in all applicable policies and procedures.</li> </ul>	Quality, Access, Timeliness
ACN Southeast	<ul> <li>ACN Southeast achieved full compliance in all requirements reviewed for the Grievances topic area.</li> <li>Within El Rights/Materials/ Enrollment, there were 6</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Care Coordination file review demonstrated several areas of deficiency.</li> <li>Requirements within the El Rights/Materials/Enrollment, HIMS, Provider Participation,</li> </ul>	Ensure that rationales for interventions are included within the care plan; that all medical conditions identified in the Psychosocial Health Risk Assessment are addressed in the care plan; that all Els enrolled in family planning receive	Quality, Access, Timeliness

<b>ACHN Entity</b>	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
	partial or non-compliant findings in 2020 that were full in 2021.  Within Grievances, there were 4 partial findings in 2020 that were full in 2021.  Within HIMS, there was 1 partial finding in 2020 that was full in 2021.	and Subcontracting topic areas need to be included in policies and procedures.	information/education about STD prevention; that contact frequency requirements are met (based on EI risk level); and that a PHQ screening and substance use screening are completed.  • Ensure contract language is included in all applicable policies and procedures.	
GCTC	<ul> <li>GCTC achieved full compliance in all requirements reviewed for the Grievances topic area.</li> <li>Within EI Rights/Materials/ Enrollment, there were 6 partial or non-compliant findings in 2020 that were full in 2021.</li> <li>Within Grievances, there were 4 partial findings in 2020 that were full in 2021.</li> <li>Within HIMS, there was 1 partial finding in 2020 that was full in 2021.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Care Coordination file review demonstrated several areas of deficiency.</li> <li>Training of staff working with the children with medical complexities (CMC) population was not fully evidenced.</li> <li>Requirements within the EI Rights/Materials/Enrollment, HIMS, Provider Participation, and Subcontracting topic areas need to be included in policies and procedures.</li> <li>MMM attendance was not at 100%.</li> </ul>	• Ensure that consent is obtained prior to provision of family planning care coordination activities; that all medical conditions identified in the Psychosocial Health Risk Assessment are addressed in the care plan; that all care plans include a rationale for each intervention; that all care plans have an evaluation of effectiveness; that all outreach attempts to EI are documented within the care plan; that all EIs enrolled in family planning receive information about STD prevention, and that male EIs receive information regarding testicular self-exams; that several outreach attempts take place to follow-up with EIs, and that all outreach is documented in the care plan/task notes; that the care plan is reviewed and evaluated with the EI during each encounter; that 3 attempts to conduct the Health Risk and Psychosocial Assessment are carried out (one of which must be a written letter); that all care plans are updated in response to a change in EI condition (health status, needs, caregiver status, health care event, etc.); and that the MCT meeting take place during	Quality, Access, Timeliness

<b>ACHN Entity</b>	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
			<ul> <li>calendar months 7-12 and every 6 months thereafter for high-risk Els.</li> <li>Ensure CMC training takes place as required per the ACHN contract.</li> <li>Ensure contract language is included in all applicable policies and procedures.</li> <li>Continue working with providers to bolster MMM attendance.</li> </ul>	
MCA-C	<ul> <li>MCA-C achieved full compliance in all requirements reviewed for the Provider Participation and HIMS topic areas.</li> <li>Within HIMS, there was 1 partial finding in 2020 that was full in 2021.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Care Coordination file review demonstrated several areas of deficiency.</li> <li>Requirements within the EI Rights/Materials/Enrollment, Grievances, and Subcontracting topic areas need to be included in policies and procedures.</li> <li>MMM attendance was not at 100%.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ensure that all care plans contain the 5 required components         (assessment/identified needs, goals, interventions, rationales, and evaluation); that a standardized depression screening and substance use screening take place and are recorded in the El's file; and that maternity Els have follow-up encounters in the second and third trimesters and that these encounters/outreach efforts are documented in the El's file.</li> <li>Ensure contract language is included in all applicable policies and procedures.</li> <li>Continue working with providers to bolster MMM attendance.</li> </ul>	Quality, Access, Timeliness
MCA-E	MCA-E achieved full compliance in all requirements reviewed for the Provider Participation and HIMS topic areas.  • Within HIMs, there was 1 partial finding in 2020 that were full in 2021.	<ul> <li>Care Coordination file review demonstrated several areas of deficiency.</li> <li>Requirements within the EI Rights/Materials/Enrollment, Grievances, and Subcontracting topic areas need to be included in policies and procedures.</li> <li>MMM attendance was not at 100%.</li> </ul>	Ensure that all Els have a care plan on file; that all care plans contain the 5 required components     (assessment/identified needs, goals, interventions, rationales, and evaluation); that a standardized depression screening takes place and is recorded in the El's file; that follow-up telephone calls and encounters take place as required per the contact schedule and are documented in the El's file; that Els' physical and mental health concerns are addressed through formal interventions and/or referrals;	Quality, Access, Timeliness

<b>ACHN Entity</b>	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
MCA-NW	MCA-NW achieved full compliance in all requirements reviewed for the Provider Participation and HIMS topic areas.  • Within HIMS, there was 1 partial finding in 2020 that was full in 2021.	Care Coordination file review demonstrated several areas of deficiency.     Requirements within the El Rights/Materials/Enrollment, Grievances, and Subcontracting topic areas need to be included in policies and procedures.     MMM attendance was not at 100%.	and that MCT invitations are sent to high-risk Els, and documented in the file.  Ensure contract language is included in all applicable policies and procedures.  Continue working with providers to bolster MMM attendance.  Ensure that all Els have a care plan on file; that all care plans contain the 5 required components (assessment/identified needs, goals, interventions, rationales, and evaluation); that follow-up telephone calls and encounters take place as required per the contact schedule and are documented in the El's file; that medication reconciliation take place as required; that care plans are updated based on a change in the El's needs at least once every 90 days; and that MCT meetings are conducted in the required 60-day time period for high-risk Els.  Ensure contract language is included in all applicable policies and procedures.	Quality, Access, Timeliness
			Continue working with providers to bolster MMM attendance.	
NACC	NACC achieved full compliance in all requirements reviewed for the Provider Participation and HIMS topic areas.  • Within EI Rights/Materials/Enrollment, there were 2 partial findings in 2020 that were full in 2021.  • Within Quality Management, there was 1 partial finding in 2020 that was full in 2021.	<ul> <li>Care Coordination file review demonstrated several areas of deficiency.</li> <li>Requirements within the Care Coordination, El Rights/ Materials/Enrollment, Grievances, and Subcontracting topic areas need to be included in policies and procedures.</li> <li>Training of staff working with the children with medical</li> </ul>	• Ensure that all needs identified in the Psychosocial Health Risk Assessment are addressed in the care plan; that all care plans include a rationale for each intervention; that all care plans have an evaluation of effectiveness; document all referrals/consultations to specialists in the care plan to ensure appropriate tracking/follow-up; that all care plans are reviewed/evaluated at each encounter with the EI; that care plans are updated based on a change in EI's	Quality, Access, Timeliness

<b>ACHN Entity</b>	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
		complexities population was not fully evidenced.  • MMM attendance was not at 100%.	needs; that maternity EIs have an encounter at the second and third trimesters; and that Psychosocial Health Risk Assessments are completed, and risk stratification scores are justified.  Ensure contract language is included in all applicable policies and procedures.  Ensure CMC training takes place as required per the ACHN contract.  Continue working with providers to bolster MMM attendance.	
Performance Measures				
ACN Mid- State	The statewide average (SWA) was above the 90th percentile for Asthma Medication Ratio (Child and Adult), and for Child BMI Assessment.	<ul> <li>The SWA was below the 10th percentile for Antidepressant Medication Management, Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD, Timeliness of Prenatal Care, Cervical Cancer Screening, and Child Access to Care (12–24 months of age and 25 months–6 years of age). ACN Mid-State demonstrated a rate below the SWA for Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD, and Child Access to Care.</li> <li>The SWA was between the 10th and 25th percentile for Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life and Child Access to Care (12–19 years of age). ACN Mid-State demonstrated a rate below the SWA for both these measures.</li> <li>The statewide average was between the 25th and 50th</li> </ul>	Review and trend performance for Antidepressant Medication Management, Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD, Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life, Cervical Cancer Screening, Adult BMI Assessment, and Child Access to Care, and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for these measures. Further, determine if a particular demographic subgroup is disproportionately impacted.	Quality, Access, Timeliness

<b>ACHN Entity</b>	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
ACN	The SWA was above the 90th	percentile for Adult BMI Assessment and Child Access to Care (7–11 years of age). ACN Mid-State demonstrated a rate below the SWA for both these measures.  • The SWA was below the 10th	Review and trend performance for	Quality, Access,
Southeast	percentile for Asthma Medication Ratio (Child and Adult), and for Child BMI Assessment. ACN Southeast demonstrated a rate higher than the SWA for all 3 of these measures.  ACN Southeast's rate for Live Births Less Than 2,500 Grams was lower than the SWA.	percentile for Antidepressant Medication Management, Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD, Timeliness of Prenatal Care, Cervical Cancer Screening, and Child Access to Care (12–24 months of age and 25 months–6 years of age).  ACN Southeast demonstrated a rate below the SWA for Antidepressant Medication Management, as well as Adult BMI.  The SWA was between the 10th and 25th percentile for Well- Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life and Child Access to Care (12–19 years of age).  The SWA was between the 25th and 50th percentile for Adult BMI Assessment and Child Access to Care (7–11 years of age). ACN Southeast demonstrated a rate below the SWA for Adult BMI Assessment.	Antidepressant Medication Management, Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD, Cervical Cancer Screening, Adult BMI Assessment, and Child Access to Care, and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for these measures. Further, determine if a particular demographic subgroup is disproportionately impacted.	Timeliness
GCTC	The statewide average was above the 90th percentile for Asthma Medication Ratio (Child and Adult), and for Child BMI Assessment. GCTC slightly exceeded the SWA	The SWA was below the 10th percentile for Antidepressant Medication Management, Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD, Timeliness	Review and trend performance for Antidepressant Medication Management, Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD, Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months	Quality, Access, Timeliness

<b>ACHN Entity</b>	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
ACHIN Entity	for both Asthma Medication Ratio (Adult) and Child BMI Assessment.	of Prenatal Care, Cervical Cancer Screening, and Child Access to Care (12–24 months of age and 25 months–6 years of age). GCTC demonstrated a rate below the SWA for Antidepressant Medication Management, and Child Access to Care.  The SWA was between the 10th and 25th percentile for Well- Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life and Child Access to Care (12–19 years of age). GCTC demonstrated a rate below the SWA for both of these measures.  The SWA was between the 25th and 50th percentile for Adult BMI Assessment and Child Access to Care (7–11 years of age). GCTC demonstrated a rate below the SWA for both of	of Life, Timeliness of Prenatal Care, Cervical Cancer Screening, Adult BMI Assessment, and Child Access to Care, and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for these measures. Further, determine if a particular demographic subgroup is disproportionately impacted.	Standards
MCA-C	The SWA was above the 90th percentile for Asthma Medication Ratio (Child and Adult), and for Child BMI Assessment. GCTC exceeded the SWA for the Asthma Medication Ratio (Child) measure.	<ul> <li>these measures.</li> <li>The SWA was below the 10th percentile for Antidepressant Medication Management, Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD, Timeliness of Prenatal Care, Cervical Cancer Screening, and Child Access to Care (12–24 months of age and 25 months–6 years of age).</li> <li>MCA-C demonstrated a rate below the SWA for Antidepressant Medication Management, Engagement in</li> </ul>	Review and trend performance for Antidepressant Medication Management, Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD, Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life, Timeliness of Prenatal Care, Cervical Cancer Screening, Adult BMI Assessment, and Child Access to Care, and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for these measures. Further, determine if a particular demographic subgroup is disproportionately impacted.	Quality, Access, Timeliness

<b>ACHN Entity</b>	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
		Treatment for AOD, Timeliness of Prenatal Care, and Child Access to Care (25 months–6 years of age, 7–11 years of age, and 12–19 years of age).  • The SWA was between the 10th and 25th percentile for Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life and Child Access to Care (12–19 years of age).  MCA-C demonstrated a rate below the SWA for Child Access to Care.  • The SWA was between the 25th and 50th percentile for Adult BMI Assessment and Child Access to Care (7–11 years of age). MCA-C demonstrated a rate below the SWA for both these measures.		
MCA-E	<ul> <li>The SWA was above the 90th percentile for Asthma Medication Ratio (Child and Adult), and for Child BMI Assessment. MCA-E exceeded the SWA for each of these measures.</li> <li>MCA-E's rate for Live Births Less Than 2,500 Grams was lower than the SWA.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The SWA was below the 10th percentile for Antidepressant Medication Management, Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD, Timeliness of Prenatal Care, Cervical Cancer Screening, and Child Access to Care (12–24 months of age and 25 months–6 years of age).</li> <li>MCA-E demonstrated a rate below the SWA for Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD, Timeliness of Prenatal Care, and Cervical Cancer Screening.</li> <li>The SWA was between the 25th and 50th percentile for Adult</li> </ul>	Review and trend performance for Antidepressant Medication Management and Engagement in Treatment for AOD, Timeliness of Prenatal Care, Cervical Cancer Screening, and Adult BMI Assessment and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for these measures. Further, determine if a particular demographic subgroup is disproportionately impacted.	Quality, Access, Timeliness

<b>ACHN Entity</b>	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
		BMI Assessment and Child Access to Care (7–11 years of age).		
MCA-NW	The SWA was above the 90th percentile for Asthma Medication Ratio (Child and Adult), and for Child BMI Assessment.	<ul> <li>The SWA was below the 10th percentile for Antidepressant Medication Management, Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD, Timeliness of Prenatal Care, Cervical Cancer Screening, and Child Access to Care (12–24 months of age and 25 months–6 years of age). MCA-NW demonstrated a rate below the SWA for Cervical Cancer Screening.</li> <li>The SWA was between the 10th and 25th percentile for Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life and Child Access to Care (12–19 years of age). MCA-NW demonstrated a rate below the SWA for Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life.</li> <li>The SWA was between the 25th and 50th percentile for Adult BMI Assessment and Child Access to Care (7–11 years of age).</li> </ul>	Review and trend performance for Antidepressant Medication Management, Engagement in Treatment for AOD, Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life, Timeliness of Prenatal Care, Cervical Cancer Screening, Adult BMI Assessment, and Child Access to Care, and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for these measures. Further, determine if a particular demographic subgroup is disproportionately impacted.	Quality, Access, Timeliness
NACC	The SWA was above the 90th percentile for Asthma Medication Ratio (Child and Adult), and for Child BMI Assessment. NACC demonstrated a rate above the SWA for each of these measures.	The SWA was below the 10th percentile for Antidepressant Medication Management, Initiation and Engagement of Treatment for AOD, Timeliness of Prenatal Care, Cervical Cancer Screening, and Child Access to Care (12–24 months)	Review and trend performance for Antidepressant Medication Management, Engagement in Treatment for AOD, Well- Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life, Timeliness of Prenatal Care, Cervical Cancer Screening, Adult BMI Assessment, and Child Access to Care and develop or modify interventions to specifically target performance for these measures. Further,	Quality, Access, Timeliness

<b>ACHN Entity</b>	Strengths	Weaknesses	Recommendations	Standards
		of age and 25 months-6 years	determine if a particular demographic	
		of age).	subgroup is disproportionately impacted.	
		NACC demonstrated a rate		
		below the SWA for Engagement		
		in Treatment for AOD,		
		Timeliness of Prenatal Care, and		
		Cervical Cancer Screening.		
		The SWA was between the 10th		
		and 25th percentile for Well-		
		Child Visits in the First 15		
		Months of Life and Child Access		
		to Care (12–19 years of age).		
		The SWA was between the 25th		
		and 50th percentile for Adult		
		BMI Assessment and Child		
		Access to Care (7–11 years of		
		age).		

EQR: external quality review; ACHN: Alabama Coordinated Health Network; ACN: Alabama Care Network; QIP: quality improvement project; BMI: body mass index; EI: eligible individual; SUD: substance use disorder; AOD: alcohol and other drugs; ITM: intervention tracking measure; SFY: state fiscal year; LBW: low birthweight; GCTC: Gulf Coast Total Care; PCP: primary care provider; MCA-C: My Care Alabama Central; WIC: Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children; Q1: first quarter; MCA-E: My Care Alabama East; MCA-NW: My Care Alabama Northwest; MAT: medication-assisted therapy; NACC: North Alabama Community Care; Q4: fourth quarter; STD: sexually transmitted disease; HIMS: health information management system; PHQ: Patient Health Questionnaire; CMC: children with medical complexities; MMM: medical management meeting; MCT: multidisciplinary care team; CMC: children with medical complexity; SWA: statewide average.